VOL. 10 NO. 8,
NOVEMBER, 2025
E-ISSN 3026-8893
P-ISSN 3027-1061



African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Education Research and Library Practice (JERLP)

Revolutionizing Curriculum Leadership and Instructional Coaching for Effective Teaching and Learning in Schools

***Dr. (Mrs) Patience Omavuotu Chiazor; *Dr. (Mrs) Mercy Afe Osagiede (Associate Professor); & **Mrs Magdalene Lotechukwu Nwabuisi**

*Department of Educational Foundations, University of Delta, Agbor.
**Department of Vocational and Technical Education, University of Delta, Agbor.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajerlp.v10i8.062

Abstract

In the rapidly evolving landscape of education, traditional approaches to curriculum leadership and instructional coaching are no longer sufficient to meet the diverse and dynamic needs of today's learners. The demand for transformative change requires educational leaders to rethink how curriculum is designed, implemented, and supported in schools. This paper explores innovative frameworks and practices that redefine curriculum leadership as a collaborative, adaptive, and data-informed process. It highlights the concept of curriculum leadership and instructional coaching, relationship between curriculum leadership and instructional coaching and the strategies for revolutionizing curriculum leadership and instructional coaching. The study adopts a conceptual review methodology, relying on secondary data from peer-reviewed journals and educational reports. Findings reveal that revolutionizing curriculum leadership fosters coherent instructional vision, while instructional coaching operationalizes that vision through teacher professional development and reflective practice. The paper concludes that revolutionizing curriculum leadership and instructional coaching will provide a sustainable pathway for improving teaching and learning outcomes. The paper recommends amongst others institutionalize professional learning Communities (PLCs) and Coaching into Teacher Appraisal Systems

Keywords: Curriculum Leadership, Instructional Coaching, Effective Teaching and Learning, Educational Leadership, Teacher Development.

Introduction

The need to revolutionize curriculum leadership and instructional coaching arises from a fundamental tension in contemporary education. While schools face increasing complexity, many leadership structures have remained static. Traditional top-down models fail to capture the dynamism and creativity required for meaningful change. Revolutionizing curriculum leadership with instructional coaching will provides a pathway toward systemic renewal. The quality of teaching and curriculum delivery in many schools particularly in developing countries such as Nigeria remains suboptimal due to weak leadership, limited teacher support, and ineffective professional development structures (Adebiyi, 2016; Egboka & Nwosu, 2024). To address these challenges, scholars and policymakers increasingly emphasize the need for curriculum leadership and instructional coaching as complementary approaches that promote teacher growth, curriculum coherence, and improved learning outcomes. Revolutionizing Curriculum leadership will provide strategic direction in designing and managing curriculum implementation, while instructional coaching translates that vision into classroom practice through mentoring, modeling, and feedback (T-TEL, 2024; Teacher Development Trust [TDT], 2025). Through strategic vision, resource management, and instructional supervision, curriculum leaders shape the learning environment and influence teacher motivation and effectiveness. Instructional coaching complements curriculum leadership by providing teachers with personalized, ongoing professional development. This coaching involves collaborative goal-setting, observation, feedback, and modeling of instructional strategies tailored to individual teacher needs. Unlike traditional one-off training workshops, instructional coaching is embedded within daily school routines, fostering a culture of continuous learning and instructional improvement. Consistent with transformational leadership theory, effective school leaders in Nigeria who demonstrate vision, support, and collaboration foster an environment where teachers feel motivated to improve their instructional practices (Akhimien, 2025). The positive relationship between principals' instructional leadership and teachers' professional development underscores the importance of leadership capacity-building as a lever for educational improvement. Moreover, instructional

coaching emerges as a vital, job-embedded form of professional development that addresses the contextual challenges Nigerian teachers face, such as limited resources and curriculum changes (Ifarajimi et al., 2025; Ojorka et al., 2025). The coaching process facilitates personalized support, reflective practice, and innovation in teaching, which in turn contributes to improved student outcomes. The collaborative nature of curriculum leadership highlighted by Isah et al. (2025) further emphasizes that shared leadership models can effectively distribute responsibility and foster a supportive professional culture. Curriculum leadership and instructional coaching have emerged as vital components in this effort. While curriculum leadership focuses on the strategic development and management of curriculum, instructional coaching provides ongoing, individualized professional development for teachers. School improvement initiatives increasingly recognize the critical roles of leadership and teacher support systems in enhancing student learning outcomes. Curriculum leadership therefore provides a strategic framework guiding the development and implementation of curriculum aligned with educational goals.

Curriculum leadership and instructional coaching have long been central to school improvement efforts. However, the rapid evolution of technology, the growing diversity of learners, and the need for 21st-century competencies demand a reimagining of traditional approaches. Curriculum leadership must go beyond supervision and compliance it must inspire innovation, inclusivity, and continuous professional growth. This paper explores key strategies for revolutionizing curriculum leadership and coaching, grounded in research-based best practices.

Curriculum Leadership

Curriculum leadership refers to the process through which school leaders, administrators, and teachers guide the design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of the school curriculum (Teachers Institute, 2023). Wiyono (2018) defines a curriculum as an educational program that is prepared and carried out to meet the purpose of education in schools. It is inferred that curriculum leadership practices in implementing a school-based curriculum are crucial for effective education. Curriculum relates to all the educational opportunities offered to learners under the control of the school (Wiyono, 2018). Curriculum leadership is multi-level and multifaceted by nature. Shan (2021) observed that curriculum leadership can be found at the organisational, classroom, individual, and social interaction levels. Gao and Han (2020) define curriculum leadership as a team of curriculum workers tasked with assisting in the improvement of education, build the capacity to come up with novel ideas and widen the collaborative process of curriculum

development. It involves setting clear instructional goals, designing curriculum frameworks, and fostering an environment conducive to learning. Curriculum leadership has evolved from traditional administrative roles to encompassing transformational leadership that influences teaching and learning (Leithwood, Harris, & Hopkins, 2020). It involves collaborative curriculum design, fostering a shared vision, and aligning curriculum with state standards and assessment requirements

Instructional Coaching

Instructional coaching is a collaborative, job-embedded professional development approach in which trained coaches work with teachers to enhance instructional practices and improve student learning outcomes (Knight, 2021; TDT, 2025). Unlike traditional workshops, instructional coaching emphasizes sustained, individualized support focused on classroom application. Instructional coaching is a form of job-embedded professional development where experienced educators work directly with teachers to improve instructional practices. It is a job-embedded professional development model where coaches partner with teachers to enhance instructional strategies tailored to classroom contexts. The findings from a study by Akhimien (2025) at Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, on the relationship between instructional leadership and factors such as class size, curriculum complexity, and teacher pedagogy emphasized the need for effective leadership to address challenges and improve teaching outcomes. However, the success depends on coaches' expertise, trust-building, and alignment with school goals. Instructional coaching in Nigeria focuses on providing teachers with the support and resources needed to enhance their instructional practices. Recent research indicates that school principals' instructional leadership significantly predicts teachers' professional development. A study by He et al. (2024) in Anambra State found that principals' instructional leadership practices were crucial in fostering teachers' professional growth, thereby improving teaching quality. Instructional coaching, an individualized, job-embedded form of professional development, plays a vital role in supporting Nigerian teachers' instructional effectiveness. By providing ongoing feedback, modeling best practices, and fostering reflective teaching, coaching addresses gaps in teacher skills and motivation. Ifarajimi, Alokoh, and Akanbi (2025) explored mentorship and coaching within Nigerian public schools, finding that inadequate mentorship is a significant contributor to high teacher attrition rates. They advocate for structured coaching programs to improve teacher retention and instructional quality. Similarly, Akor and Ugbong (2025) investigated curriculum implementation and its role in developing self-leadership among teacher education students in Nigeria. Their study highlighted that effective coaching

aligned with curriculum goals promotes autonomy and professional growth among pre-service teachers. Ojorka, Agbo, and Okafor (2025) examined the effect of teaching experience on adopting innovative instructional approaches in Anambra State's chemistry classrooms. Their findings indicate that more experienced teachers are more likely to utilize coaching feedback to implement innovative strategies, resulting in enhanced student outcomes. Adebiyi (2016) examined two Nigerian principals in resource challenged contexts and discovered that adaptive instructional leadership through mentoring and collaboration sustained teacher performance despite systemic constraints. Ndubuisi et al. (2021) also highlighted the persistent challenges of instructional supervision in Nigeria, including inadequate funding, poor motivation, and limited professional support mechanisms. Research by Reddy et al. (2025) also found that instructional coaching significantly enhances teachers' capacity to implement evidence-based strategies and improves literacy outcomes among students.

Relationship between Curriculum Leadership and Instructional Coaching

Curriculum leadership and instructional coaching are interdependent. Curriculum leaders establish the 'what' and 'why' of instruction, while instructional coaches focus on the 'how.' When aligned, they ensure coherence between curriculum goals and classroom practice (York-Barr et al., 2006). Curriculum leadership provides the strategic framework for what should be taught and why, while instructional coaching provides the operational mechanism for how it is taught (Brookings Institution, 2024). When integrated, curriculum leaders set pedagogical priorities, and coaches help teachers implement those priorities effectively in the classroom. Effective communication between these roles supports continuous improvement cycles, Recent scholars highlights the synergy between curriculum leadership and instructional coaching as vital for sustained instructional improvement (Fletcher & Luft, 2018). Curriculum leaders set priorities and frameworks, while coaches operationalize these through personalized teacher support, creating a feedback loop for curriculum refinement (Portin et al., 2009). . Effective curriculum leadership and instructional coaching are essential to navigate these challenges and improve educational outcomes. Curriculum leadership is critical in guiding the design, implementation, and evaluation of curricula in Nigerian schools, where unique challenges such as large class sizes, limited resources, and evolving educational policies persist. Effective curriculum leadership ensures alignment between national education standards and classroom instruction, fostering an environment conducive to student success. Abazie (2024) examined principals' instructional leadership in Anambra State, demonstrating its significant predictive role in teachers' professional development. The results suggest that

principals who actively engage in curriculum oversight and support help teachers improve instructional quality and student engagement. Furthermore, Isah, Aliyu, and Yusuf (2025) explored collaborative instructional leadership practices in North Central Nigeria the findings revealed that partnerships between principals and teachers enhance curriculum implementation, leading to measurable gains in student academic performance. Their study underscores the importance of shared leadership models in navigating curriculum challenges in Nigerian schools.

Strategies for Revolutionizing Curriculum Leadership and Coaching

- **Foster Collaborative Design:** Involve all stakeholders—teachers, students, parents, and community members—in the curriculum development process through workshops, focus groups, and collaborative planning sessions. This builds ownership and ensures diverse perspectives are integrated. Effective curriculum leadership is built on the participation, cooperation, decision-making, and dedication of all stakeholders, like team leadership (Gao & Han, 2020). According to Chen et al. (2020), demonstrating curriculum leadership is essential when developing school-based curriculum. Kihara, Yano and Mori (2013) confirm this further by explaining that curriculum leadership is a problem-solving procedure that includes more than just the school leaders while creating and innovating school-based curriculum.
- **Establish a Clear, Shared Vision:** Define specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) learning objectives that align with educational standards and the school's mission. This shared vision guides all efforts. Hargreaves, Shirley and Ng (2012) in Lim-Ratman (2019) explain that decisions are undertaken to ensure that curriculum implementers interpret curriculum goals together with desired outcomes to allow them to make learners' learning experience fruitful.
- **Leverage Technology Thoughtfully:** Use digital platforms for curriculum management, data analytics, and to create engaging and accessible learning experiences. This supports personalized learning pathways and efficient monitoring. Darling-Hammond et al. (2020) highlight the power of integrating learning science with digital tools to create adaptive, feedback-rich environments. Platforms for video-based coaching or AI-driven analytics enable continuous reflection and improvement, making instructional support more timely and effective.

- **Building a Vision for Future-Ready Learning**: Finally, curriculum leadership must look forward—preparing students for global citizenship, creativity, and problem-solving in complex environments. Trilling and Fadel (2009) emphasize the need for integrating 21st-century skills within curriculum frameworks. By promoting inquiry-based and project-based learning, leaders can bridge the gap between classroom knowledge and real-world application, ensuring that students are equipped for the demands of the future.
- **Provide Targeted Professional Development:** Offer ongoing, context-specific training and mentorship programs that help educators understand new content, pedagogical strategies, and assessment methods. Instructional coaching is a critical lever for improving teaching and learning. According to Knight (2018), effective coaching should be grounded in partnership, reflection, and goal-oriented collaboration. Curriculum leaders who prioritize coaching create a culture of trust and shared inquiry, where professional learning is embedded in daily practice rather than treated as an isolated event.
- **Use Data and Feedback Effectively:** Deliver timely, specific, and solution-oriented feedback based on classroom observations and student data. Encourage self-reflection to foster teacher ownership of their growth. Leaders who leverage data analytics can identify student learning gaps, assess curriculum effectiveness, and guide teacher professional growth (Marsh, Pane, & Hamilton, 2006). By creating collaborative data teams, curriculum leaders can ensure that instructional decisions are evidence-based and aligned with student needs. This approach fosters accountability and supports teachers in refining instructional strategies through continuous improvement cycles.
- **Foster a Coaching Culture:** Promote shared leadership and create time for peer collaboration and professional learning communities. This builds collective capacity and ensures sustainability. . Gay (2018) emphasizes that culturally responsive teaching affirms students' identities and connects learning to their lived experiences. Curriculum leaders can revolutionize practice by co-creating materials with educators and community stakeholders that reflect diverse perspectives and histories. This approach not only enhances student engagement but also fosters critical consciousness and empathy across the school community.
- **Promoting Distributed and Transformational Leadership:** Revolutionary curriculum change requires leadership that is shared, not centralized. Fullan (2020) argues that transformational leaders cultivate collective ownership by empowering teachers as co-leaders in curriculum innovation. Professional

learning communities (PLCs) exemplify this distributed model, providing spaces where educators collaboratively design lessons, analyze student data, and refine pedagogy. Such shared leadership fosters sustainability and strengthens the school's capacity for ongoing change.

Conclusion

Revolutionizing curriculum leadership and instructional coaching provides a sustainable pathway for improving teaching and learning outcomes. Transformational leadership practices by principals, combined with structured instructional coaching, provide a promising pathway to address the challenges inherent in Nigeria's education system. To realize these benefits, it is imperative that educational policies prioritize capacity-building for school leaders and implement sustained coaching programs. By strengthening curriculum leadership and instructional coaching, Nigeria can make significant strides toward achieving its educational goals and improving the overall quality of schooling.

Recommendations

1. **Institutionalize Professional Learning Communities (PLCs):** Schools should create PLCs where curriculum leaders and instructional coaches collaborate with teachers to review curriculum progress and instructional practices.
2. **Provide Leadership Training:** Ministry of Education and school boards should offer regular professional development on curriculum leadership and instructional coaching for school administrators and teachers.
3. **Integrate Coaching into Teacher Appraisal Systems:** Shift from evaluative supervision to developmental coaching models that emphasize growth.
4. **Allocate Resources and Time:** Schools should schedule dedicated periods for coaching cycles, reflection, and curriculum review.
5. **Adopt Data-Driven Practices:** Curriculum decisions and coaching interventions should be informed by classroom data, assessments, and student outcomes.
6. **Foster Collaborative and Distributed Leadership:** Encourage teachers to assume leadership and coaching roles, thereby democratizing school improvement processes.
7. **Contextualize Practices:** Adapt global best practices in coaching and leadership to local realities, considering teacher workload, resource constraints, and cultural factors.

References

Abazie, O. P. (2024). *Principals' instructional leadership as a predictor of teachers' professional development in Anambra State, Nigeria. Smart Learning Environments, 11*(2), 56–72. https://sfleducation.springeropen.com

Adebiyi, A. (2016). *Thriving instructional leadership practices and functions in challenging contexts: Lessons from two Nigerian principals.* University of KwaZulu-Natal.

Akhimien, P. (2025). *Instructional leadership and the challenges of class size, curriculum complexity, and teacher pedagogy in tertiary institutions: Evidence from Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State. Nigerian Journal of Educational Leadership and Policy Studies, 7*(1), 22–35.

Akor, R. A., & Ugbong, C. E. (2025). *Curriculum implementation and self-leadership development among teacher education students in Nigeria. African Journal ofCurriculumand Instructional Studies, 6(3), 44–59.*

Brookings Institution. (2024). *Instructional coaching holds promise as a method to improve teachers' impact.* https://www.brookings.edu

Chen, M. J., Fan, H. H., Guo, C. Y., & Kang, J. L. (2020). How do they transform? The story of two pri mary schools about curriculum leadership and development in Taiwan curriculum reform. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies, 7*(1), 42–61.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science, 24*(2), 97–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791

Egboka, P. N., & Nwosu, C. C. (2024). *Principals' instructional supervision strategies as a correlate of teachers' job performance in public secondary schools in Zamfara State, Nigeria. UNIZIK Journal of Educational Management and Policy, 4*(2), 45–60.

Fletcher, S., & Luft, J. A. (2018). Field experience and professional development for science teacher leaders: The importance of mentorship and coaching. *International Journal of Science Education, 40*(12), 1465–1483. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1477261

Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Gao, Y., & Han, D. (2020). Curriculum leadership community construction and implementation strategies. In *2020 Conference on Education, Language and Inter-cultural Communication (ELIC 2020)* (pp. 125–128). Atlantis Press.

Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.

He, Y., Guo, X., & Abazie, O. P. (2024). School principals' instructional leadership as a predictor of teachers' professional development: Evidence from Anambra State, Nigeria. *Smart Learning Environments, 11*(4), 67–81. https://sfleducation.springeropen.com

Ifarajimi, F., Alokoh, D., & Akanbi, M. (2025). Mentorship and coaching practices in Nigerian public schools: Implications for teacher retention and instructional quality. *Nigerian Educational Research and Development Journal, 14*(1), 33–48.

Isah, U. M., Aliyu, Y. A., & Yusuf, K. I. (2025). Collaborative instructional leadership practices and curriculum implementation in North Central Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Administration and Leadership Studies, 9*(1), 88–104.

Kihara, T., Yano, H., & Mori, H. (2013). Development of a new curriculum leadership model with a focus on its relatio to professional learning communities. In M. A. Flores, A. A. Carvalho, F. I. Ferreira, & M. T. Vilaça (Eds.), *Back to the future: Legacies, continuities and changes in educational policy, practice and research* (pp. 115–128). Sense Publishers.

Knight, J. (2018). *The impact cycle: What instructional coaches should do to foster powerful improvements in teaching.* Corwin Press.

Knight, J. (2021). *The impact cycle: What instructional coaches should do to foster powerful improvements in teaching.* SAGE Publications.

Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited. *School Leadership & Management, 40*(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2019.1596077

Lim-Ratman, C. (2019). Curriculum leadership. In B. Wong, S. Hairon, & P. T. Ng (Eds.), *School leadership and educational change in Singapore* (pp. 31–49). Springer.

Marsh, J. A., Pane, J. F., & Hamilton, L. S. (2006). *Making sense of data-driven decision making in education.* RAND Corporation.

Ndubuisi, A., Jacob, N., & Obi-Ezenekwe, U. (2021). Challenges of instructional supervision in the Nigerian educational system and the way forward. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2*(1), 45–56.

Ojorka, J. C., Agbo, N. J., & Okafor, I. K. (2025). Teaching experience and adoption of innovative instructional approaches in Anambra State chemistry classrooms. *Nigerian Journal of Science Education, 8*(2), 90–107.

Portin, B., Knapp, M., Dareff, S., Feldman, S., Russell, F., Samuelson, C., & Yeh, T. L. (2009). Mapping the terrain of distributed leadership in urban schools. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 31*(2), 224–250. https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373709334189

Reddy, L. A., Glover, T., & Horowitz, R. (2025). Instructional coaching to support students with reading difficulties: A review of implementation components, methodology, and outcomes. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 15*(1), 34–52. https://doi.org/10.5590/JERAP.2025.15.1.03

Shan, Y. (2021). Teaching research group leaders' engagement in curriculum leadership: The principals' perspective. *Open Journal of Leadership, 10*(2), 60–78.

Teacher Development Trust (TDT). (2025). *What is instructional coaching?* https://tdtrust.org

Teachers Institute. (2023). *Key responsibilities of curriculum leaders in schools.* https://teachers.institute

Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st century skills: Learning for life in our times.* Jossey-Bass.

T-TEL. (2024). *Leading and managing curriculum planning and implementation: Leadership resource handbook.* https://t-tel.org

Wiyono, B. B. (2018). The influence of school-based curriculum on the learning process and students' achievement. In *3rd International Conference on Educational Management and Administration (CoEMA 2018)* (pp. 140–146). Atlantis Press.

York-Barr, J., Sommers, W., Ghere, G., & Montie, J. (2006). *Reflective practice to improve schools: An action guide for educators* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.