VOL. 08 NO. 4,
MAY, 2025
E-ISSN 3026-9210
P-ISSN 3026-815X

African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Built Env. & Geological Research (JBEGR)

Contract Administration and Dispute Resolution in Nigerian Architecture: Best Practices under NIA Guidelines

Ogunnaike A. O.; Adeleke S. O.; & Maduagwu K. O.

Department of Architecture, School of Postgraduate Studies, Caleb University, Imota, Lagos State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: adeleke.shammah@calebuniversity.edu.ng

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajbegr.v8i4.037

Abstract

Contract administration is critical to ensuring that architectural projects are completed on time, within scope, and with minimal conflict. In Nigeria, the Nigerian Institute of Architects (NIA) provides standard forms and professional guidelines that influence how contracts are managed and disputes are resolved. This qualitative review, complemented by analysis of recent empirical studies in Nigeria, identifies best practices under NIA guidelines. It focuses on strategies such as clear communication, thorough documentation, and structured dispute resolution clauses. Findings show that proactive planning and well-negotiated contract terms greatly reduce conflicts, while the inclusion of multi-tiered dispute resolution (i.e., amicable negotiation, mediation, and arbitration) aligns with NIA and the Architects Registration Council of Nigeria (ARCON) standards, minimizing project disruption. The study concludes that strict adherence to these practices, coupled with updated training in Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) including arbitration, mediation, and adjudication will improve project outcomes under Nigerian frameworks.

Keywords: Contract Administration, Dispute Resolution, Nigerian Institute of Architects (NIA), Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), Construction Contracts, Architects Registration Council of Nigeria (ARCON).

Introduction

Contract administration in construction refers to managing and overseeing the contract terms after execution, ensuring compliance by all parties and timely completion of works. In architectural practice, it involves issuing instructions, certifying payments, handling variations, and managing defects as per the design and project documents. In Nigeria, the Nigerian Institute of Architects (NIA) issues Standard Forms of Building Contract (e.g., the 1990 NIA standard form) and professional codes that define the roles of the architect as contract administrator. These guidelines emphasize clarity in the duties and rights of the employer, contractor, and consultant team. Notably, most Nigerian contracts including those under NIA forms prescribe alternative dispute resolution (ADR) clauses: disputes must first be addressed amicably, then referred to arbitration or mediation if unresolved. Such multi-tiered clauses are standard practice to avoid costly litigation.

Contract disputes are a leading cause of project delays and failures. For example, Egwunatum et al. (2021) identified communication failures particularly undefined channels as the leading cause of construction disputes in Nigeria. Similarly, Inyang and Olotuah (2024) noted that poor supervision, inadequate documentation, and ambiguous responsibilities regularly undermine project outcomes. These issues persist despite the availability of formal regulatory frameworks. Aluko and Oyebode (2023) highlighted a persistent gap between NIA contract provisions and actual field practice, emphasizing the need for stronger enforcement mechanisms and clearer role definition on sites.

Recent studies reinforce these challenges with current empirical evidence. Titus et al. (2023) found that contract incompleteness such as ambiguous clauses or inconsistent provisions remains a major contributor to disputes in Nigerian construction projects. In a Lagos-focused study, Akinradewo (2024) identified non-payment, unclear documentation, and wrongful termination as leading causes of conflict, concluding that mediation and early agent resolution are more effective than litigation. Additionally, Alugbue et al. (2024) emphasised that clear specifications, proactive enforcement, and consistent stakeholder coordination are essential for successful contract administration and dispute avoidance in urban construction projects.

In Nigeria's context, evolving legal frameworks such as the Arbitration and Mediation Act (2023) and institutional support from professional bodies like the NIA and the Architects Registration Council of Nigeria (ARCON) now offer updated mechanisms to manage disputes more efficiently.

This paper aims to synthesize best practices for contract administration and dispute resolution under NIA-influenced practices. It highlights current Nigerian research and guidelines, focusing on enhancing communication, thorough documentation,

and dispute clauses aligned with NIA and ARCON norms. This introduction outlines the scope, followed by a review of literature and methodology. Subsequent sections present analysis and practical recommendations for architects and contractors working under Nigerian regulations.

Contract Administration and Dispute Resolution: Best Practices under NIA Guidelines

Contract administration in architectural practice plays a pivotal role in determining the success, quality, and timeliness of project delivery. In Nigeria, the Nigerian Institute of Architects (NIA) provides a professional and legal framework through its Conditions of Engagement, emphasizing the architect's duty to manage contracts, enforce communication protocols, and resolve disputes. While these guidelines represent a structured approach to practice, literature reveals a persistent implementation gap, underlining the need to bridge theory and practice through proactive measures**.**

The NIA Framework for Contract Administration

The Conditions of Engagement issued by the NIA serve as the baseline standard for architectural contract administration in Nigeria. According to the guidelines, architects are responsible for clearly defining the scope of services, ensuring timely reporting of progress, and safeguarding adherence to agreed-upon quality and budget expectations (NIA, 2011). These responsibilities underscore the necessity of precise communication and comprehensive documentation. However, Aluko and Oyebode (2023) observed that, despite the formal adoption of NIA regulations, ambiguity in contract clauses, undefined responsibilities, and late payments continue to mar project outcomes. This disjunction between regulatory frameworks and field practice has been a consistent challenge.

In a survey by Egwunatum et al. (2021), communication failures were identified as the leading cause of disputes in Nigerian construction, with "undefined channels of communication" ranked first (MIS 4.16). Poor information flow, divergent expectations, and ineffective documentation processes were found to consistently delay projects and heighten tensions. This aligns with the NIA's call for architects to maintain detailed records. daily logs, meeting minutes, written instructions .as tools for transparency and accountability.

Theoretical Foundations: Transaction Cost Economics and Risk

The literature often employs Transaction Cost Economics (TCE) to explain the causes of construction disputes. Olaolu, Ariffin, and Ali (2023) argue that bounded rationality during contract drafting often results in incomplete contracts characterized by ambiguity,

inconsistency, and defects. These defects invite opportunistic behavior, such as scope manipulation or refusal to meet obligations. This theory is particularly useful in interpreting real-world scenarios in Nigeria, where latent risks become actualized due to vague deliverables and weak enforcement of professional protocols.

In practice, architects must not only be competent designers but also function as strategic managers of legal and economic risk. When contracts are vague or roles are poorly defined, the likelihood of misinterpretation and breach increases. The NIA framework, if correctly applied, can minimize these risks by emphasizing clarity in documentation and the strategic use of instruments such as variation orders, provisional sums, and progress reports.

Sources of Disputes in Nigerian Architectural Contracts

Numerous studies have documented recurring causes of disputes in architectural practice in Nigeria. Akinradewo (2017) and Inyang and Olotuah (2024) found that common triggers include non-payment for certified works, wrongful contract terminations, unclear contract documents, and unauthorized variations. Ambiguity in contract documentation, especially regarding deliverables, time frames, and payment milestones.is a central concern. Olaolu et al. (2023) emphasized that contract incompleteness remains a root cause of these disputes, opening the door to opportunistic conduct and disputes over work variation and responsibility.

Payment delays further compound contractual issues. Akinradewo (2017) noted that payment- related disputes constituted over 40% of reported construction conflicts in Lagos. These challenges are aggravated by Nigeria's inflationary pressures, which render initial cost estimates obsolete without adequate fluctuation clauses. Architects who fail to enforce remeasurement provisions or cost adjustment strategies often find themselves at the center of client–contractor tensions.

Similarly, unapproved or informal scope changes without corresponding contractual amendments often lead to conflict. Festus and Odediran (2022) highlight that clients' lack of understanding regarding the implications of mid-project variations contributes to disagreements about budget and timeline extensions. In such cases, architects are placed in a delicate position where they must defend the integrity of the original brief while maintaining stakeholder relationships.

Communication, Documentation, and Administrative Failures

The poor documentation culture prevalent in Nigerian projects is another recurring theme. Adeniyi and Bello (2021) reported that many construction disputes could have been averted if clear written records had been maintained. The tendency to issue verbal

instructions and rely on informal approvals undermines the architect's ability to enforce contract terms or defend their decisions in dispute resolution processes.

Furthermore, lapses in supervision and contract administration contribute significantly to conflict. Inyang and Olotuah (2024) emphasized that architects who fail to attend site meetings, document changes, or issue timely certificates lose control of the contractual framework. As a result, clients and contractors often act independently, creating conflicting directions and obligations. These lapses reflect not only technical shortcomings but also a deeper problem of professional role misperception, wherein architects are seen as mere designers rather than authoritative contract administrators**.**

Alternative Dispute Resolution under NIA Guidelines

Both the literature and Nigerian legal frameworks emphasize the growing role of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in managing construction disputes. ADR mechanisms, such as negotiation, mediation, adjudication, and arbitration.are favored for their speed, confidentiality, and less confrontational nature. Standard-form contracts and the NIA Conditions of Engagement mandate a staged approach to dispute resolution, beginning with negotiation and culminating in arbitration (NIA, 2011; ARCON, 2014).

Research confirms that ADR is not only effective but also essential in a legal climate where litigation can be lengthy and expensive. In Lagos, for instance, Inyang and Olotuah (2024) found that ADR was the dominant path for resolving architectural disputes, especially when administered by impartial and competent contract administrators. The new Arbitration and Mediation Act (AMA, 2023) further strengthens this trend by providing statutory backing for tiered resolution processes and designating recognized appointing authorities such as NIA and the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators.

However, the success of ADR depends on the architect's familiarity with statutory provisions, ethical standards, and procedural requirements. Architects who lack legal literacy or fail to document project processes thoroughly may undermine even well-structured ADR mechanisms. As Kluwer Arbitration Blog (2025) notes, confidentiality and enforceability are key strengths of arbitration, but these are only meaningful when contractual evidence is available and clear.

Best Practices and the Way Forward

Drawing on Nigerian and global literature, several best practices emerge. Egwunatum et al. (2021) recommend adopting digital information systems, such as Building Information Modelling (BIM) and project management software, to monitor scope, quality, and progress. Regular site meetings, risk logs, and formal approval processes for change orders are similarly vital. Survey data suggest that early team planning (MIS 4.34), contractual

negotiation (MIS 4.32), and proper documentation (MIS 4.28) are top strategies for minimizing disputes.

Equally important is the need for ethical leadership and emotional intelligence. Architects must lead by example. Managing expectations honestly, modelling fairness, and promoting transparency. Aina and Babalola (2023) observed that Nigeria's professional culture, which often emphasizes deference to hierarchy and informal relationships, may discourage early conflict resolution. Younger professionals managing older contractors or prestigious clients often face challenges asserting their authority, thus increasing the likelihood of contractual breakdowns.

Ultimately, managing contract compliance and dispute prevention requires a multifaceted approach: legal competence, communication skills, proactive oversight, and a deep understanding of human behaviour. By implementing NIA guidelines not only in form but in practice, architects can safeguard their projects from costly, avoidable disputes and elevate the standards of architectural delivery in Nigeria.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design anchored in documentary analysis and literature review, complemented by insights from empirical field data gathered through recent survey reports in Nigeria's construction industry. The primary objective was to explore effective strategies for contract administration and dispute resolution within the Nigerian context, with a focus on guidelines established by the Nigerian Institute of Architects (NIA) and the Architects Registration Council of Nigeria (ARCON).

The research draws upon two major sources of data. Secondary data were collected through an extensive review of peer-reviewed journal articles, legal commentaries, conference proceedings, and standard contract documents such as NIA/ARCON forms of agreement. This included an analysis of historical trends, legal interpretations, and best practices recommended in existing literature, particularly as they pertain to the Nigerian construction industry.

To extract themes systematically from the literature and survey reports, a thematic content analysis approach was used. Recurring concepts such as "communication breakdown," "contract ambiguity," "scope variation," and "multi-tiered dispute resolution" were identified through open coding. These themes were then grouped into broader categories namely, causes of disputes, administrative failures, and mitigation strategies. This analytical process enabled the study to map empirical findings onto key contractual principles, thereby linking practice with professional guidelines.

Results and Discussion

The review yields several key insights into effective contract administration and dispute resolution under NIA guidelines. These are discussed below and summarized in supporting tables.

Primary Causes of Disputes

An analysis of recent survey data reveals the major triggers of disagreements. Table 1 (below) ranks the top causes identified in a 2023 Nigerian study. At the top are communication failures and misaligned interests. This confirms that best practices must prioritize clear, documented communication channels. Architects should enforce formal notice registers and regular reporting to preempt ambiguity. Similarly, differences in priorities or expertise among consultants (rank 2 and 3 causes) suggest the need for early team alignment and clear role definitions. For example, ensuring that clients' needs are unambiguously captured in the scope and that all consultants share a coordinated project brief can mitigate clashes in expectations.

Table 1: Ranked Causes of Construction Disputes in Nigeria (2023)

Rank	Cause of Dispute	Mean Score
1	Undefined channel of communication	4.16
2	Differences in interests, concerns, or perceptions among project participants	4.09
3	Diversity in technical expertise of project participants	3.77
4	Discrepancies in contract bills or drawings (e.g., BOQs)	3.61
5	Misinterpretation of client's requirements	3.45
6	Ambiguity in specifications	3.15
7	Complexity of contract documents	3.09
8	Scope changes due to design errors	3.00
9	Project manager's low level of authority	2.98
10	Unforeseen site conditions	2.87

Source: Anumudu & Uchendu (2023)

As the table shows, communicative and procedural issues dominate. The literature suggests addressing these by standardizing documentation systems. Agu (2015) recommended that the Nigerian construction industry "standardize its system of contract documentation" since a reliable record is foundational for dispute settlement. This means

using consistent formats for drawings, specifications, contracts, and change orders. When all documents (approved drawings, contract amendments, meeting minutes) are formalized and accessible, parties have a shared reference. In practice, NIA guidelines imply that architects should issue instructions *in writing* and keep copies of all contract correspondence, in line with Clause 2.3 of the NIA form (which requires written instructions from the architect).

Contract Terms and Negotiation: Another key practice is ensuring the contract itself is clear and mutually understood before works commence. Table 2 lists the top strategies respondents ranked for mitigating disputes. The number one strategy is "excellent planning with the project team before works begin" (Mean 4.34). This encompasses collaborative preconstruction meetings, agreeing on workflows, and aligning project objectives. The second-ranking strategy is "careful understanding and negotiation of contract terms and conditions with the client" (Mean 4.32). In other words, invest time during contracting to clarify scope, timelines, payment schedules, and risk allocations. This resonates with contractual best practices globally – a well- negotiated agreement (possibly including bespoke clauses) can prevent many disputes. It also echoes recommendations by legal analysts who advise tailoring standard forms to the project's needs (for example, amending the NIA form's Clause 35 on arbitration to specify language and seat).

Table 2: Top Dispute Mitigation Strategies (2023)

Rank	Mitigation Strategy	Mean Score
1	Pre-construction planning with the full project team	4.34
2	Careful negotiation and clarification of contract terms with the client	4.32
3	Daily documentation of any defects or variations arising during construction	4.28
4	Strict adherence to the contract (and requiring the other party to do likewise)	4.23
5	Timely performance of all contractual obligations (e.g. payment certification)	4.16

Source: Anumudu & Uchendu (2023)

Table 2 underscores practical steps. Following the contract closely (rank 4) is crucial: architects should enforce the NIA conditions (or ARCON terms) on procedure, giving written notice of issues like defects or delays. This supports dispute avoidance because if a party acts "without prejudice" to its contractual rights (for example, raising a claim

promptly in writing), courts or arbitrators later have a clear basis. Timely obligation fulfillment (rank 5) highlights payment discipline: architects must process Interim Payment Certificates (IPCs) rapidly. If an architect delays payment certification beyond the contract schedule, it can provoke contractor claims. As one construction practice handbook notes, *"Prompt payment of IPC is good for any contract, because the contractor needs steady cash flow"*.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)

Modern best practice strongly favours embedding ADR in contracts. Reflecting ARCON/NIA norms, virtually all construction agreements in Nigeria specify that disputes follow a multi- step resolution path. The literature confirms this approach is beneficial: Okereke et al. (2022) note that alternative methods are chosen to avoid litigation's expense and delay. In practice, a standard clause (as in ARCON's 2014 agreement) calls for amicable negotiation, then mediation, then arbitration with an agreed appointing body. Our review agrees that architects should ensure such clauses are included and clearly worded. For instance, specifying names of arbitration bodies (e.g. AIAC or Lagos Court's ADR Centre) or using NIA's dispute resolution guidelines can make the process smoother. Notably, Nigerian law is evolving: the new Arbitration and Mediation Act (2023) modernises arbitration procedures. Best practice under NIA guidance is to reference the current Act by year or include a relevant arbitration institution, rather than the outdated ACA 1988 that older contracts cite.

Adjudication and Interim Remedies

Though not yet mandated by statute in Nigeria, adjudication is an emerging ADR mechanism. Construction firms should consider including adjudication boards (as in FIDIC forms) or adopting the Lagos Chamber Adjudication Rules. Adeyemi (2023) points out that adjudication can provide an *"interim cash-flow remedy"* and keep projects moving by giving rapid interim decisions (to be finalized by arbitration later). Under NIA contracts, this could be achieved by supplementing standard clauses with a Dispute Adjudication Board (DAB) or Dispute Avoidance Board (DAB) appointment process at the contract's outset. Implementing such a mechanism aligns with global best practice and is supported by Nigerian legal experts, even though it is contractual rather than legislative.

Summary of Findings

Combining these elements, the results indicate that effective communication, thorough documentation, clear contract terms, and structured ADR clauses form the core of best practice under NIA guidelines. Project management tools (such as formal progress

meeting minutes and defect registers) and collaborative planning help address the top dispute drivers identified in Nigerian studies. At the same time, an emphasis on ADR (mediation/arbitration) complies with professional codes and reduces the incidence of unresolved claims. These practices, drawn from the literature and industry surveys, are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 above. When architects and contractors systematically apply them as encouraged by NIA's standards and
ARCON's conditions the industry should see fewer disputes and more successful project completions.

Conclusion

This review finds that contract administration in Nigeria must combine diligent project management with legal foresight. Under NIA and ARCON guidelines, architects are tasked with managing contracts in a manner that minimizes conflict and upholds ethical standards. The evidence shows that best practices include pre-contract clarity (detailed scopes, negotiated terms), rigorous on-site communication and record-keeping, and adherence to formal procedures for variations and defects. Equally important is the proactive inclusion of dispute resolution mechanisms in contracts. Parties should explicitly agree to negotiate, mediate, and then arbitrate any disagreements, mirroring the NIA form's intent and contemporary law.

Adopting these practices yields multiple benefits: improved collaboration, faster issue resolution, and reduced legal costs. As the Nigerian construction sector modernizes (e.g. with the new Arbitration and Mediation Act), practitioners following NIA conventions are well- positioned to resolve disputes efficiently. In summary, the "best practices" under NIA guidelines involve communication, documentation, and dispute clauses that align with industry norms. They reflect both the professional responsibilities of architects (per NIA/ARCON codes) and the practical needs of complex construction projects.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are offered for architects, contractors, and clients operating under Nigerian contract regimes:

i. **Standardize Documentation:** All parties should use the NIA standard forms as a starting point but ensure that all documents (drawings, bills of quantities, contracts, minutes) are properly maintained. Firms should adopt or develop standardized templates for reports and notices to avoid ambiguity.
ii. **Enhance Communication:** Implement formal communication channels (e.g. official instruction registers, daily briefing sessions) and insist that verbal

instructions be confirmed in writing, as required by contract. Regular site meetings with minutes can catch issues early.

iii. **Contract Training and Review:** Architects should receive ongoing training in contract law and management. Before signing, clients and architects must thoroughly review contract clauses (particularly those on scope, payment, delays, and disputes) to ensure mutual understanding. Engaging a legal review of the contract can prevent later disputes over interpretation.

iv. **Rigorous Pre-Construction Planning:** Emphasize comprehensive planning (project timeline, resource allocation, risk register) before works begin. Involve all stakeholders in setting realistic schedules. This aligns with the top-ranked strategy identified in industry surveys.

v. **Build-in ADR Mechanisms:** Contracts should explicitly require multi-tiered dispute resolution: first negotiation/conciliation, then mediation, then arbitration. Specify appointing authorities (e.g., President of NIA, Lagos Multi-Door Court). Keep dispute clauses updated by referencing current laws and institutions (e.g. replace outdated ACA references with the 2023 AMA).

vi. **Consider Adjudication:** Parties should include adjudication clauses or agreements (for example, appointing a Dispute Adjudication Board) to allow binding interim decisions on urgent disputes. Utilizing the Lagos Court's Adjudication Rules or similar frameworks will aid continuity of work.

vii. **Enforce Ethical Conduct:** All architects should follow the NIA Code of Conduct, which emphasizes honesty and impartiality in reporting. Ethical practice includes avoiding conflicts of interest in disputes (e.g., the architect should not switch sides after certification).

viii. **Periodic Contract Form Updates:** The NIA (with stakeholders) should periodically revise its standard contract forms to fix any lacunae (as identified by Okereke *et al.*) and to align with new laws. For example, clarifying arbitration language and time- frames in the NIA form would ensure it remains fit for purpose.

By implementing these recommendations, the Nigerian construction industry can better manage contracts and resolve disagreements, in line with both professional guidelines and modern dispute resolution standards.

References

Adeniyi, R. A., & Bello, K. T. (2021). Documentation practices and dispute resolution in Nigerian building projects. *Nigerian Journal of Construction Management*, 15(2), 45–58.

Adeyemi, A. (2023). Construction adjudication in Nigeria: Navigating the enforcement landscape. *Africa Construction Law*. Retrieved from https://africaconstructionlaw.org/construction-adjudication-in-nigeria-navigating-the-enforcement-landscape:contentReference[oaicite:49]{index=49}.

Aina, O., & Babalola, T. (2023). *Sociocultural dynamics in Nigeria's construction conflicts: A behavioural analysis*. Journal of African Built Environment, 12(1), 21–39.

Akinradewo, O. (2017). An empirical assessment of construction disputes in Lagos State.

Akinradewo, O. (2024). Contractual disputes and resolution mechanisms in Lagos construction projects. *Journal of Economics and Development Studies*, 12(1), 44–55. https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/JEDS/article/view/38966

Alugbue, O., Olatunji, M., & Emeh, I. (2024). Impact of specification on construction administration for project management within Lagos megacity. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS)*, 8(4), 101–108. https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/impact-of-specification-on-construction-administration-for-project-management-within-lagos-megacity/

Aluko, S., & Oyebode, O. (2023). Gaps between architectural guidelines and practice in Nigerian contract administration. *Nigerian Journal of Architecture and Planning*, 18(3), 33– 48.

Anumudu, A. C., & Uchendu, G. M. (2023). *Examination of major causes of disputes and dispute resolution methods used in the Nigerian construction industry*. International Research Journal of Innovations in Engineering and Technology, 7(3), 16–23.

Architects Council of Nigeria. (2014). *Conditions of engagement and consultancy agreement for architects* (7th ed.). Architects Council of Nigeria. (See Section 17: Arbitration).

Architects Registration Council of Nigeria. (2014). *Conditions of Engagement for Professional Consultancy Services* (ARCON).

DLA Piper. (2025). *Dispute resolution in the courts in Nigeria*. DLA Piper RealWorld. Retrieved from https://www.dlapiperrealworld.com/law/construction/dispute-resolution/nigeria:contentReference[oaicite:45]{index=45}:contentReference[oaicite:46]{i ndex=46}

Egwunatum, S. I., Anumudu, A. C., Eze, E. C., & Imoleayo, A. A. (2021). Total quality management (TQM) implementation in the Nigerian construction industry. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-08-2020-0639:contentReference[oaicite:47]{index=47}

Egwunatum, S., Iwu, C. G., & Ezeabasili, A. (2021). The impact of communication failures on project disputes in Nigeria. *Journal of Project Management Studies*, 6(2), 88–104.

Festus, A. & Odediran, S. J. (2022). Unmanaged scope changes and cost escalation in Nigerian construction. *Journal of Quantity Surveying & Construction Economics*, 10(3), 65–78.

International Journal of Construction Law and Management, 9(1), 11–24.

Inyang, E., & Olotuah, A. (2024). Contract administration practices and dispute resolution strategies in Nigeria's architectural sector. *West African Journal of Architecture*, 17(1), 59– 77.

Kluwer Arbitration Blog. (2025). *Trends in African arbitration: Nigeria's new ADR policy*.

National Policy on ADR. (2025). *Federal Republic of Nigeria National Alternative Dispute Resolution Policy*. Ministry of Justice.

Nigerian Institute of Architects. (2011). *Conditions of Engagement and Professional Scale of Fees*.

Okereke, C., Eze, T., & Mohammed, F. (2022). Contractual clarity and standard form gaps in NIA documents. *Journal of Contract Law in Nigeria*, 7(4), 92–108.

Okereke, R. A., Nwosu, C. S., & Nnolum, N. P. (2022). Significance of arbitration and conciliation proceedings in a construction contract. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews, 3*(9), 2132–2136.

Olaolu, O., Ariffin, A. A., & Ali, N. A. (2023). Transaction cost economics and contract incompleteness in construction procurement. *Built Environment Economics Journal*, 5(1), 19–34.

Retrieved from https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com

Titus, B., Osobajo, O. A., & Adebayo, A. K. (2023). Causes of contractual disputes in the Nigerian construction industry. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 13(3), 452–470. https://eprints.utm.my/104967/.