VOL. 08 NO. 4,
MAY, 2025
E-ISSN 3026-9210
P-ISSN 3026-815X



African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Built Env. & Geological Research (JBEGR)

Project Management Techniques in Architecture in Nigeria: Best Practices and Challenges

Ogunnaike, Adekunle O.; & Tolulope A. Afolabi
Department of Architecture, Caleb University, Imota, Ikorodu, Lagos, Nigeria
Corresponding Author: afolabi.tolulope@calebuniversity.edu.ng

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajbegr.v8i4.038

Abstract

Effective project management is critical to the successful execution of architectural projects, ensuring that timelines, budgets, and quality standards are consistently met. This study investigates project management techniques in architecture, with a focus on best practices and challenges encountered by professionals in the field. Utilizing a quantitative research approach, data was collected through structured questionnaires distributed to architects, project managers, and other stakeholders within Nigeria (n = 138). The findings highlight key project management strategies, including resource optimization, stakeholder engagement, and risk mitigation. The study also identifies prevalent challenges such as inadequate communication, resource constraints, and evolving client demands. Recommendations are provided to enhance project management efficiency, including adopting technology-driven tools, fostering continuous professional development, and establishing clearer communication channels. This research contributes to the body of knowledge on architectural project management by offering practical insights for improving project delivery outcomes.

Keywords: Agile, Architecture, Best Practices, BIM, Challenges, Project Management.

Introduction

Architectural endeavours are inherently complex, requiring meticulous planning, teamwork, and implementation to achieve successful outcomes. These projects extend beyond the physical construction of buildings; they are a synthesis of creative vision, technical expertise, and managerial skill (Kheirollahi & Safarnezhad, 2023). Architects must balance various components such as design goals, functional requirements, and client aspirations, while adhering to legal regulations and environmental considerations. The intricate interplay of these elements underscores the need for a robust and organized project management strategy to navigate the complexities inherent in architectural projects (Bonello, 2023).

Project management in architecture transcends administrative tasks, serving as a strategic framework to ensure each project element aligns with the overarching vision (Hindarto, 2023). Efficient project management empowers architects and their teams to set clear objectives, allocate resources judiciously, and adhere to timelines and budgets (Pemaj, 2022). It also fosters interdisciplinary collaboration, uniting architects, engineers, contractors, and other stakeholders toward cohesive project outcomes.

As the architectural field evolves, so do project management approaches. Traditional linear frameworks are being supplemented or replaced by innovative and adaptable methods (Gilbert, 2024). Notably, Building Information Modelling (BIM) has emerged as a pivotal tool, enabling comprehensive digital modelling for real-time visualization, enhanced communication, and proactive issue resolution (Rane et al., 2023). Agile methodologies are also gaining traction, promoting adaptability and iterative progress, which allows teams to navigate changing client requirements and unforeseen challenges (Chukwunweike & Aro, 2024).

Despite advancements in architectural practice methodologies, architectural projects often encounter significant impediments that jeopardize successful delivery. Foremost concerns include poor planning, poor communications, inefficient use of resources, and difficulty in complying with financial and scheduling requirements (Ndou et al., 2024). All these complications are particularly compounded in countries such as Nigeria, where access to state-of-the-art technology, expert professionals, and effective regulatory structures is poor. All such impediments not only extend delivery timelines but also escalate expenses and erode deliverables' quality (Reiff & Schlegel, 2022).

A major gap still exists in the current literature when it comes to understanding

how project management strategies are personalized, tested, and applied specifically within the Nigerian architectural environment. There isn't much research out there that looks closely at how modern approaches like BIM and Agile actually play out in the day-to-day work of professionals within Nigeria's changing architectural scene.

The aim of this study is to explore the approaches to project management adopted in architectural practice, with specific focus placed on identifying best practice and complications encountered by architects and project managers in managing complex architectural projects. This study drives at shedding light on how such approaches can be optimized for enhancing delivery in architectural practice.

The objectives of this study are outlined below:

1. To analyse the prevalent project management methodologies used in architectural projects, including traditional and modern approaches.
2. To evaluate the effectiveness of various tools and technologies in supporting architectural project management.
3. To identify the key challenges faced by architects and project managers in the execution of architectural projects.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Overview of Project Management in Architecture

Project management in the field of architecture is the use of processes and knowledge, abilities, and techniques to attain defined project objectives as specified by cost, time, and quality of the project; Guo & Zhang, 2022. It goes all the way up from design, construction, towards the management aspect of diverse teams and complex workflows. As posited by Carboni et al. (2021) the work of architectural project management ensures that the project is done efficiently, meeting the required standards by balancing the set goals of the clients and regulatory bodies in terms of aesthetic, functionality, and sustainability.

Conventionally, project management in architecture followed a linear method which rarely accommodated flexibility in changing dynamics (Diem, 2021). With time, the discipline has grown to inculcate more agile and adaptive methodologies that shall assist the project manager in handling such a rise in the complexity of architectural projects. This brings in a greater focus on risk management, resource allocation, and stakeholder coordination required in navigating through the complex process of translating an architectural vision into reality. Project Managers in architectural projects must navigate through the expectations and requirements of many stakeholders: clients, contractors, and regulatory agencies (Obiuto et al., 2024). They need to keep the project on track with open

channels of communication and resolve such conflicts that may arise at any time in the project lifecycle (Tijani et al., 2021).

Advanced technologies, such as BIM, have transformed architectural project management through better visualization, collaboration, and problem-solving. However, Zhang et al. (2024) pointed out in their research that even with its benefits, the use of BIM is slow due to training challenges and implementation costs. In most fundamental respects, project management of architecture is a dynamic process underpinning how complex projects, while realizing success, can fulfil their purpose they serve in meeting their stakeholders.

Popular Project Management Methodologies

As posited by Lalmi et al. (2021), the success or failure of the architectural project is determined by the correct methodology chosen to manage the project. Indeed, the methodologies differ in their approach to time, resources, and expectations of stakeholders because of their various advantages and disadvantages.

The Waterfall model, being one of the earliest and most traditional methodologies, is sequentially and linearly managed. In this model, each stage of the project-design, development, construction-must be completed before the other one starts (Aroral, 2021). This approach gives quite clear clarity and is useful in projects whose objectives are well defined with stable requirements, as putting forth by Aroral (2021). Its predictability allows for thorough planning and documentation at each stage, making it easier to track progress and identify potential issues early. This is, however, a significant drawback of the Waterfall model when working in dynamic environments since changes come very often and are sometimes vital (Samaratova, 2024). Inability to revisit the previously completed phase but with substantial rework limits agility and responsiveness.

On the other hand, Agile methodologies of project management are all about flexibility and adaptiveness. The idea originally has been developed for software and then was used in architecture. Agile frameworks, such as Scrum and Kanban, emphasize iterative development, continuous feedback, and client collaboration (Daraojimba et al., 2024). These methods allow teams to adapt to evolving project scopes and changing client requirements, often resulting in higher client satisfaction and innovative outcomes. Agile applies particularly for the projects containing such factors as complexity or unsure elements, because it allows for the progressive improvement and correcting during the system's life-cycle as derived by Pinciroli (2024).

Lean construction, stemming from the principles of Lean manufacturing, has aimed at the dual purpose of waste reduction and value maximization (Du et al., 2023). In the Lean methodology, the focus is on smoothing process variation, optimizing resources, and

delivering value to the customer. Lean principles support the idea of eliminating non-value-added activities, and a culture of continuous improvement and efficiency should be encouraged, as posited by Ojo et al. (2022). This is particularly helpful in construction projects, which have long been susceptible to delays and cost overruns.

Hybrid models have emerged in response to the diverse needs of architectural projects, combining elements from multiple methodologies to create a more tailored approach. These models integrate structured planning from Waterfall, flexibility from Agile, and efficiency from Lean into one balanced framework that can adapt to varying project demands (Roy, 2023).

By understanding and selecting the appropriate project management methodology, architectural project managers can better navigate the complexities of their projects, ensuring that each phase aligns with the overall objectives and client expectations (Hindarto, 2023).

Tools and Technologies for Architectural Project Management

Technological changes have really revolutionized project management in architecture (Nguyen & Dao, 2021). Among many innovative tools introduced, BIM is one that allows for the development of a full-scale digital model and thus facilitates real-time visualization, collaboration, and better decision-making throughout the cycle of a project. According to Zhu & Wu (2022), BIM reduces errors and inefficiencies from integrated data within multi-disciplines. On the other hand, Ibrahim et al. (2022) note that BIM is yet to realize full potential in architecture due to issues related to insufficient software integration that result in scheduling inefficiencies. Besides BIM, some project management software like Microsoft Project, Primavera, and Trello provide the functions of scheduling, resource allocation, and progress tracking. According to Al Nahian et al. (2024), these tools are very important in the successful management of big architectural projects. Collaboration platforms like Asana, Slack, and Autodesk's solutions further improve communication and coordination among project stakeholders. According to Pemaj 2022, these platforms enhance project outcomes by ensuring that all team members are on the same page.

Challenges in Architectural Project Management

Most of the times, an architectural project usually presents a host of challenges in terms of managerial effectiveness that greatly affects the smooth completion of any given project. First is usually resource constraints involving very limited budgets, labour shortages, and specialty material shortages, all of which will result in a delay to

completion, exceeding budget costs, and a loss in quality (Durdyev, 2020). Resource management becomes a delicate balancing act, as project managers are tasked with ensuring that the project progresses smoothly without overstepping financial or time constraints (Parsamehr et al., 2022).

The other big challenge in managing architectural projects arises from the communication gap between the client and other stakeholders including architects, contractors, suppliers, and regulatory authorities. Miscommunication may further lead to misunderstanding, misaligned expectation, and potential costly errors according to Gunawardena & Ghapanchi, 2024. On the other hand, effective communication remains very crucial in ensuring coordination and thereby avoiding confusion in the process of a project right from inception to completion.

Regulatory compliance is part of the challenge in giving close attention to a variety of factors. Most architectural projects must adhere to hundreds of building codes, zoning laws, and local and national or international safety and environmental regulations. The legal and other regulatory frameworks involving such projects thus require comprehensive planning and documentation with the ultimate aim of garnering all needed permits and approvals in a timely manner (Ahmed et al., 2021). Lastly, the rapid evolution of technology poses a unique challenge. As new tools, software, and construction methodologies emerge, project managers and teams must stay updated with these advancements.

Case Studies of Successful and Challenging Projects

Case studies offer valuable insights into the challenges and successes encountered in architectural project management. The Sydney Opera House is a prime example of a project that faced significant hurdles in terms of cost overruns, delays, and complex design challenges. Originally estimated to cost £7 million, the final cost exceeded £100 million, and the project took over 15 years to complete. As (Godawatte, 2021) highlighted, the project faced difficulties due to the lack of a clear and unified project management approach, as well as unforeseen technical challenges associated with its innovative design. Despite these setbacks, the Sydney Opera House stands as an iconic structure, demonstrating the importance of meticulous planning, resource management, and adaptability in handling complex architectural projects.

In contrast, The Shard in London is an example of a project that exemplified successful project management practices. Completed in 2012, the 95-story skyscraper was built in a highly congested urban environment, necessitating efficient logistics, advanced technologies, and strong collaboration among stakeholders**.** Chen et al. (2020) discussed

how the integration of cutting-edge building information modeling (BIM) software, early-stage risk management, and comprehensive stakeholder coordination ensured the project was delivered on time and within budget. The Shard's success demonstrates the value of leveraging modern project management tools to streamline processes and mitigate risks (Johnson et al., 2024). In the Nigerian context, Eko Atlantic City in Lagos represents a large-scale infrastructure project that faces unique challenges in a developing region. (Dano et al., 2020) noted that issues such as limited access to advanced construction technology, shortages of skilled labor, and infrastructural deficiencies hinder the smooth progression of such projects. Despite these challenges, Eko Atlantic City aims to become a model for urban development in Africa, showcasing both the potential and obstacles of managing large-scale architectural projects in developing economies.

Emerging Trends in Architectural Project Management

The future of architectural project management is being significantly influenced by emerging trends that focus on innovation, efficiency, and sustainability. One of the most prominent trends is the increasing emphasis on sustainability and green building practices. As environmental concerns grow, integrating sustainability into project management has become crucial. Ershadi et al., (2021) emphasized that sustainable design principles are now central to architectural projects, requiring project managers to consider environmental impacts throughout the lifecycle of a building, from design to construction and operation. In parallel, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) is transforming project management. AI and ML are being leveraged for predictive analytics, helping to forecast project risks, timelines, and budgets with greater accuracy. These technologies also support automation of routine tasks, enabling project teams to focus on more complex issues. Manchana, (2022) noted how AI-driven tools enhance decision-making by providing real-time insights, improving efficiency, and reducing errors. Furthermore, the shift towards remote and hybrid work models, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has reshaped collaboration within architectural project management. Chinta (2025) explored how virtual collaboration tools and digital platforms are enabling teams to manage projects efficiently, even in dispersed environments. These models have required the adoption of adaptive project management strategies to ensure continuity and maintain project delivery standards despite physical distance.

METHODOLOGY

This chapter details the research methods employed to investigate project management techniques in architecture, emphasizing best practices and challenges. It includes the research design, population and sampling, data collection methods, and data analysis techniques.

Research Design

This study adopts a quantitative survey design to examine project management approaches in architectural practice. The methodology is suitable for collecting numerical data, enabling the study to quantify and analyse the prevalence of best practices and challenges in project management. Structured questionnaires are utilized to ensure consistency in data collection and facilitate statistical analysis. The quantitative approach provides an objective basis for identifying trends and correlations within the data.

Population and Sampling

To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, an appropriate sample size was determined using Cochran's formula with a 5% margin of error. The target population includes architects, project managers, and other professionals involved in architectural project management in Nigeria. Based on the formula, a total of 217 responses were initially required to achieve the desired statistical power. However, fieldwork yielded 138 completed responses.

While this number is below the initially calculated sample size, it still provides a solid empirical foundation for meaningful analysis and trend identification. Nonetheless, the reduced response rate necessitates a critical evaluation of its implications. The shortfall may introduce limitations in the representativeness of the data, potentially affecting the ability to generalize findings to the entire population of architectural project management professionals in Nigeria. This limitation is particularly relevant given the diversity of firm sizes, regional practices, and levels of technology adoption within the industry. To mitigate this, the sample was drawn from a broad professional demographic across various regions and firm sizes, thus preserving a reasonable level of heterogeneity. While it's important to be cautious with broad generalizations, the insights offered still clarify key patterns, challenges, and opportunities in Nigerian architectural project management.

Data Collection Methods

Primary data for this study was collected solely through structured questionnaires. These questionnaires were designed to capture only quantitative data, as all questions were

closed-ended and formatted using multiple-choice and Likert scale responses. The structured nature of the questionnaire ensured uniformity and facilitated statistical analysis of the collected data.

Secondary data was gathered from academic journals, industry reports, and relevant publications to provide a theoretical foundation and contextual background for the study's findings.

Data Analysis Techniques

The collected data was analysed using descriptive statistical methods, including frequency distributions, percentages, and mean scores, to summarize and interpret responses effectively. The use of statistical tools such as Microsoft Excel and SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) facilitated the computation and visualization of data trends. The Likert scale responses were analysed using mean and standard deviation calculations, allowing for an assessment of consensus levels among respondents regarding various project management techniques and challenges. Additionally, correlation analysis was performed to identify relationships between key project management factors, such as the impact of technology on efficiency and the role of stakeholder involvement in project success.

Findings from the analysis were presented in tables and charts to provide clear visual representations of trends and patterns within the dataset. These insights help establish a data-driven understanding of best practices and challenges in project management within architectural practice.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

Presentation of Findings

The findings from the questionnaire responses provide key insights into the project management techniques, challenges, and emerging trends within architectural practice. Data collected from 138 respondents were analyzed using descriptive statistics, including frequency distributions, mean scores, and cross-tabulations. The analysis focused on three main areas: prevalent project management methodologies, effectiveness of tools and technologies, and challenges in architectural project management. The results highlight significant trends in task prioritization, stakeholder involvement, technology adoption, and project execution constraints.

Interpretation of Results

Demographic Insights

The demographic analysis as indicated in Table 1 shows a male-dominated workforce (71.7%) in architectural project management, reflecting industry trends. Most respondents (46.4%) were between 20-30 years old, followed by 31-40 years (29.0%), indicating a younger workforce possibly influenced by the increasing role of technology in architecture. Experience levels varied, with 39.9% having 5-10 years of experience, 34.8% with less than 5 years, and 25.4% with more than 10 years. Most respondents worked in small (39.9%) or medium-sized firms (37.7%), suggesting that a significant portion of architectural work occurs in firms with limited resources. Residential projects were the most common (35.5%), highlighting the growing demand for housing due to urbanization.

Table 1: Distribution of Gender, Years of Experience, and Professional Role

Category	**Frequency**	**Percent**
Gender of Respondents		
Male	99	71.7%
Female	39	28.3%
Total	138	100.0%
Age of Respondents		
20-30 years	64	46.4%
31-40 years	40	29.0%
41-50 years	29	21.0%
Above 50 years	5	3.6%
Total	138	100.0%
Years of Experience		
Less than 5 years	48	34.8%
5-10 years	55	39.9%
More than 10 years	35	25.4%
Total	138	
Size of Firm		
Small (less than 10 employees)	55	39.9%
Medium (10-50 employees)	52	37.7%
Large (more than 50 employees)	31	22.5%
Total	138	100.0

Types of Projects Worked On		
Mixed-use	28	20.3%
Residential	49	35.5%
Commercial	40	29.0%
Institutional	21	15.2%
Total	138	

Objective 1: Prevalent Project Management Methodologies

Looking at the results from the questionnaire addressing Objective 1, the findings as indicated in Table 2 shows that task prioritization is not primarily deadline-driven, as 42.0% strongly disagreed that deadlines were the dominant factor in prioritization. This aligns with the observations of Tijani et al. (2021) who emphasized that modern project management in architecture often requires adaptive scheduling techniques rather than strict deadline adherence. Similarly, stakeholder input had a minimal effect, with 78.3% disagreeing that it significantly influences prioritization. This contrasts with Hindarto (2023) who argued that stakeholder engagement is a critical factor in project planning. The findings suggest that internal project teams primarily dictate task allocation, reinforcing the assertion by Tijani et al. (2021) that autonomous decision-making within project teams often results in greater efficiency.

Table 2: Statistics of prevalent project management methodologies

		Prioritizing Tasks by Deadlines	How Stakeholder Input Influences Task Prioritization	Team Capacity and Task Prioritization	Project Management Tools and Techniques to manage tasks	The Importance of Progress Reviews
N	Valid	138	138	138	138	138
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.07	1.97	2.06	2.00	1.85
Median		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Mode		1	2	2	2	1
Std. Deviation		1.118	.974	.942	.928	.958

Interestingly, project management tools were not widely regarded as impactful, as 73.9% of respondents indicated that such tools did not significantly aid task management. This suggests a potential underutilization of project management software or inefficiencies in their implementation, a challenge also noted by Ibrahim et al. (2022) in their study on

digital transformation in architecture. Likewise, progress reviews were not considered essential, with 77.5% of respondents disagreeing on their importance. This finding suggests a possible lack of structured project monitoring and evaluation, which could impact overall project efficiency.

Objective 2: Effectiveness of Tools and Technologies

Technology adoption in architectural project management remains limited. As indicated in Table 3, a substantial 71.1% of respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed that BIM (Building Information Modeling) is integrated into their project management processes, indicating a slow adoption rate despite its recognized industry benefits. This aligns with research by Aroral (2021), who identified resistance to BIM adoption due to lack of training and high implementation costs. Scheduling software also showed limited impact, with 73.2% disagreeing that it significantly enhances project timelines, mirroring the findings of Pemaj (2022), who noted that scheduling inefficiencies often arise from inadequate software integration.

A key concern identified was the learning curve associated with new project management tools, with 56.5% agreeing that adapting to new technologies is challenging. This suggests the need for structured training programs to facilitate technology adoption in architectural project management, as advocated by Zhu & Wu (2022). Notably, a significant relationship was found between years of experience and BIM adoption, with older professionals less likely to integrate BIM into their workflows. This suggests that younger professionals may be more open to adopting new technological solutions, reinforcing the importance of training and continuous professional development.

Table 3: Statistics on Effectiveness of Tools and Technologies

		BIM Integration in Project Management Processes	Scheduling software effectively enhances project timelines	Collaborative tools significantly improve team communication.	The learning curve for new project management tools is a challenge.	Integration of project management tools with other systems is seamless.
N	Valid	138	138	138	138	138
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		2.07	2.01	1.88	2.28	2.25
Median		2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
Mode		2	2	1	3	3
Std. Deviation		.825	.888	.929	.950	.913

Objective 3: Challenges in Architectural Project Management

As indicated in Table 4, time constraints were identified as a major challenge, with 76.1% agreeing that they frequently impact project execution. Budget issues were also prevalent, with 80.5% agreeing that financial constraints often arise. These findings are consistent with Parsamehr et al., (2022), who identified time and budget overruns as two of the most common issues in architectural project management. Stakeholder conflicts further hinder project success, as indicated by 80.4% of respondents, highlighting the need for improved stakeholder engagement and conflict resolution strategies. Effective resource allocation was widely acknowledged as critical, with 78.2% emphasizing its importance, reinforcing the necessity for strategic planning and resource management. Also, 71.8% of respondents found managing multidisciplinary teams challenging due to communication gaps, suggesting the need for improved coordination strategies and leadership training, as supported by Ahmed et al., 2021.

Table 4: Statistics on Effectiveness of Tools and Technologies

Statistics						
		Time constraints are a frequent challenge in project management.	Budget issues often arise during project execution	Stakeholder conflicts hinder project progress.	Effective resource allocation is crucial to project success.	Managing multidisciplinary teams is challenging due to communication gaps.
N	Valid	138	138	138	138	138
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		1.92	1.86	1.96	1.75	2.00
Median		2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00
Mode		1	2	2	1	2
Std. Deviation		.929	.839	.753	.888	.854

Challenges and Opportunities

Results have pointed out that several challenges were faced in the management of an architectural project: serious problems in time management, limited budgets, stakeholder involvement, and interdisciplinary communication. The majority of responses pointed out the lack of focus on structured prioritization of tasks, monitoring progress, and the usage of management tools. These gaps may imply potential inefficiencies that could be minimized with more structured project management frameworks and best practices.

Notwithstanding these, opportunities for improvement are not completely lacking. The emergent trend of technology serves as a conduit to better management of projects. While the present adoption of BIM, scheduling software, and collaborative tools is low, there is a leeway for their better implementation through training programs and integration into workflows. Besides, a strengthened process of stakeholder engagement can reduce conflicts and enhance project success. Small and medium-sized firms that dominate the industry could particularly benefit from strategic resource allocation and improved project tracking mechanisms.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of Findings

This study analyzed best practice and challenge in architecture project management and determined that work prioritization is actually more a function of team decision, not deadlines, and not even necessarily a function of stakeholder requirements. In addition, tools such as BIM and scheduling software are not utilized to full potential, with training and expense being the most significant factors, consistent with current literature. Time, budget, conflicting stakeholders, and availability of resources are additional factors that slow down work, and these factors point towards a necessity for enhanced project management frameworks, increased technology use, and enhanced collaboration between teams.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, several practical recommendations are proposed to improve project management in architectural practice:

1. Adopting Hybrid Project Management Approaches: Given the limitations of traditional methodologies, firms should implement a combination of Agile, Lean, and Waterfall approaches to enhance project flexibility and efficiency.
2. Enhancing Technology Integration: Architectural firms should increase the adoption of BIM, scheduling software, and collaborative tools by investing in training programs to address learning curve challenges.
3. Strengthening Stakeholder Engagement: More structured communication and conflict resolution mechanisms should be established to minimize stakeholder-related disruptions and ensure alignment of project objectives.
4. Optimizing Resource Allocation: Firms should implement strategic resource planning techniques to mitigate financial constraints and improve project cost management.

5. Improving Time and Budget Management: Advanced scheduling tools and financial planning frameworks should be integrated to enhance project execution efficiency and prevent delays.

Areas for Future Research

The study identifies several areas for future studies that can contribute towards a deeper understanding of project management approaches in architectural practice:

1. Influence of Digital Transformation: Future studies could explore how artificial intelligence, automation, and machine learning are changing architectural companies' approaches to project management.
2. Comparative Analysis of Project Management Methods: Research comparing the effectiveness of different approaches to project management for different types of projects could reveal useful information about their suitability.
3. Long-term Gains of Implementing BIM: Research could explore how companies that have adopted Building Information Modeling in a full-fledged manner have gained in terms of operational efficiency and cost savings.
4. Stakeholder Engagement Techniques: Future studies could review effective techniques for working with stakeholders in complex architectural projects and evaluate their impact on project performance.
5. Sustainability in Project Management for Architecture: Research could assess how concerns for sustainability affect approaches to project management and the respective decision frameworks.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, M. O., Nabi, M. A., El-Adaway, I. H., Caranci, D., Eberle, J., Hawkins, Z., & Sparrow, R. (2021). Contractual guidelines for promoting integrated project delivery. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 147*(11). https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0002173

Al Nahian, A., Atayeva, J., Nagib, M., Sunny, M., Balayet, M., & Sakil, H. (2024). Project Management and Visualization Techniques: A Detailed Study. *International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science (IRJES)*, *13*(5), 28–44. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.28504.71685

Arefazar, Y., Nazari, A., Hafezi, M. R., & Maghool, S. A. H. (2019). Prioritizing agile project management strategies as a change management tool in construction projects. *International Journal of Construction Management, 22*(4), 678–689. https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2019.1644757

Aroral, H. (2021). Waterfall Process Operations in the Fast-paced World: Project Management Exploratory Analysis. *International Journal of Applied Business and Management Studies*, *6*(1). https://www.ijabms.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/05_ARORAL_PB.pdf

Bonello, J. (2023). *A critical analysis of project quality management in high-rise buildings in Malta: perspectives of project managers*. Www.um.edu.mt. https://www.um.edu.mt/library/oar/handle/123456789/121649

Carboni, J., Duncan, W., Gonzalez, M., Milsom, P., & Young, M. (2021). In biblioteca. Sustainable Project Manag ement: The GPM Reference Guide. *PROJECT MANAGER (IL)*, *46*, 47–48. https://doi.org/10.3280/pm2021-046011

Chen, Z., Agapiou, A., & Li, H. (2020). A benefits prioritization analysis on adopting BIM systems against major challenges in megaproject delivery. *Frontiers in Built Environment, 6.* https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2020.00026

Chinta, S. (2025). THE IMPACT OF AI-POWERED AUTOMATION ON AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT: TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL PRACTICES. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5034076

Chukwunweike, N. J., & Aro, E. (2024). Implementing agile management practices in the era of digital transformation. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *24*(1), 2223–2242. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.1.3253

Dano, U. L., Balogun, A., Abubakar, I. R., & Aina, Y. A. (2020). Transformative urban governance: Confronting urbanization challenges with geospatial technologies in Lagos, Nigeria. *GeoJournal, 85*(4), 1039–1056. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-019-10009-1

Daraojimba, N. E. C., Nwasike, N. C. N., Adegbite, N. A. O., Ezeigweneme, N. C. A., & Gidiagba, N. J. O. (2024). Comprehensive review of agile methodologies in project management. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5*(1), 190–218. https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v5i1.717

Diem, G. (2021). *Agile and Traditional Project Management: Comparing Agile, Traditional and Hybrid Project Management Practices A research study of project management professionals in Germany*. https://www.ros.hw.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/10399/4425/DiemG_0921_ebsSS.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Du, J., Zhang, J., Castro-Lacouture, D., & Hu, Y. (2023). Lean manufacturing applications in prefabricated construction projects. *Automation in Construction*, *150*, 104790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2023.104790

Durdyev, S. (2020). Review of construction journals on causes of project cost overruns. *Engineering Construction & Architectural Management, 28*(4), 1241–1260. https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-02-2020-0137

Ershadi, M., Jefferies, M., Davis, P., & Mojtahedi, M. (2021). Achieving sustainable procurement in construction projects: The pivotal role of a project management office. *Construction Economics and Building, 21*(1), 45–64.

Gilbert, D. (2024). *Effective Project Management Strategies for Identifying and Addressing Projects' Complexities and Improving Projects' Success Rates - ProQuest*. Proquest.com. https://www.proquest.com/openview/835b37a28020f86347c6e70fc3f415d1/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

Godawatte, G. A. G. R. (2021, December 1). *Understanding the cost overrun causation in construction megaprojects – a grounded systems thinking approach*. Www.ros.hw.ac.uk. https://www.ros.hw.ac.uk/handle/10399/4548

Gunawardena, L. K., & Ghapanchi, A. H. (2024). A framework for drivers of stakeholder mismanagement in construction projects. *International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, 16*(4), 401–423. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijpom.2024.143154

Guo, K., & Zhang, L. (2022). Multi-objective optimization for improved project management: Current status and future directions. *Automation in Construction, 139*(1), 104256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104256

Hindarto, D. (2023). The Management of Projects is Improved Through Enterprise Architecture on Project Management Application Systems. *International Journal Software Engineering and Computer Science (IJSECS)*, *3*(2), 151–161.

Ibrahim, F. S. B., Ebekozien, A., Khan, P. A. M., Aigbedion, M., Ogbaini, I. F., & Amadi, G. C. (2022). Appraising fourth industrial revolution technologies role in the construction sector: how prepared is the construction consultants? *Facilities*, *40*(7/8), 515–532. https://doi.org/10.1108/f-09-2021-0086

Johnson, N. E., Lande, N. O. B. S., Adeleke, N. G. S., Amajuoyi, N. C. P., & Simpson, N. B. D. (2024). Developing scalable data solutions for small and medium enterprises: Challenges and best practices. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(6), 1910–1935. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i6.1206

Kheirollahi, M., & Safarnezhad, S. (2023). Educational Structure in Digital Architecture; Challenges and Opportunities. *International Journal of Architectural Engineering & Urban Planning*, *33*(4), 2023. https://doi.org/10.22068/ijaup.648

Kumari, S., & Dhir, S. (2022, March 7). Agile cloud transformation in enterprise systems: Integrating AI for continuous improvement, risk management, and scalability. *Sydney Academics.* https://sydneyacademics.com/index.php/ajmlra/article/view/174

Lalmi, A., Fernandes, G., & Souad, S. B. (2021). A conceptual hybrid project management model for construction projects. *Procedia Computer Science*, *181*(1), 921–930. sciencedirect. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S187705092100291X

Manchana, R. (2022). Optimizing Real Estate Project Management through Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and AI Optimizing Real Estate Project Management through Machine Learning, Deep Learning, and AI. *Article in the Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*, *2022*(4), 192–208. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13878523

Ndou, V., Alessandro Ingrosso, & Girolamo, A. D. (2024). Framework for Agile Transformation: Guiding Organizations Through Cultural, Structural, and Competency Shifts in Project Management. *Administrative Sciences*, *14*(11), 301–301. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14110301

Nguyen, T.-Q., & Dao, Q. V. (2021). A Case Study of BIM Application in a Public Construction Project Management Unit in Vietnam: Lessons Learned and Organizational Changes. *Engineering Journal*, *25*(7), 177–192. https://doi.org/10.4186/ej.2021.25.7.177

Obiuto, N. C., Ebirim, W., Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Ani, E. C., Olu-lawal, K. A., & Ugwuanyi, E. D. (2024). INTEGRATING SUSTAINABILITY INTO HVAC PROJECT MANAGEMENT: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(3), 873–887. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i3.943

Ojo, B., Feldman, R., & Rampersad, S. (2022). Lean methodology in quality improvement. *Pediatric Anesthesia, 32*(11), 1209–1215. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14439

Parsamehr, M., Perera, U. S., Dodanwala, T. C., Perera, P., & Ruparathna, R. (2022). A review of construction management challenges and BIM-based solutions: perspectives from the schedule, cost, quality, and safety management. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-022-00501-4

Pemaj, J. (2022). How Agile project management approach is redesigning the Project Management Software: (Focus on the construction industry). *Politecnico di Milano.* https://www.politesi.polimi.it/handle/10589/210935

Pinciroli, F. (2024). Selection of agile project management approaches based on project complexity. *Journal of Software Evolution and Process*. https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.2716

Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). Integrating Building Information Modelling (BIM) with ChatGPT, Bard, and similar generative artificial intelligence in the architecture, engineering, and construction industry: applications, a novel framework, challenges, and future scope. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4645601

Reiff, J., & Schlegel, D. (2022). Hybrid project management – a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Information Systems and Project Management*, *10*(2), 45–63. https://aisel.aisnet.org/ijispm/vol10/iss2/4/

Roy, V. A. M. (2023). Improving traditional project management for renewable energy projects through the integration of agile and lean methodologies. *ProQuest.* https://www.proquest.com/openview/9cf5b67cfaea3ed79122ea27d7a58cc6/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2037614

Samaratova, A. K. (2024). Features of using waterfall methodologies in project management. *Вестник науки, 4*(10 (79)), 39–48.

Tijani, B., Jin, X., & Osei-Kyei, R. (2021). Theoretical model for mental health management of project management practitioners in architecture, engineering and construction (AEC) project organizations. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/ecam-03-2021-0247

Zhang, S., Tang, Y., Zou, Y., Yang, H., Chen, Y., & Liang, J. (2024). Optimization of architectural design and construction with integrated BIM and PLM methodologies. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-75940-x

Zhu, J., & Wu, P. (2022). BIM/GIS data integration from the perspective of information flow. *Automation in Construction, 136,* 104166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104166