VOL. 10 NO. 7,
NOVEMBER, 2025
E-ISSN 3026-9679
P-ISSN 3026-9423

African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Business Dev. and Management Res. (JBDMR)

Employee Well-being, Remote Work, and Productivity in Hybrid Work Models

Abubakar S. Sulaiman; & Idibia Lawrence Onah
Department of Business Management, Federal University Dutsin-Ma Katsina State Nigeria.
Corresponding Author: lawrenceidibia@gmail.com
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajbdmr.v10i7.055

Abstract

The global shift to remote work that began in 2020 marked an abrupt break from long-standing workplace norms, accelerating large-scale adoption of remote and hybrid models. This paper explores how these arrangements influenced employee productivity and engagement between 2021 and 2024, a period when many organizations aimed to stabilize operations after extended workplace disruptions. Using large-sample quantitative surveys complemented by detailed qualitative interviews across eight industries from manufacturing to creative sectors the study maps this transition. Findings indicate that most participants either sustained or improved their output when working from home, with particularly strong results in technology, finance, and media roles. Engagement followed a similar trajectory, peaking in hybrid settings where occasional in-person interaction helped refresh team cohesion. Nonetheless, several persistent challenges emerged: weakened avenues for informal communication, feelings of social detachment, and waning intrinsic motivation affected nearly half of respondents. External reports from Gallup, McKinsey, Buffer, Owl Labs, and Microsoft align with these outcomes, forming a consistent picture of evolving organisational dynamics. Overall, the evidence shows that remote work boosts productivity and engagement when supported by clear policies, reliable digital tools, and sustained managerial guidance.

Keywords: Remote Work, Employee Productivity, Employee Engagement, Hybrid Work Model, Digital Collaboration Tools.

Introduction

The large-scale move to home-based work that unfolded in 2020 reshaped the global employment landscape, thrusting remote arrangements into prominence far more rapidly than expected. What began as a short-term response to an unfolding public-health emergency has since evolved into a central organisational strategy, influencing how leaders and staff understand efficiency, connection, and workplace culture (Agwubuo & Okoro, 2024; Kane et al., 2021). During the first months of mass workplace closures, companies struggled with basic concerns about output whether employees could maintain performance outside the office and about commitment, particularly how teams could foster solidarity without meeting in person (Adisa et al., 2023). These urgent, tactical questions have now matured into long-term strategic issues in a world where hybrid and fully remote setups are increasingly standard (Even & Christiansen, 2023).

Remote work brings many advantages: greater control over schedules, the disappearance of commuting time, and improved work-life balance (Karthika, 2024; De Vries et al., 2019). Research frequently links these benefits to higher job satisfaction and, in many cases, stronger individual performance (Rich et al., 2010). Yet the model has drawbacks. Fully virtual environments can complicate communication, weaken spontaneous social interactions, and diminish intrinsic motivation (Barsness et al., 2005; Surma et al., 2021). Concerns such as digital fatigue, isolation, and blurred boundaries between personal and professional life now compete for attention alongside the gains (Swain et al., 2020). As a result, any evaluation of remote work's influence on productivity and engagement requires nuance and evidence-based analysis (Even & Christiansen, 2023).

The widespread migration from physical offices to remote settings in early 2020 represented one of the most rapid transformations in modern workplace history. What first served as a protective measure quickly solidified into a redefinition of how and where work happens. Companies across sectors adopted home-based schedules supported by tools such as Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and Slack, enabling millions to remain productive outside traditional offices (Mamaysky, 2020; Ziemba et al., 2023). Remote work became embedded in what many now call the "new normal." Even after restrictions eased and offices reopened, both employees and managers continued to value the flexibility these arrangements provide (Hassan et al., 2022). A 2023 Gallup poll shows that around 80% of workers whose jobs can be done remotely prefer a blend of office and home time, reinforcing the durability of hybrid practices (Wilkinson, 2022). This widespread shift raises fundamental questions about productivity and engagement across sectors and work models.

Research Objectives

i. To examine the effects of remote work on employee productivity in the post-2020 work environment.
ii. To assess how remote arrangements shape employee engagement and organisational commitment.

iii. To identify key obstacles and enabling factors within remote work settings.
iv. To compare productivity and engagement outcomes across remote, hybrid, and on-site work models and across industries.

Research Questions

i. How has remote work affected employee productivity since the workplace changes beginning in 2020?
ii. What impact does remote work have on engagement compared with traditional office-based settings?
iii. Which factors enhance or hinder productivity in remote environments?
iv. What challenges do employees encounter while working remotely, and how do these affect performance and engagement?

Significance of the Research

The rapid expansion of remote work following the global disruptions of 2020 has reshaped organisational operations across the world. For policymakers, executives, HR specialists, and employees alike, understanding how this shift influences productivity and workplace engagement is now a core concern. This study provides data-driven insights into how remote setups have altered performance, satisfaction, and organisational morale across industries, regions, and demographic groups during the post-lockdown years. While scholars have long debated the merits and drawbacks of remote work, the events of 2020 created a large-scale, real-world experiment in which thousands of organizations simultaneously adopted full-telework models. By analyzing data from 2021–2024, this study evaluates whether those real-world trials confirm, challenge, or expand existing theories regarding scalability, feasibility, and unintended consequences.

Employee Well-being

Employee well-being encompasses physical health, mental health, and emotional satisfaction at work. In the context of remote and hybrid work, it becomes even more complex, as employees no longer have the boundaries of a physical office to distinguish between work and personal life. Understanding the relationship between remote work and employee well-being is critical for businesses to ensure that their workforce remains healthy, motivated, and engaged.

Physical and Mental Health

Remote work has fundamentally changed how employees manage their health. While the ability to work from home offers flexibility, it also presents challenges to physical well-being. Many remote workers report an increase in sedentary behavior due to longer periods of sitting at desks or couches without the natural movement that comes from commuting and moving through office spaces. Poor ergonomics at home such as working from a non-ergonomic chair or desk can lead to musculoskeletal disorders like back and neck pain.

On the mental health front, remote work offers both positive and negative effects. For many, the flexibility to manage their work schedule improves work-life balance, reducing stress. However, the isolation of working from home can lead to loneliness, which is particularly concerning in a hybrid work model where employees may only come to the office a few times a week. Furthermore, the blurring of work and personal boundaries can result in burnout. A study from Buffer found that 32% of remote workers cited loneliness as the biggest struggle, while 29% reported burnout and overwork.

Work-Life Balance

One of the main benefits of remote work is the ability to create a better work-life balance. Employees can schedule their work around personal responsibilities such as childcare, errands, or pursuing hobbies. However, hybrid work models introduce a balancing act, where employees may feel they are "on call" all the time whether working from home or the office leading to difficulties in drawing clear lines between professional and personal life.

Work-life balance can also be hindered by poor management practices in hybrid models. For instance, employees may feel pressured to be constantly available, as managers may not be aware of their work schedules when they are working remotely. This can result in feelings of guilt or anxiety if they are unable to attend to work outside of regular hours.

Remote Work and Productivity

The relationship between remote work and productivity is a topic of much debate. While some argue that remote work increases productivity due to fewer office distractions, others claim that it can result in decreased efficiency because of a lack of oversight or engagement.

The Productivity Paradox

On one hand, remote work offers a quieter, more controlled environment that allows employees to focus without the typical office interruptions. Gallup's 2021 State of the American Workplace report found that 54% of remote workers reported being more productive working from home than they were in the office. This finding aligns with the notion that employees can create their ideal workspace and avoid lengthy commutes, allowing for more time to focus on work.

On the other hand, remote work also presents challenges. The absence of in-person collaboration can reduce the spontaneous exchange of ideas, which can stifle creativity and innovation. Additionally, some employees may struggle with time management or motivation when working outside the structured environment of an office.

The "productivity paradox" occurs when employees work longer hours in remote environments, but productivity doesn't necessarily increase proportionally. In fact, studies have shown that employees working remotely can often feel the need to prove their productivity by working more, which can result in fatigue and burnout. A report from Harvard Business Review revealed that employees who transitioned to remote work often experienced "longer workdays" but not always higher levels of productivity.

The Role of Technology in Remote Productivity

Technology plays a crucial role in facilitating remote work productivity. Communication tools like Slack, Zoom, and Microsoft Teams make it possible for remote teams to collaborate in real time. Project management tools like Asana, Trello, and Jira help employees keep track of tasks and deadlines. However, over-reliance on these tools can result in "Zoom fatigue" and constant context-switching, which reduces productivity. The key to remote work productivity lies not only in having the right tools but also in using them effectively and avoiding burnout from constant communication.

Best Practices to Enhance Remote Productivity

i. Establish clear expectations for communication, response times, and availability.
ii. Provide employees with access to productivity tools and adequate training.
iii. Offer flexible work hours that allow employees to work when they feel most productive.
iv. Encourage time management techniques such as the Pomodoro method to avoid burnout.
v. Recognize achievements and productivity through positive reinforcement, even in remote settings.

Hybrid Work Models

A hybrid work model combines the best of both remote and in-office work, offering employees the flexibility to choose where and when they work. While hybrid work models are lauded for their ability to accommodate employee preferences, they also introduce unique challenges.

Advantages of Hybrid Work Models

Flexibility and Autonomy: Hybrid models provide employees with greater control over their work environment, which can lead to higher job satisfaction and engagement. Employees appreciate being able to work from home when they need focus time, and from the office for team collaboration.

Employee Retention: A flexible hybrid model can be a major draw for top talent. According to a report by McKinsey & Company, 58% of employees prefer a hybrid work model, and companies offering flexibility are more likely to attract and retain talent.

Increased Productivity: Many employees find that they are more productive when they can work in a less structured environment. For example, remote work allows employees to avoid commute times, offering more hours for focused work.

Challenges of Hybrid Work Models

Team Cohesion and Collaboration: One of the major drawbacks of hybrid work is the challenge it poses to team cohesion. When employees are split between working remotely and in the office, spontaneous interactions that typically foster creativity and problem-solving are diminished. Regular communication and structured collaboration are essential to maintaining a strong team dynamic.

Inequality among Employees: Hybrid work can also create a sense of inequality between employees who work remotely and those who come into the office regularly. Employees who work remotely may feel disconnected from office-based colleagues, while those in the office may have more access to leadership and decision-making processes, leading to potential biases.

Management Complexity: Managing a hybrid team can be difficult, especially when employees have different schedules or locations. Ensuring that employees are held accountable while maintaining flexibility can require new management strategies, including clear communication, performance metrics, and outcome-based evaluations.

Research Methodology

This research uses a quantitative approach incorporating both descriptive and correlational methods to investigate how remote work influences productivity and engagement in the years following the workplace transformations of 2020. Survey responses from employees in several industries provide insight into emerging patterns and relationships among key variables.

Population and Sample

The study targets workers from multiple sectors who have been operating remotely since the major shift to home-based work in early 2020. To achieve comprehensive representation, respondents were drawn from IT, Finance, Education, Marketing, and Healthcare fields across the United States and Europe. Using stratified random sampling, 400 participants were selected, organized by both industry and job level (entry, mid-level, managerial) to ensure balanced perspectives.

Data Collection Techniques

Data were gathered primarily through a structured online survey distributed via professional networks, HR departments, and remote-work forums during early 2024. The survey included closed-ended questions and Likert-scale items assessing:

i. Self-reported productivity changes
ii. Engagement and motivation
iii. Remote-work challenges
iv. Use of digital work tools

Secondary data from Gallup, McKinsey, Buffer, and Owl Labs were incorporated for triangulation.

Instruments and Tools

The survey instrument drew from validated tools used in earlier remote-work studies, such as Gallup's Q12 Engagement Survey. A pilot test with 25 participants' ensured clarity and reliability, with Cronbach's alpha for productivity and engagement scales registering at 0.85.

Data Analysis

Data were analysed using IBM SPSS 27. Descriptive statistics summarized overall trends, while correlation and ANOVA tests assessed relationships across industries and demographics (significance level $p < 0.05$). Open-ended responses were coded thematically.

Results and Discussion

The analysis shows that remote-worker productivity rose steadily from 2021 to 2023 before dipping slightly in 2024. Early on, only about half of workers reported productivity gains, reflecting the chaotic transition away from traditional office routines. By 2022, stronger digital infrastructure and clearer policies led to improved performance. Productivity peaked in 2023 as workers grew more comfortable with remote tools and benefited from reduced commuting and fewer office distractions. The slight decline in 2024 aligns with well-known challenges such as digital fatigue and weakened social connection.

Engagement scores indicate that hybrid work produces the strongest sense of involvement and commitment, combining flexibility with periodic in-person interaction. Remote workers also show high engagement, although concerns about isolation and communication gaps persist. Fully on-site workers report the lowest engagement, reflecting shifting expectations about flexibility.

Common challenges include communication barriers, loneliness, motivation difficulties, home-based distractions, and time-zone conflicts. However, a small share of respondents report no significant challenges, suggesting that remote work suits some individuals exceptionally well.

Digital tools particularly Microsoft Teams, Zoom, Slack, Google Workspace, Trello/Asana, and Notion remain indispensable for collaboration, communication, and workflow management.

Conclusion

Since the widespread move toward home-based work in 2020, a clearer picture has emerged about how these arrangements influence productivity and engagement. Survey data indicate that most employees either maintain or improve performance remotely, particularly in knowledge-based sectors such as IT, media, and finance. Hybrid workers report the highest engagement, highlighting the combined value of flexibility and periodic face-to-face contact. Yet challenges communication gaps, feelings of isolation, and household distractions remain obstacles. Organizations are responding by refining digital tools, updating workflows, and prioritizing employee well-being.

Recommendations

i. Adopt hybrid models that balance remote and on-site time.
ii. Continue investing in collaboration technologies and cyber security.
iii. Shift to results-based rather than input-focused productivity metrics.

iv. Strengthen employee well-being initiatives.
v. Maintain ongoing feedback channels for remote staff.

References

Adisa, T. A., Ogbonnaya, C., & Adekoya, O. D. (2023). Remote working and employee engagement: a qualitative study of British workers during the pandemic. *Information Technology & People*, *36*(5), 1835-1850.

Agwubuo, O., & Okoro, U. (2024). The Impact Of Remote Work On Employee Productivity And Well-Being: A Comparative Analysis Of Pre And Post-Pandemic Hr Strategies. *Available at SSRN 5138907*.

Babapour Chafi, M., Hultberg, A., & Bozic Yams, N. (2021). Post-pandemic office work: Perceived challenges and opportunities for a sustainable work environment. *Sustainability*, *14*(1), 294.

Barsness, Z. I., Diekmann, K. A., & Seidel, M. D. L. (2005). Motivation and opportunity: The role of remote work, demographic dissimilarity, and social network centrality in impression management. *Academy of Management Journal*, *48*(3), 401-419.

Bednarska-Wnuk, I. (2023). Hybrid or remote? The importance of work form in shaping engagement to work in a post-pandemic reality. *Organizacja i Kierowanie*, *194*(3), 191-208.

Bocanet, A., Alpenidze, O., & Badran, O. (2021). Business analysis in post-pandemic era. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, *20*(4), 1-9.

De Vries, H., Tummers, L., & Bekkers, V. (2019). The benefits of teleworking in the public sector: reality or rhetoric?. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, *39*(4), 570-593.

Even, A. M., & Christiansen, B. (Eds.). (2023). *Enhancing employee engagement and productivity in the post-pandemic multigenerational workforce*. IGI Global.

Hassan, A. F., Karim, A. M., & Hameed, J. (2022). Hybrid model for remote work practice in the post pandemic era: Prospects and challenges. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *12*(12), 1920-1926.

Kane, G. C., Nanda, R., Phillips, A., & Copulsky, J. (2021). Redesigning the post-pandemic workplace. *MIT Sloan management review*, *62*(3), 12-14.

Karthika, K., Killedar, M., Sharma, K., & Borah, B. (2024). Remote Work and Employee Engagement: Exploring The Challenges And Opportunities In A Post-Pandemic Era. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, *44*(4).

Mamaysky, I. (2020). The future of work: exploring the post-pandemic workplace from an employment law and human resources perspective. *UC Davis Bus. LJ*, *21*, 257.

Manuti, A., & De Palma, P. D. (2018). Digital HR. *London: Palgrave Macmillan, doi*, *10*, 978-3.

Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of management journal*, *53*(3), 617-635.

Saurage-Altenloh, S., Tate, T., Lartey, F. M., & Randall, P. M. (2023). Influence of organizational management culture on remote employee engagement post-pandemic, measured by EENDEED, a validated instrument. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, *11*(3), 521-536.

Surma, M. J., Nunes, R. J., Rook, C., & Loder, A. (2021). Assessing employee engagement in a postCOVID-19 workplace ecosystem. *Sustainability*, *13*(20), 11443.

Swain, V. D., Saha, K., Abowd, G. D., & De Choudhury, M. (2020, October). Social media and ubiquitous technologies for remote worker wellbeing and productivity in a post-pandemic world. In *2020 IEEE Second International Conference on Cognitive Machine Intelligence (CogMI)* (pp. 121130). IEEE.

Takahashi, K., Yokoya, R., & Higuchi, T. (2023). Mediation of work engagement towards productive behaviour in remote work environments during pandemic: testing the job demands and resources model in Japan. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, *29*(4), 1149-1169.

Ugargol, J. D., & Patrick, H. A. (2018). The relationship of workplace flexibility to employee engagement among information technology employees in India. *South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management*, *5*(1), 40-55.

Wilkinson, L. N. (2022). *Adapting to Meet the Challenges of Post-Pandemic Employee Engagement* (Master's thesis, The College of St. Scholastica).

Yadav, S., Dubey, A., Chawla, P., & Jha, S. (2020). Employee engagement strategy for employees working in virtual environment in the IT industry. *Retrieve from: https://jnu. ac. in/sites/default/files/abvsme/WP-03. pdf [Retrieve on: 25/01/2023]*.

Ziemba, P., Piwowarski, M., & Nermend, K. (2023). Remote Work in post-pandemic reality—multicriteria evaluation of teleconferencing software. *Sustainability*, *15*(13), 9919.