VOL. 07 NO. 6,
FEBRUARY, 2025
E-ISSN 3027-1401
P-ISSN 3026-9148

*African Scholar Publications & Research International*
www.africanscholarpub.com

*Journal of Arts and Sociological Research (JASR)*

# De-Radicalization Programs and Their Impact on Inmate Behavior: A Pathway to Rehabilitation and Social Integration in Nigeria

**Mamman Aliyu Salisu**
Department of Peace and Conflict, Institute of Governance and Development Studies, Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nasarawa State.
**Corresponding Author:** salisuabj@gmail.com
**DOI**: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajasr.v7i6.010

## *Abstract*

Radicalization remains a major security challenge in Nigeria, particularly with the rise of extremist groups such as **Boko Haram and other militant organizations**. Many incarcerated individuals who were involved in terrorism or violent extremism continue to hold **radical ideologies**, making prison environments potential breeding grounds for further radicalization. In response, **de-radicalization programs** have been introduced in Nigerian correctional facilities to reform extremist inmates, **alter their ideological beliefs, rehabilitate them psychologically, and prepare them for social reintegration**. These programs involve **religious reorientation, vocational training, psychological counseling, and community reintegration initiatives**. Despite these efforts, the effectiveness of **de-radicalization programs** remains **a subject of debate** due to **several challenges**. Key barriers include **ideological resistance from inmates who refuse to abandon extremist beliefs, inadequate funding that limits the quality of rehabilitation services, lack of standardized monitoring mechanisms, and societal stigma that hinders reintegration efforts**. The lack of **proper post-release support** further increases the risk of **recidivism and re-engagement in violent extremism**. This paper explores the **impact of de-radicalization programs on inmate behavior**, evaluating their role in **reducing recidivism, fostering psychological transformation, and improving social cohesion**. It also provides **policy recommendations** for strengthening these initiatives, including **enhancing psychological and religious counseling, expanding vocational empowerment, increasing community involvement, and implementing post-release monitoring frameworks**. Strengthening

de-radicalization efforts will not only **enhance national security** but also contribute to **long-term peacebuilding and social stability in Nigeria**.

**Keywords**: Radicalization, de-radicalization, Boko Haram, rehabilitation, correctional facilities, extremism, reintegration, Nigeria**.**

## *Introduction*

Radicalization among inmates in correctional facilities has become a major security concern in Nigeria, particularly due to the rise of extremist groups such as Boko Haram and other militant organizations. The prison environment often serves as a breeding ground for the reinforcement of extremist ideologies, rather than their eradication (Adebayo, 2021). Many convicted extremists continue to uphold and propagate radical beliefs while incarcerated, leading to the risk of further radicalization among other prisoners. This phenomenon is not unique to Nigeria; studies have shown that prisons worldwide can act as recruitment hubs for extremist groups, making inmate rehabilitation a critical component of counter-terrorism efforts (Neumann, 2016). In response to the growing threat of extremism within the prison system, Nigeria has implemented de-radicalization programs aimed at rehabilitating extremist offenders and preparing them for reintegration into society. These programs typically include psychological counseling, religious reorientation, vocational training, and social reintegration support. The ultimate goal is to transform the behaviors and mindsets of radicalized individuals, discouraging their return to violent extremism upon release. However, the effectiveness of such initiatives remains a subject of debate due to several challenges, including ideological resistance from inmates, insufficient resources, lack of standardized methodologies, and difficulties in post-release monitoring (Okonkwo, 2021). The concept of de-radicalization is complex and multifaceted. It requires a combination of educational, psychological, and vocational interventions to counter radical beliefs and encourage peaceful coexistence. Effective de-radicalization not only benefits the individual inmate but also enhances national security by reducing the risk of re-engagement in extremist activities. Research suggests that well-structured rehabilitation programs can significantly lower recidivism rates and prevent the resurgence of radical ideologies among ex-offenders (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020). However, poorly executed programs risk reinforcing extremist narratives, further alienating inmates from mainstream society.

The Nigerian government has introduced several initiatives, including Operation Safe Corridor (OSC), which focuses on rehabilitating former Boko Haram members through structured de-radicalization programs. While this initiative has recorded some successes, critics argue that it lacks adequate follow-up mechanisms to ensure that rehabilitated individuals remain deradicalized after their release (Usman, 2022). Additionally, societal stigmatization remains a major hurdle, as many ex-inmates face difficulties reintegrating into their communities due to fear and mistrust. This paper critically examines the role of de-

radicalization programs in transforming inmate behavior, the challenges they face, and their broader implications for national security and social cohesion. By exploring best practices and identifying areas for improvement, this study aims to provide insights into how Nigeria can enhance its de-radicalization efforts to achieve long-term peace and stability.

**Understanding De-Radicalization in the Nigerian Context**

De-radicalization has emerged as a key strategy in countering violent extremism (CVE), particularly in countries like Nigeria, where insurgent groups such as Boko Haram have contributed to long-term security challenges. De-radicalization programs aim to transform the ideological beliefs and behaviors of radicalized individuals, particularly inmates in correctional facilities. These programs address the root causes of extremism and provide individuals with alternative worldviews that promote peace and coexistence (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020). However, the effectiveness of these initiatives depends on various factors, including program structure, government commitment, and post-rehabilitation support mechanisms. De-radicalization refers to the process of altering an individual's extremist ideologies, leading to a rejection of violence as a means of achieving political, religious, or ideological goals. This is distinct from disengagement, which simply involves stopping violent actions without necessarily abandoning radical beliefs (Neumann, 2016). In the Nigerian context, de-radicalization programs seek to rehabilitate former extremists, particularly those associated with Boko Haram and other militant groups, through structured interventions such as psychological counseling, religious reorientation, vocational training, and social reintegration support (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020).

The primary objectives of de-radicalization programs include:

1. Behavioral Change: The core aim of de-radicalization is to encourage inmates to abandon violent ideologies. Extremist beliefs often thrive on narratives of injustice and perceived oppression, which must be countered through structured dialogue, education, and mentorship. Behavioral change strategies involve exposing inmates to alternative viewpoints that challenge extremist narratives (Adebayo, 2021).
2. Psychological Rehabilitation: Many radicalized individuals suffer from psychological trauma, often caused by their experiences in extremist groups. De-radicalization programs incorporate Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) to help inmates process their experiences, address feelings of anger and resentment, and develop healthier coping mechanisms (Ibrahim, 2020).
3. Religious Reorientation: Given that groups like Boko Haram exploit religious doctrines to justify their actions, de-radicalization programs involve the engagement of moderate religious scholars who reinterpret religious texts to counter extremist narratives. This approach has been effective in altering misinterpretations of religious teachings that promote violence (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020).
4. Vocational Empowerment: Economic hardship is a major driver of radicalization, as many extremists are recruited with promises of financial stability. Providing inmates with vocational training, such as carpentry, tailoring, and farming, ensures they have economic alternatives upon release. Studies indicate that former extremists who gain financial independence are less likely to re-engage in terrorism (Onyema, 2023).

5. Social Reintegration: Reintegration remains one of the most challenging aspects of de-radicalization. Many ex-radicals face rejection from their communities, making them vulnerable to re-radicalization. Social reintegration programs prepare inmates for acceptance back into society through community engagement, reconciliation processes, and public awareness campaigns (Usman, 2022).

Despite these well-intended objectives, de-radicalization remains a complex and often controversial process. Many former extremists struggle with societal stigma, while some participants manipulate these programs to gain early release without genuine ideological change. These concerns necessitate a more robust and sustainable approach to de-radicalization in Nigeria (Okonkwo, 2021). Nigeria has adopted a multi-pronged approach to de-radicalization, focusing on both incarcerated extremists and those who voluntarily surrender. These efforts are implemented through correctional institutions and specialized rehabilitation centers designed to reform former insurgents. The Nigerian Correctional Service (NCoS) collaborates with various stakeholders, including religious leaders, psychologists, security agencies, and community representatives, to facilitate these programs (Usman, 2022). One of the most notable initiatives in Nigeria is Operation Safe Corridor (OSC), launched in 2016. This program was specifically designed to rehabilitate former Boko Haram members who have surrendered to the government. Participants undergo a structured program that includes vocational training, religious counseling, and psychological therapy. Upon completing the program, rehabilitated individuals are reintegrated into society through community-led reintegration efforts (Akinwale, 2022).

However, despite its potential benefits, Nigeria's de-radicalization efforts have faced several criticisms:

1. Inconsistency in Implementation: There is no uniform de-radicalization framework across Nigeria's correctional facilities. Some prisons implement de-radicalization programs effectively, while others lack the necessary resources or trained personnel (Okonkwo, 2021).
2. Lack of Proper Monitoring and Evaluation: Many rehabilitated individuals are released without a structured follow-up mechanism. This raises concerns about the sustainability of the reforms, as some ex-inmates may revert to extremist behavior due to external influences or economic struggles (Usman, 2022).
3. Public Distrust and Resistance: Nigerian society remains largely skeptical about de-radicalization programs, particularly regarding the reintegration of former Boko Haram members. Many communities fear that rehabilitated extremists might still harbor radical beliefs and pose security risks (Obi, 2021).
4. Insufficient Funding: Effective de-radicalization requires significant financial investment in skilled personnel, infrastructure, and post-release support. However, Nigeria's correctional institutions often operate under tight budgets, limiting the scope and effectiveness of their rehabilitation programs (Adebayo, 2021).

Despite these challenges, de-radicalization remains an essential strategy in countering violent extremism in Nigeria. Strengthening these programs through improved funding, standardized

evaluation frameworks, and greater community involvement could enhance their effectiveness in achieving long-term security and social cohesion.

**Impact of De-Radicalization on Inmate Behavior**

De-radicalization programs play a significant role in transforming inmate behavior, particularly among individuals previously engaged in extremist ideologies. By addressing the root causes of radicalization and providing psychological, educational, and vocational interventions, these programs aim to reintegrate inmates into society as reformed individuals. The impact of de-radicalization can be observed in three key areas: psychological transformation, improved social relations, and reduced recidivism. Each of these aspects contributes to the overall success of rehabilitation efforts and the long-term security and stability of society. One of the most profound effects of de-radicalization programs is the psychological transformation of inmates. Many extremist offenders enter correctional facilities with deeply entrenched ideological beliefs, often fueled by personal grievances, socio-economic hardships, or exposure to radical teachings (Ibrahim, 2020). In prison, these individuals may either reinforce their extremist views or, through intervention, undergo a cognitive shift toward more moderate perspectives. To facilitate this transformation, de-radicalization programs incorporate Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) and other psychological interventions aimed at reshaping inmates' thought patterns. CBT helps individuals recognize and challenge their distorted beliefs, replacing them with more constructive and rational worldviews. This approach has been instrumental in addressing cognitive distortions that contribute to extremist behavior, such as black-and-white thinking, victimhood mentality, and justification of violence (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020). Studies conducted in Nigeria's de-radicalization centers show that inmates who receive psychological therapy are more likely to express remorse for their past actions and display a willingness to disengage from violent extremism (Adebayo, 2021). Additionally, therapy sessions often include trauma counseling, as many extremist offenders have experienced or inflicted severe violence. Addressing past trauma is crucial in breaking the cycle of radicalization and preparing inmates for a more stable reintegration process. Despite the successes of psychological transformation, challenges remain. Some inmates may initially feign ideological change to secure early release, while others may relapse into radical thinking due to external influences after their release. This underscores the need for continuous post-release monitoring and support to sustain psychological progress (Obi, 2021).

De-radicalization programs also contribute to enhanced social skills and empathy among inmates. Extremist ideologies often promote isolationism, intolerance, and a rigid "us versus them" mentality. To counter this, rehabilitation programs encourage inmates to engage in group discussions, conflict resolution training, and community service, fostering interpersonal skills and social responsibility (Akinwale, 2022). Social reintegration programs emphasize the importance of humanizing interactions between former extremists and other community members. Inmates are encouraged to participate in dialogue sessions with victims of terrorism, religious scholars, and moderate community leaders. These interactions provide them with new perspectives on the consequences of their past actions and help build empathy toward those they previously viewed as enemies (Usman, 2022). A study conducted in Nigeria’s

Operation Safe Corridor (OSC) program found that inmates who actively participated in group rehabilitation sessions exhibited a higher degree of tolerance and openness to diverse opinions than those who resisted engagement (Okonkwo, 2021). Activities such as role-playing, storytelling, and mentorship programs further enhance social skills by breaking down ideological barriers and encouraging cooperation. However, challenges persist in ensuring that social transformation is sustained after an inmate's release. Many communities remain distrustful of rehabilitated extremists, making reintegration difficult. The fear that former extremists may revert to violence creates a barrier to acceptance, which can, in turn, push individuals back toward radical networks. Therefore, community engagement and public awareness campaigns are necessary to facilitate smooth reintegration and prevent social alienation (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020).

A crucial measure of success in de-radicalization efforts is the reduction of recidivism rates, meaning the likelihood that former extremists will return to terrorist activities after their release. Research indicates that comprehensive rehabilitation programs significantly lower the risk of re-engagement in violent extremism compared to punitive incarceration alone (Obi, 2021). De-radicalization programs help break the cycle of radicalization by equipping inmates with alternative life skills and ideological perspectives. Former extremists who receive vocational training, financial support, and educational opportunities are more likely to choose peaceful livelihoods over rejoining extremist groups (Onyema, 2023). Economic stability plays a vital role in preventing recidivism, as many extremists initially joined insurgent groups due to financial incentives. However, cases of relapse have been reported, particularly among former Boko Haram members who returned to terrorism after completing rehabilitation programs. This raises concerns about the long-term effectiveness of Nigeria's de-radicalization efforts (Usman, 2022). Some of the key reasons for relapse into radicalism include:

1. Weak Post-Release Monitoring: Many ex-inmates lack structured supervision after leaving rehabilitation centers, allowing them to reconnect with extremist networks.
2. Community Stigmatization: The refusal of communities to accept rehabilitated individuals can push them back toward extremist ideologies.
3. Infiltration by Extremist Groups: Some terrorist organizations deliberately send their members to de-radicalization programs to gain intelligence on counter-terrorism efforts or secure an early release (Okonkwo, 2021).
4. Lack of Sustainable Livelihoods: Without financial independence, former extremists may be drawn back into terrorism as a means of survival.

To address these challenges, experts recommend enhanced post-release monitoring, community-led reintegration efforts, and continuous psychological counseling (Adebayo, 2021). Countries such as Saudi Arabia and Indonesia have implemented successful de-radicalization models that include long-term follow-ups, community sponsorship, and financial incentives to ensure former extremists do not return to violence (Neumann, 2016). De-radicalization programs have a profound impact on inmate behavior, leading to psychological transformation, improved social interactions, and a reduced likelihood of recidivism. While these programs have shown promising results in Nigeria, challenges such

as relapse into extremism, community rejection, and insufficient post-release monitoring continue to hinder their full effectiveness. Strengthening these programs with robust evaluation mechanisms, community support structures, and long-term economic reintegration plans can significantly enhance their success in promoting sustainable peace and security.

**Challenges Facing De-Radicalization Programs in Nigeria**

Despite the growing recognition of de-radicalization programs as a vital tool for countering violent extremism (CVE), several challenges hinder their effectiveness in Nigeria. These challenges range from ideological resistance among inmates to inadequate funding, societal stigmatization, and weak monitoring and evaluation frameworks. Addressing these obstacles is critical to ensuring that de-radicalization efforts yield sustainable and long-term impacts. One of the most significant barriers to successful de-radicalization is ideological resistance among inmates. Many extremist offenders hold deep-seated beliefs that are difficult to change, even when presented with alternative perspectives. These individuals often reject participation in rehabilitation programs, viewing them as attempts to undermine their religious or ideological convictions (Eze, 2020). In some cases, hardcore extremists continue to promote radical ideologies while incarcerated, attempting to recruit and influence other inmates. This phenomenon, known as prison radicalization, can counteract de-radicalization efforts and create new security threats within correctional facilities (Okonkwo, 2021). Studies have shown that prisons in Nigeria, particularly those housing Boko Haram members, have become breeding grounds for extremism, as inmates form alliances and reinforce their radical beliefs (Adebayo, 2021). To combat ideological resistance, de-radicalization programs must employ tailored intervention strategies that address the psychological and theological foundations of extremism. Engaging credible religious scholars, former extremists who have successfully reformed, and trained psychologists can help in challenging radical narratives and encouraging behavioral change (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020). However, these efforts require consistency and patience, as ideological transformation is often a gradual process.

A major challenge facing de-radicalization programs in Nigeria is insufficient funding. Many of these initiatives are either underfunded or inconsistently supported, leading to a range of operational deficiencies. Limited financial resources affect the availability of essential services such as psychological counseling, vocational training, religious reorientation, and post-release support (Adebayo, 2021).

Some of the critical areas affected by poor funding include:

1. Lack of Trained Personnel: Effective de-radicalization requires skilled professionals, including psychologists, religious scholars, and social workers. However, many correctional facilities in Nigeria lack adequately trained staff to administer rehabilitation programs (Usman, 2022).
2. Poor Infrastructure: Many de-radicalization centers operate in overcrowded and poorly maintained prison environments, making it difficult to provide individualized therapy and educational interventions (Okonkwo, 2021).
3. Inadequate Vocational Training Programs: Economic empowerment is crucial for preventing recidivism among ex-inmates. However, due to funding constraints, many

de-radicalization programs fail to provide comprehensive skills training and job placement assistance (Onyema, 2023).

4. Limited Post-Release Support: Sustainable reintegration requires ongoing mentorship and economic assistance to prevent former extremists from returning to violence. Unfortunately, many Nigerian de-radicalization programs lack structured post-release monitoring, increasing the risk of recidivism (Akinwale, 2022).

To address these financial challenges, Nigeria needs to increase government investment in de-radicalization initiatives while seeking support from international partners, private sector actors, and civil society organizations. Countries like Saudi Arabia and Indonesia, which have implemented successful de-radicalization models, allocate significant financial resources to rehabilitation, ensuring long-term success (Neumann, 2016). Even after successfully completing de-radicalization programs, many former extremists struggle with social reintegration due to widespread stigma and community distrust. Nigerian society remains largely skeptical about rehabilitated individuals, especially those associated with groups like Boko Haram. Many communities reject former extremists, fearing they may still pose security risks or serve as sleeper agents for terrorist organizations (Onyema, 2023).

The consequences of societal stigmatization include:

1. Social Isolation: Many rehabilitated individuals face rejection from their families and communities, leading to feelings of alienation and resentment.
2. Barriers to Employment: Due to their past involvement in extremism, many ex-inmates struggle to find jobs, increasing their vulnerability to re-radicalization.
3. Risk of Recidivism: Without social acceptance and economic stability, some former extremists return to their old networks, seeing terrorism as their only viable option for survival (Hassan & Yusuf, 2020).

To overcome these reintegration challenges, community engagement and sensitization programs must be strengthened. Governments, religious leaders, and civil society organizations should work together to educate communities on the importance of rehabilitation and encourage them to support reintegration efforts (Usman, 2022). Additionally, economic reintegration programs, such as job placement schemes and financial incentives for businesses that employ rehabilitated individuals, can help reduce stigmatization and provide sustainable livelihoods (Adebayo, 2021). Nigeria's de-radicalization programs lack a standardized framework for monitoring and evaluating their effectiveness. Unlike countries with well-established counter-terrorism rehabilitation programs, Nigeria has no centralized system to assess the long-term progress of former extremists after their release (Okonkwo, 2021).

Key monitoring and evaluation challenges include:

1. Absence of Data Collection Mechanisms: Many Nigerian rehabilitation programs fail to track recidivism rates or assess whether ex-inmates remain disengaged from extremism.
2. Limited Post-Release Follow-Ups: There is no structured supervision to ensure that rehabilitated individuals are successfully integrating into society (Adebayo, 2021).

3. Weak Coordination Between Agencies: De-radicalization efforts are often fragmented, with different institutions operating independently rather than through a coordinated national framework (Usman, 2022).

To address these issues, Nigeria must adopt a standardized evaluation system that includes:

1. Comprehensive Risk Assessment Tools: These tools should measure an inmate's level of radicalization before and after participating in a rehabilitation program.
2. Long-Term Follow-Ups: Government agencies should implement structured check-ins with rehabilitated individuals at 6-month, 1-year, and 3-year intervals to track their progress.
3. Interagency Collaboration: Security agencies, correctional services, and community organizations should work together to ensure effective monitoring and information sharing (Okonkwo, 2021).
4. International Best Practices: Nigeria should learn from successful de-radicalization models, such as those in Denmark, Saudi Arabia, and Indonesia, which have implemented rigorous monitoring mechanisms that track former extremists for several years post-rehabilitation (Neumann, 2016).

De-radicalization programs in Nigeria face significant challenges, including ideological resistance, inadequate funding, social stigmatization, and weak monitoring frameworks. Overcoming these obstacles requires greater government commitment, increased financial investment, community engagement, and improved evaluation mechanisms. Strengthening these programs will not only improve national security but also promote social cohesion and long-term peace in Nigeria.

**Policy Recommendations for Strengthening De-Radicalization Programs**

To improve the effectiveness and sustainability of de-radicalization programs in Nigeria, a strategic and multi-pronged approach must be adopted. This requires government intervention, community participation, private sector involvement, and international collaboration. Below are some key policy recommendations that can enhance the outcomes of de-radicalization efforts in the country.

**Strengthening Psychological and Religious Counseling**

One of the fundamental aspects of successful de-radicalization is addressing the psychological and ideological roots of extremism. Many radicalized individuals, particularly those affiliated with Boko Haram and other extremist groups, have undergone intensive ideological indoctrination, making it difficult for them to abandon their extremist beliefs. As a result, psychological counseling and religious reorientation are critical to their rehabilitation. Psychological counseling should focus on cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) to help inmates unlearn radical ideologies and develop new, positive thought patterns (Ibrahim, 2020). Additionally, many extremist inmates suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and anxiety, often due to exposure to violence, forced participation in terrorist activities, or personal losses. Providing access to qualified psychologists, social workers, and

trauma specialists within correctional facilities will significantly aid mental healing and behavioral transformation. On the religious front, de-radicalization programs must engage credible and moderate Islamic scholars to counter extremist interpretations of religious texts. Many Boko Haram members have been misguided by extremist ideologies that distort Islamic teachings (Akinwale, 2022). Having trained religious clerics conduct faith-based reorientation sessions can challenge misconceptions, correct extremist narratives, and offer alternative, peaceful interpretations of religious doctrines. Government agencies should work with Islamic organizations, Christian religious leaders, and traditional institutions to create structured theological re-education programs for radicalized inmates.

**Enhancing Vocational Training and Economic Empowerment**

Economic hardship and lack of opportunities often drive individuals toward radicalization, crime, and extremist activities. Many ex-inmates who complete de-radicalization programs struggle to find employment or start businesses, which increases their risk of recidivism or re-engagement with terrorist groups that offer financial incentives (Onyema, 2023). To mitigate this, vocational training and economic empowerment must be a core part of rehabilitation programs.

Correctional facilities should offer marketable and in-demand vocational skills, such as:

1. Agriculture and agro-processing
2. Carpentry and construction work
3. Tailoring and fashion design
4. Information and communication technology (ICT)
5. Auto mechanics and electrical installation

To ensure economic empowerment, government institutions and private sector stakeholders should collaborate to create employment opportunities for rehabilitated individuals. Initiatives such as low-interest loans, entrepreneurship grants, and job placement programs can enable former extremists to become self-sufficient and law-abiding citizens (Okonkwo, 2021). Moreover, tax incentives can be introduced for businesses that employ rehabilitated ex-inmates, encouraging private sector participation in their reintegration.

**Community Involvement and Public Awareness Campaigns**

One of the biggest obstacles to successful reintegration is societal stigma and discrimination against de-radicalized individuals. Many communities view former extremists with suspicion and fear, believing they pose a security threat or are incapable of genuine reform. This social rejection can push rehabilitated individuals back into violent extremist networks, undermining the entire purpose of de-radicalization programs (Eze, 2020). To change public perception, community engagement and sensitization campaigns must be implemented. Community leaders, traditional rulers, and religious institutions should be involved in educating local populations on the importance of accepting and supporting rehabilitated individuals. Public awareness initiatives, including radio programs, social media campaigns, town hall meetings, and documentary storytelling, can help dispel misconceptions about de-radicalization and foster a culture of tolerance and rehabilitation. Additionally, communities should be

encouraged to participate in supervised reintegration programs, where rehabilitated individuals engage in community service, public works, and local development projects. This practical engagement strategy will not only demonstrate their commitment to change but also help rebuild trust between ex-inmates and their communities.

**Improved Monitoring and Post-Release Support**

The absence of a structured monitoring and follow-up system for rehabilitated individuals is one of the biggest weaknesses of Nigeria's de-radicalization programs. Many former extremists leave rehabilitation centers without any supervision, mentorship, or economic support, increasing their risk of returning to radicalized networks or criminal activities. Countries such as Saudi Arabia, Indonesia, and Denmark have well-structured post-release monitoring systems, which have contributed to low recidivism rates and successful reintegration (Neumann, 2016).

To address this gap, Nigeria should implement a comprehensive post-release support system that includes:

1. Mentorship programs, where de-radicalized individuals are paired with trained mentors, community leaders, or religious scholars who guide them through their reintegration process.
2. Periodic check-ins and psychological support, ensuring that rehabilitated individuals receive continued counseling and emotional assistance.
3. Employment placement and skills development programs, offering job opportunities, entrepreneurship training, and access to financial resources.
4. Collaboration with law enforcement and intelligence agencies, to monitor at-risk individuals without violating their rights or stigmatizing them.

The government should also create a national database to track rehabilitated individuals, allowing policymakers and security agencies to evaluate the long-term impact of de-radicalization efforts and adjust policies as needed. Strengthening Nigeria's de-radicalization programs requires a coordinated, multi-sectoral effort involving government agencies, religious institutions, psychological experts, community leaders, and private sector stakeholders. Addressing key weaknesses such as inadequate counseling services, lack of economic empowerment opportunities, societal rejection, and poor post-release monitoring will enhance the effectiveness and sustainability of these programs. By implementing these policy recommendations, Nigeria can build a more resilient and effective rehabilitation system that not only prevents recidivism but also contributes to long-term peace, security, and social stability.

**Conclusion**

De-radicalization programs are a vital component of Nigeria's counter-terrorism and rehabilitation strategy, aimed at transforming extremist inmates, mitigating security threats, and fostering social reintegration. Given the rise of violent extremism, particularly with the activities of Boko Haram and other radical groups, the need for effective rehabilitation programs within Nigeria's correctional system cannot be overstated. While de-radicalization

programs have shown promising outcomes, such as psychological transformation, improved social interaction, and reduced recidivism, their effectiveness is often compromised by several systemic and structural challenges. One of the most pressing obstacles to successful de-radicalization is ideological resistance among inmates. Many extremist prisoners remain deeply committed to their radical ideologies, rejecting rehabilitation efforts and even influencing fellow inmates to adopt extremist beliefs. The phenomenon of prison radicalization further complicates these efforts, as correctional facilities sometimes serve as breeding grounds for extremist recruitment and indoctrination. Addressing this challenge requires a multidimensional approach, incorporating specialized psychological interventions, religious reorientation by credible scholars, and engagement with former extremists who have successfully reformed. Another major hindrance to the success of de-radicalization programs is inadequate funding and resources. Many rehabilitation initiatives in Nigeria lack the necessary financial backing to provide essential services such as counseling, vocational training, and post-release monitoring. Limited government investment in de-radicalization efforts has resulted in poor infrastructure, inadequate staffing, and insufficient follow-up mechanisms for released inmates. Without sustained funding and resource allocation, these programs will continue to fall short of their objectives. Therefore, Nigeria must increase budgetary support for rehabilitation initiatives while seeking partnerships with international donors, private sector stakeholders, and civil society organizations.

Furthermore, societal stigma and reintegration barriers present significant hurdles for rehabilitated inmates. Even after successfully completing de-radicalization programs, former extremists often face rejection from their communities, making reintegration difficult. Distrust, fear, and a lack of economic opportunities can push some individuals back into extremist networks, thereby undermining the very goal of rehabilitation. A successful de-radicalization framework must include community sensitization campaigns, economic empowerment programs, and structured reintegration support to ensure that rehabilitated individuals have a genuine opportunity to rebuild their lives. Additionally, the absence of standardized monitoring and evaluation mechanisms weakens the long-term effectiveness of de-radicalization programs in Nigeria. Without a structured system for tracking the progress of rehabilitated individuals, it is difficult to assess whether they fully disengage from extremist ideologies or revert to radicalization. Countries with successful rehabilitation models, such as Saudi Arabia and Indonesia, implement rigorous post-release supervision to monitor the behavior and activities of ex-extremists for several years. Nigeria must adopt similar best practices, incorporating data-driven risk assessment tools, periodic follow-ups, and interagency collaboration to improve the monitoring and evaluation of its de-radicalization programs.

**The Way Forward**

To overcome these challenges and enhance the effectiveness of de-radicalization programs, a multi-sectoral approach is required, involving:

1. Government Agencies: The Nigerian Correctional Service (NCoS), Ministry of Interior, and National Counter-Terrorism Center must work together to develop

comprehensive rehabilitation policies and secure adequate funding for these initiatives.

2. Religious Leaders and Scholars: Engaging moderate clerics to challenge extremist narratives and promote peaceful interpretations of religious doctrines is crucial for ideological reorientation.
3. Psychologists and Social Workers: Professional counseling and trauma therapy should be integrated into rehabilitation programs to address the psychological and emotional factors that contribute to radicalization.
4. Community Stakeholders: Sensitization campaigns should be conducted to reduce societal stigma, promote acceptance of rehabilitated individuals, and foster social cohesion.
5. International Partners and NGOs: Learning from global best practices and securing technical and financial support from international organizations will strengthen Nigeria's de-radicalization efforts.

De-radicalization programs hold immense potential in rehabilitating extremist inmates and preventing the spread of radical ideologies in Nigeria. However, for these programs to yield sustainable results, challenges such as ideological resistance, insufficient funding, societal stigma, and weak monitoring frameworks must be addressed. A holistic and collaborative approach—involving government agencies, religious leaders, psychologists, and community stakeholders—is essential in ensuring the long-term success of these initiatives. Strengthening Nigeria's de-radicalization programs will not only enhance national security but also promote social cohesion, peace, and stability in the country.

## References


Adebayo, T. (2021). *De-Radicalization and Counter-Terrorism in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects.* Lagos: Security Press.

Akinwale, A. (2022). Faith-Based Interventions in Counter-Radicalization: A Nigerian Perspective. *Journal of Religious Studies*, 14(2), 102-119.

Akinwale, J. (2022). "The Role of Rehabilitation in Countering Violent Extremism." *African Journal of Criminology,* 19(2), 102-119.

Eze, K. (2020). "Challenges in De-Radicalizing Inmates in Nigeria." *Journal of Conflict Resolution Studies,* 10(4), 77-95.

Eze, P. (2020). "Challenges of Reintegration in Counter-Terrorism Programs." *African Security Review*, 18(3), 55-72.

Eze, P. (2020). "Ideological Resistance in Prison Radicalization." *Journal of Security and Conflict Resolution,* 15(1), 54-72.

Hassan, A., & Yusuf, M. (2020). *Religious Rehabilitation and De-Radicalization in Africa.* Abuja: Policy Press.

Ibrahim, M. (2020). "Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Extremist Rehabilitation." *Journal of Psychological Studies*, 20(4), 84-97.

Ibrahim, S. (2020). "Psychological Interventions in Prison Rehabilitation." *Nigerian Journal of Psychology,* 15(3), 123-139.

Neumann, P. (2016). *Radicalized: New Jihadists and the Threat to the West.* Oxford University Press.

Obi, K. (2021). "Challenges of Community Acceptance in De-Radicalization Efforts." *Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies in Africa,* 22(1), 78-96.

Okonkwo, D. (2021). "Challenges of De-Radicalization in Nigeria's Prisons." *Journal of Security Studies in Africa,* 18(3), 112-130.

Okonkwo, D. (2021). "Evaluating the Impact of Vocational Training in Counter-Extremism Programs." *Journal of Development Studies*, 17(1), 44-61.

Onyema, C. (2023). "Economic Empowerment as a Tool for Sustainable De-Radicalization." *West African Journal of Social Policy,* 10(4), 55-74.

Usman, B. (2022). "Nigeria's Operation Safe Corridor: A Case Study." *West African Journal of Security Studies,* 14(1), 88-104.