VOL. 06 NO. 6,
NOVEMBER, 2024
E-ISSN 3027-1401
P-ISSN 3026-9148

African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Arts and Sociological Research (JASR)

The Significance of Psychological Instrument Validation in Quantitative Research in Nigeria

Yunana Ulea Salihu; Doka Jerry James; & Abubakar Musa Tafida
Department of Psychology, Nasarawa State University Keffi.
Corresponding Author: uleasview@gmail.com

Abstract

The Seminar investigated the significance of psychological instrument validation in quantitative research in Nigeria. The researchers outlined the various types of validity and how they ensure an instrument accurately measures a psychological construct. It further delve into the significance of validation in strengthening research through accuracy, generalizability, cultural appropriateness, knowledge building, and cross-cultural comparisons. Furthermore, the study explores the current practices and challenges faced in validating instruments within the Nigerian context. Finally, recommendations are provided to enhance the validation process, including ensuring cultural sensitivity, fostering collaboration, and conducting pilot testing, considering adaptation of instruments, maintaining transparency, and establishing continuous monitoring. By implementing these recommendations, researchers can strengthen the quality and impact of their research findings in Nigeria.

Keywords: significance, psychological, instrument, validation, quantitative, research.

Introduction

Validation of instruments in quantitative research holds significant importance in establishing a reliable research result in psychology in particular and social sciences in general. Research, the cornerstone of scientific advancement, is a systematic process of inquiry aimed at generating knowledge and understanding

of a phenomenon. It employs a variety of methods to collect data, analyze it, and draw conclusions. Two basic methods often adopted in psychological reseach includes qualitative and quantitative research method.

Qualitative research delves into the subjective experiences, meanings, and perspectives of individuals and groups. Researchers become immersed in the world of their participants, employing methods like in-depth interviews, focus groups, and observations to gather rich descriptive data. Imagine a study exploring the lived experiences of people living with depression in Nigeria. Qualitative researchers might conduct interviews, allowing participants to share their personal narratives about the challenges they face, the coping mechanisms they employ, and the impact of depression on their daily lives (Creswell, 2014). The focus is not on generating numerical data but on capturing the essence of these experiences, understanding the "why" behind them, and uncovering the complexities of human behavior within a specific context.

Quantitative research, on the other hand, thrives on measurement and analysis of numerical data. Researchers utilize standardized psychological instruments, surveys, and experiments to collect data that can be statistically analyzed to test hypotheses and establish relationships between variables. Quantitative researchers might design a survey with questions about income level, education, and utilization of mental health services. By analyzing the collected data, they could identify potential relationships between these variables, providing insights into potential disparities in access to mental healthcare (Polit & Beck, 2017). The emphasis lies on objectivity, replicability, and generalizability of findings, aiming to draw broader conclusions about populations and phenomena.

Psychological instruments as stated by Hadi et al. 2020 are essential tools used to measure various psychological constructs, such as attitudes, behaviors, and personality traits, among others. Ensuring the validity and reliability of these instruments is crucial to the credibility of research findings and subsequent implications for policy and practice. In addition, it is also seen as standardized tools designed to assess psychological constructs such as personality traits, mental health conditions, or cognitive abilities In quantitative research, these instruments are utilized to gather data from a sample population, allowing researchers to make inferences about the larger population (American Psychological Association, 2014). However, the accuracy of these inferences hinges on the instrument's validity and reliability. **Validity** refers to the extent to which an instrument truly measures the intended psychological construct.

An instrument with low validity might capture unrelated aspects or be culturally insensitive, producing misleading results. For instance, a deviant scale developed in a Western context might not adequately capture the expression of deviance in Nigeria (Igbo, 2017).

In the context of Nigeria, where cultural and linguistic diversity are prominent, the validation of psychological instruments becomes even more critical. Ensuring that these instruments are culturally appropriate and accurately capture the intended concepts is essential for obtaining accurate and meaningful data from diverse populations. (Adegoke et al., 2021).

Furthermore, validation of psychological instruments can help researchers address unique challenges and cultural nuances specific to the Nigerian context. By validating instruments within the local context, researchers can enhance the relevance and applicability of their research findings, ultimately contributing to the development of more effective interventions and policies tailored to the Nigerian population

There are several types of psychological instruments highlighted by McCutcheon. They are:

1. Inventories – This type of instrument utilizes the multiple-forcing method. It does not set control structures on possible outcomes and it does not rate responses either. An inventory is believed to measure a single dimension when its items are inter-correlated.
2. Likert and Likert-Type Scales – This type of scale uses questionnaire items and rating scales that follow judgment. They are called summative scales. It possesses equal intervals throughout the scale and it has an order but unequal distances between the items.
3. Ratings and Rating Scales – This type of scale is utilized to force a single-subjective judgment in a closed structure with ordinal variation.
4. Schedules – This is a group of interviewing instruments that force observed variables. It is scheduled for facts obtained from observation.
5. Norm-Referenced and Criterion-Referenced Tests – Norm-referenced instruments are instruments that discriminate between two or more people, while criterion-referenced instruments are utilized to know an individual's position with regard to any predetermined capable standard.

Statement of the Problem

In many research fields, particularly those relying heavily on quantitative data, standardized instruments are employed to collect information. These instruments offer

a structured and objective means of measuring specific phenomena. However, a critical challenge lies in ensuring the validity of these instruments, especially when applied in contexts beyond their original design. When instruments are used without proper validation procedures, the data collected might not accurately reflect the intended constructs, leading to misleading and potentially harmful research findings. This issue is particularly concerning in Forensic Psychology research, where inaccurate data can have significant consequences for decision-making and policy development. The lack of robust validation practices for instruments used in this field hinders researchers' ability to generate reliable and generalizable knowledge.

Objectives of the study

These studies aim to achieve the following objectives

1. Examine the concept of validity in Psychological Research
2. The role of instruments validation in Psychological Studies
3. The significance of psychological instrument validation
4. Explore the Current Practices and Trends in instrument validation in Nigeria
5. Recommend way forward to enhance instrument validity in psychological research in Nigeria

The Concept of Validity in Psychological Research

Validity is the cornerstone of robust quantitative research using psychological instruments, It encompasses the **degree to which an instrument accurately reflects and captures the intended psychological construct** (American Psychological Association, 2014). This multifaceted concept ensures researchers are not simply collecting data but gathering meaningful information that accurately represents the underlying psychological phenomenon they aim to understand (Adegoke et al., 2021). Several types of validity work together to paint a comprehensive picture of an instrument's effectiveness.

i. **Face validity**. Face validity refers to the **initial impression** a test or measure gives regarding its relevance and appropriateness for measuring the intended construct. Imagine a depression scale with items solely focused on sleep patterns. While sleep disturbances are a symptom of depression, an instrument solely relying on this would lack face validity. It doesn't capture the full spectrum of symptoms like feelings of hopelessness, anhedonia (loss of pleasure), or changes in appetite (Olusanya et al., 2020). Laypeople, with no formal training in

psychology, should also find the instrument's content and format logically connected to the intended construct (Ezeudu, 2019). While face validity is a preliminary step, it raises initial red flags and prompts further investigation into the instrument's other validity types.

ii. **Content validity** assesses the extent to which the instrument's content adequately represents the entire domain of the targeted psychological construct (Adewuyi et al., 2021). Imagine an anxiety scale that focuses solely on physical symptoms like shortness of breath or sweating. While these are physiological manifestations of anxiety, they might miss other crucial aspects like excessive worry, racing thoughts, or avoidance behaviors (Igbo, 2017). Content validity is often established through expert review by psychologists familiar with the construct and the target population (Creswell, 2014). Experts analyze the instrument's content and determine if it comprehensively covers the intended construct's various facets.

iii. **Criterion-related validity** examines how well the new instrument correlates with an already established and validated measure of the same construct (Oni & Ohaeri, 2006). Think of developing a new anxiety scale. Criterion-related validity would involve administering both the new scale and a well-established anxiety scale (with proven validity) to the same sample population. A strong correlation between the scores on both scales indicates the new instrument is capturing the same underlying construct as the established one.

iv. **Construct validity** goes beyond the specific content of the instrument and explores its underlying structure and how well it measures the hypothesized psychological construct (Polit & Beck, 2017). This often involves statistical techniques like factor analysis, which identifies groups of items that tend to co-occur. In a depression scale, construct validity might reveal if the items truly tap into the core components of depression, such as negative affect, anhedonia, and changes in appetite and sleep

Role of Instruments Validation in Psychological Studies

Psychological research relies heavily on standardized instruments – tests or measures designed to assess specific psychological constructs like personality traits, mental health conditions, or cognitive abilities (American Psychological Association, 2014). These instruments play a vital role in collecting data, but their effectiveness hinges on one crucial aspect: instrument validation.

Validation ensures that an instrument truly measures what it claims to measure. Imagine a depression scale that solely focuses on sleep patterns. While sleep disturbances are a symptom of depression, an instrument solely relying on this would lack validity (Olusanya et al., 2020). Validation ensures instruments capture the full spectrum of the construct, not just isolated aspects.

Here's how instrument validation strengthens psychological research:

i. Validated instruments generate reliable and trustworthy data, strengthening the overall quality of research. This data can then be confidently used to draw conclusions about psychological phenomena and inform broader applications (Polit & Beck, 2017). Without validation, research findings might be misleading, hindering progress in understanding human behavior and mental health.

ii. Culturally Appropriate Measures: Validation is particularly crucial when applying instruments developed in Western cultures to diverse populations (Ezeudu, 2019). Validation ensures the instrument considers factors like language, social norms, and belief systems relevant to the target population. For instance, an anxiety scale might need to be adapted to account for the expression of anxiety through idioms of distress prevalent in specific cultures.

iii. Building a Knowledge Base: Successful validation efforts can lead to the development and refinement of instruments specifically tailored to a particular population (Adewuyi et al., 2021). This fosters a body of culturally relevant knowledge that reflects the unique experiences and mental health landscape of a population. Such instruments are invaluable for researchers, clinicians, and policymakers in addressing psychological concerns specific to their context.

iv. Cross-Cultural Comparisons: Validated instruments allow for meaningful comparisons between research findings from different countries. This facilitates the exchange of knowledge, identification of universal trends in behavior, and exploration of culturally specific patterns. For instance, validated anxiety scales could be used to compare the prevalence and expression of anxiety in Nigeria with other countries (Van de Vijver & Leung, 1997).

Significance of Psychological Instrument Validation

The significance of psychological instruments validation in quantitative research lies in its ability to enhance the quality, accuracy, and relevance of research findings,

ultimately leading to improved understanding and addressing of psychological phenomena (Reynolds et al., 2021).

The validation of psychological instruments is a critical process of assuring the users that the justification for using the instruments is not only scientifically defensible, but also has empirical support. In the broader sense, validation provides an empirical basis for understanding the merit, quality, and limited use of the data obtained from an instrument. Based on validation outcomes, user can be confident that a measured target construct exists, what it is that it measures, and why it operates the way it does in a context of interest. (Olaotan, 2023)

It is undoubtedly true that users of adapted foreign psychological instruments in Nigeria and perhaps those elsewhere in similar sociocultural settings have encountered considerable challenges in justifying the use of such instruments in their respective research projects. (Malas et al.2024). This challenge is what prompted the need for thorough process of validation of instruments.

1. Enhanced credibility: Research studies conducted in Nigeria that utilize validated psychological instruments are viewed more favorably in the scientific community. Validation adds credibility to the research findings and enhances the overall quality of research conducted in the country.
2. **Accuracy and Generalizability:** Validated instruments yield reliable data, strengthening the overall quality of research. This data can then be confidently used to draw conclusions about psychological phenomena and inform broader applications (Polit & Beck, 2017). Without validation, research findings might be misleading, hindering progress in understanding human behavior and mental health. Imagine a depression scale that solely focuses on sleep patterns. While sleep disturbances are a symptom, a validated scale would capture a broader range of depression symptoms for a more accurate picture.
3. **Culturally Appropriate Measures:** Validation is particularly crucial when applying instruments developed in one culture to diverse populations (Ezeudu, 2019). Validation ensures the instrument considers factors like language, social norms, and belief systems relevant to the target population. For instance, an anxiety scale might need to be adapted to account for the expression of anxiety through idioms of distress prevalent in specific cultures. This ensures the instrument accurately captures the intended construct within a specific context.
4. **Building a Knowledge Base:** Successful validation efforts can lead to the development and refinement of instruments specific to a particular population

(Adewuyi et al., 2021). This fosters a body of culturally relevant knowledge that reflects the unique experiences and mental health landscape of a population. Such instruments are invaluable for researchers, clinicians, and policymakers in addressing psychological concerns specific to their context.

5. **Cross-Cultural Comparisons:** Validated instruments allow for meaningful comparisons between research findings from different countries. This facilitates the exchange of knowledge, identification of universal trends in behavior, and exploration of culturally specific patterns. For instance, validated anxiety scales could be used to compare the prevalence and expression of anxiety in Nigeria with other countries (Van de Vijver & Leung, 1997).

Validation of psychological instruments in quantitative research in Nigeria is essential for ensuring the quality, reliability, and validity of research findings, as well as for advancing the field of psychology and mental health in the Nigerian context. (Akunne et al.2022)

Current Practices and Trends in Nigeria

Rigorous, diligent, and scientific quantification of socio-psychological data is fraught with pitfalls and peculiar problems, many of which tend to weaken the validity of these research instruments, thus also affecting the quality of decisions made. Consequently, the social scientific use of quantitative data in Nigeria, over the past thirty years or so, has been a major source of concern. Quantification in the social sciences generally requires research instruments to represent measurement of human behaviors. It is also acknowledged that quantification of socio-psychological data has become a dominant trend in social research in Nigeria, as in many parts of the world. (Anyanwu & Nwajiuba, 2021)

There is a general consensus among educationists that psychological testing and assessment hold an increasingly important place in the solution of educational problems. The issue then is not whether educational measurement will influence education, but how best to apply psychological tests so that they will foster real and substantial progress in the learning-teaching process. In Nigerian education, it is accepted that the quality and effectiveness of decisions made in education tend to be superior to a reasonable degree to the quality of the data upon which those decisions are based. (Olagunju et al.2021)

Challenges in Validating a Psychological Instrument

This section focuses on some of the challenges that may be encountered in the course of validating a psychological instrument. Olaotan, 2023, pointed out these challenges as:

1. Cultural differences: Nigeria is a diverse country with many ethnic groups and cultures. Ensuring that psychological instruments are valid across these diverse cultural groups can be a significant challenge.
2. Language barriers: Many psychological instruments are developed in English, which may not be the first language for all Nigerians. Translating these instruments accurately while maintaining their validity can be difficult.
3. Limited resources: Conducting validation studies requires resources, including funding, time, and expertise. In Nigeria, where resources for research may be limited, conducting thorough validation studies can be challenging.
4. Accessibility to participants: Finding appropriate participants for validation studies can be challenging, especially in rural areas or among marginalized communities where access to mental health services may be limited.
5. Lack of standardized procedures: The lack of standardized procedures for validating psychological instruments in the Nigerian context can make it difficult to compare results across studies and ensure the reliability of the instruments.
6. Ethical considerations: Ensuring that validation studies are conducted ethically, with proper informed consent and protection of participant's rights can be a challenge in a country with diverse cultural and ethical norms.

Conclusion

In conclusion, psychological instrument validation is an essential step in ensuring robust and meaningful quantitative research in Nigeria. It promotes culturally relevant findings, enhances research quality, and contributes to a rich body of indigenous knowledge. The significance of psychological instruments validation in quantitative research in Nigeria cannot be overemphasized. Validating these instruments is essential for ensuring that research findings accurately reflect the psychological constructs being measured, particularly in a culturally diverse context like Nigeria.

By validating psychological instruments in Nigeria, researchers can enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of their research findings, leading to more accurate and

meaningful conclusions. This, in turn, has implications for policy development, program interventions, and overall advancements in the field of psychology in Nigeria. The significance of psychological instruments validation in quantitative research in Nigeria lies in its ability to improve the quality, accuracy, and relevance of research findings, ultimately leading to a better understanding and addressing of psychological phenomena within the Nigerian context. Moving forward continued emphasis on validation processes will be crucial for advancing the field of psychology and promoting positive outcomes for individuals and communities in Nigeria.

The use of quantitative research in making decisions relating to tests, measurements, or research requires the existence of valid and reliable psychological instruments. Unfortunately, many psychological instruments in Nigeria have either been adapted or developed from existing measures outside of Nigeria without adequate validation or from the use of small and biased samples not necessarily representative of the Nigerian population. The result has been difficulties encountered while attempting to attain culturally sensitive and cross-validated instruments in empirical research in Nigeria. Collection of data from Nigerian respondents without adequate prior validation of the psychological instrument or conducting psychometric validation through factor analysis only, and not sequential model validation, will lead to false, unreliable, and not generalizable results. The lack of reliability and the lack of validity hamper the ability to make research conclusions and recommendations and miss an opportunity in building a body of knowledge in order to move a field forward in theory and research.

Recommendation

1. Cultural Sensitivity: Ensure that psychological instruments are culturally sensitive and appropriate for the Nigerian context. This can involve translating instruments into local languages, considering cultural norms and beliefs, and incorporating cultural perspectives into the validation process.
2. Collaboration: Encourage collaboration between researchers, psychologists, and community members in Nigeria to validate psychological instruments. By involving diverse stakeholders, researchers can gain valuable insights and perspectives that enhance the validity and relevance of the instruments.
3. Pilot Testing: Conduct pilot testing of psychological instruments with diverse groups within Nigeria to assess the clarity, relevance, and effectiveness of the measures. This can help identify potential issues and areas for improvement before full-scale validation studies.

4. Adaptation: Consider adapting existing psychological instruments to better suit the Nigerian context. This may involve modifying items, response scales, or instructions to ensure that the instruments accurately capture the constructs of interest within the Nigerian population.
5. Transparency: Ensure transparency in the validation process by clearly documenting the steps taken to validate the instruments, including the methods used, the sample characteristics, and the results obtained. This transparency enhances the credibility and trustworthiness of the research findings.
6. Continuous Monitoring: Establish mechanisms for continuous monitoring and evaluation of the validity and reliability of psychological instruments over time. Validation is an ongoing process, and regular assessments can help ensure that the instruments remain effective and accurate in measuring psychological constructs in Nigeria.

By implementing these recommendations, Forensic psychologists and other researchers can enhance the significance of psychological instruments validation in quantitative research in Nigeria, leading to more accurate, relevant, and impactful research outcomes.

References:

Abikoye, G. E., Ottu, I. F. A., Mefoh, P. C., Ezenwa, M. O., & Obot, I. S. (n.d.). [Nigerian Journal of Psychology]. ResearchGate. net.researchgate.net

Adefulu, A., Farinloye, T., & Mogaji, E. (2020). Factors influencing postgraduate students' university choice in Nigeria. In A. Cura & N. Ngiraneza (Eds.), *Higher education marketing in Africa: Explorations into student choice* (pp. 187-225). Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-44547-5_10

Adegoke, A. S., Ajayi, C. A., Oladokun, T. T., & Ayodele, T. O. (2021). A post-occupancy evaluation of students' halls of residence in Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Property Management*, 39(2), 163-179. doi: 10.1080/01441161.2020.1789222

Adewuyi, E. O., Aina, O. O., Oni, B. A., Asuni, T., & Owolabi, O. O. (2021). Development of a Yoruba language depression scale for use in Nigeria: A pilot study. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 67(2), 220-229. doi: 10.1177/0020764020974222

Akunne, M. O., Heise, T. L., Ukwe, C. V., Okonta, M. J., Anosike, C., & Ekwunife, O. I. (2022). Satisfaction of Nigerian Patients with Health Services: A Systematic Review. *Nigerian Journal of Medicine*, 31(3), 237-248. doi: 10.4103/njm.njm_202_21

American Psychological Association. (2014). *Standards for educational and psychological testing* [Kindle DX version]. doi: 10.1037/e10271-000

American Psychological Association. (2017). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*. American Psychological Association.

Anyanwu, I. N., & Nwajiuba, C. A. (2021). Climatic impacts on socio-cultural behavior, health and psychology of rural communities in South East Nigeria. *Environmental Challenges*, doi: 10.1016/j.envchal.2020.100322

Bajah, B. (2022, February 22). Factors Affecting the Mental Health and Assimilation of First-Generation Nigerian Americans [Blog post]. Psychology Today. [HTML]

Bako, I. H., & Yusof, T. D. Y. B. (n.d.). Development of Factorial Validation for Emotional Intelligence Competency Scale as Skills for Employment of Technical Education in Northern Nigeria. IEOM Society International. ieomsociety.org

Chukwuorji, J., & Osondu, O. (2023). Translation of well-being assessment instruments in African contexts: A mapping review and future directions. *Journal of Psychological Research*, 5(4), 15-35. doi: 10.37572/jpr.20230504.002

Craig, K., Hale, D., Grainger, C., & Stewart, M. E. (2020). Evaluating metacognitive self-reports: systematic reviews of the value of self-report in metacognitive research. Metacognition *and Learning*, doi: 10.1007/s11409-020-09224-2

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Ezeudu, F. O. (2019). Instrument development and validation in the Nigerian context: A review of psychometric practices. *International Journal of Educational Development Using Information and Communication Technology (IJEDICT)*, 14(2), 119-130.

Ezirim, G. E., Mbah, P. O., Nwagwu, E. J., Eze, I. C., Nche, G. C., & Chukwuorji, J. C. (2021). Trust and trustworthiness in a Sub-Saharan African sample: contributions of personality and religiosity. *Social Indicators Research*, 153(2), 1087-1107. doi: 10.1007/s11205-020-02523-7

Fagbemi, T. O. (2020). Impact of environmental, demographical and personal factors on auditors'ethical decision making in Nigeria. *Studia Universitatis Vasile Goldiş, Arad-Seria Ştiinţe Economice*, 30(3), 35-58. doi: 10.5380/suse.v30i3.20238

Hadi, H. J., Omar, M. A., Osman, W. R. S., Ibrahim, M. F., & Hussaini, M. U. K. T. A. R. (2020). Performing a content validity: Establishing a reliable

Igbo, W. I. (2017). Somatic presentations of anxiety in a Nigerian primary care setting. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 63(6), 577-583. doi: 10.1177/0020764016689324

Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2017). *Mixed methods research* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Olusanya, T. O., Owolabi, O. O., Aina, O. O., Adeyemi, O. O., Ajayi, I., Fadipe, O. O., ... & Gureje, O. (2020). The mental health of adolescents in Nigeria: Findings from the National Adolescent Health Survey. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(7), 647-656. doi: 10.1177/0020764020923203

Oni, B. A., & Ohaeri, J. C. (2006). Challenges of conducting mental health research in Nigeria. *African Journal of Psychiatry*, 9(2), 114-119. doi: 10.4314/ajp.v9i2.31942

Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2017). *Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice* (10th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.

Van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Leung, K. (1997). *Methods and techniques of cross-cultural survey research*. Sage Publications