VOL. 12 NO. 6,
MAY, 2026
E-ISSN 3027-1401
P-ISSN 3026-9148

*African Scholar Publications & Research International*
www.africanscholarpub.com

*Journal of Arts and Sociological Research (JASR)*

# Applicability of Talcott Parsons' Sick Role Concept in Understanding Disease and Illness: A Qualitative Study in Gusau Metropolis, Zamfara State, Nigeria

***Ahmad Yahaya Maigemu; & **Rufai Sani Adamu**

*Department of Sociology, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria.
**Department of Social Development, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria.
**Corresponding Author:** ahmad.maigemu@udusok.edu.ng
**DOI**: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajasr.v12i6.0130

## *Abstract*

Talcott Parsons concept of the sick role remains a cornerstone in medical sociology, offering theoretical insight into societal expectations of individuals who experience illness. This qualitative study explores how the sick role framework shapes local understandings of disease and illness in Gusau Metropolis, Zamfara State, Nigeria. Using in-depth interviews and thematic content analysis, findings reveal that while Parsons' model resonates with community expectations of legitimate illness and recovery responsibilities, socio-cultural and economic realities modify its application. The study highlights how traditional beliefs, gender roles, and health system constraints intersect with the sick role, suggesting the need for context-sensitive theoretical adaptations. Implications for health practice and policy in underserved settings are discussed.

**Keywords:** Sick Role, Disease, Illness, Qualitative Study, Gusau, Nigeria, Medical Sociology.

## *Introduction*

Health and illness are not only biological phenomena but also deeply embedded social experiences shaped by cultural norms, economic conditions, and institutional structures. Sociological approaches to health emphasize that how societies define, respond to, and manage illness is influenced by social values and expectations. One of the most enduring theoretical frameworks in this regard is Talcott Parsons' concept of the sick

role. Parsons (1951) conceptualized illness as a form of sanctioned deviance that disrupts social order and therefore requires regulation through socially defined roles (Giddens, 1991). While the sick role has been widely applied in Western contexts, its relevance in non-Western societies particularly in sub-Saharan Africa has been the subject of sustained debate (Crossley, 1998; Giddens, 1991). In Nigeria, where health-seeking behavior is shaped by traditional beliefs, religious interpretations, poverty, and limited healthcare infrastructure, the applicability of Parsons sick role remains underexplored, especially in northern regions such as Zamfara State. Gusau Metropolis presents a unique context where biomedical, traditional, and religious health systems coexist (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009; Crossley, 1998; Giddens, 1991).

The theoretical framing of illness behavior is critical for understanding how societies interpret disease and assign roles to the sick. Talcott Parsons seminal concept of the sick role posits that sickness is not merely a biological condition but also a social status with expected rights and obligations. While widely discussed in Western contexts, its applicability in sub-Saharan African settings characterized by diverse beliefs and healthcare barriers remains underexplored. This study investigates the impact of Parsons sick role concept on understanding disease and illness in Gusau Metropolis, Zamfara State, Nigeria. Through qualitative inquiry, this research examines local perceptions of sickness, societal expectations of the ill, and how these align with or challenge Parsonian theory (Amzat, 2011; Crossley, 1998; Giddens, 1991).

**Literature Review (conceptual clarification, related literature and theoretical framework)**

The sociological study of health and illness has evolved significantly over the past century, moving beyond narrow biomedical explanations to incorporate social, cultural, and institutional dimensions ((Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009; Crossley, 1998). Among the earliest and most influential contributions to this shift is Talcott Parsons concept of the sick role, which provided a systematic sociological framework for understanding illness as a form of socially regulated deviance ((Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009; Freidson, 1961). By conceptualizing illness not merely as a biological malfunction but as a social role governed by norms, expectations, and obligations, Parsons transformed how scholars interpret disease and illness within society. The concept of the sick role emerged at a time when medicine was largely dominated by positivist and biological models that focused on pathology, diagnosis, and treatment (Freidson, 1961). Parsons challenged this dominance by arguing that illness disrupts not only the body but also the smooth functioning of social systems (Crossley, 1998). From this perspective, sickness becomes a social problem that requires management to restore equilibrium within society. This theoretical shift laid the foundation for medical sociology as a distinct field of inquiry (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

Over the decades, the sick role concept has been widely applied, debated, criticized, and modified. Scholars have used it to analyze doctor patient relationships, institutional power, health-seeking behavior, compliance, stigma, and chronic illness experiences.

At the same time, critics have questioned its assumptions about universality, voluntarism, medical authority, and recovery. This literature review examines the impact of Parsons' sick role concept by exploring its theoretical origins, empirical applications, strengths, criticisms, and contemporary relevance in understanding disease and illness. Talcott Parsons developed the sick role within the broader framework of structural functionalism, a theoretical perspective that views society as a complex system composed of interdependent parts working together to maintain stability and social order. From this standpoint, social roles are essential mechanisms through which individuals contribute to societal functioning (Lupton, 1997). Any disruption to role performance such as illness poses a potential threat to social stability and therefore must be regulated. Parsons conceptualized health as a prerequisite for the effective performance of social roles (Lupton, 1997). Illness, therefore, represents a form of sanctioned deviance because it prevents individuals from fulfilling their normal obligations in society. Unlike criminal or immoral deviance, however, sickness is generally not blamed on moral failure but is instead legitimized through socially recognized processes. The sick role thus serves as a mechanism through which societies manage illness in a way that minimizes disruption and facilitates recovery (Howard & Ceci, 2013; Amzat & Omolola, 2009).

Within this functionalist framework, medicine assumes a crucial role as a social institution responsible for diagnosing illness, legitimizing sick status, and guiding individuals back to health. Physicians act as gatekeepers who determine whether an individual's claim to sickness is valid and who prescribe appropriate behavior during illness (Crossley, 1998; Giddens, 1991). This institutional role reinforces medicine's authority and integrates healthcare into the broader system of social control. Parsons identified two primary rights and two primary obligations that define the sick role. The first right is exemption from normal social responsibilities, such as work or family duties. This exemption acknowledges that illness reduces an individual's capacity to perform expected roles. The second right is the assumption that the sick person is not responsible for their condition, thereby removing moral blame and social condemnation (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009; Crossley, 1998; Giddens, 1991).

However, these rights are conditional and balanced by obligations. The first obligation requires the sick individual to view illness as undesirable and to want to recover. This assumption reinforces the idea that sickness is a temporary state rather than a permanent identity. The second obligation mandates that the individual seek competent medical help and cooperate with prescribed treatment (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009). Compliance with medical authority is thus central to the successful enactment of the sick role. These components collectively reflect the moral and normative dimensions of illness. By framing sickness as a regulated role rather than a personal experience alone, Parsons highlighted the social expectations that shape how individuals behave when ill and how others respond to them (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009; Segall, 1976).

**The Sick Role and the Social Construction of Illness**

One of the most significant impacts of the sick role concept is its contribution to understanding illness as a socially constructed phenomenon. Parsons demonstrated that what counts as

legitimate illness is not determined solely by biological symptoms but by social recognition and institutional validation. This insight opened the door for later sociological and anthropological analyses of how cultural norms, professional authority, and power relations shape definitions of disease (Amzat & Omolola, 2009). Through the sick role, illness becomes meaningful only when it is socially acknowledged. Individuals who fail to conform to expected illness behaviors such as refusing treatment or appearing insufficiently motivated to recover risk being labeled as deviant or morally suspect. This process reveals how sickness is embedded in moral judgments and social norms rather than existing as a purely objective condition. The concept also underscores the role of medical professionals in defining reality. Physicians are empowered to classify symptoms, assign diagnoses, and determine treatment pathways. This authority grants medicine a central position in regulating social responses to illness, reinforcing professional dominance and institutional trust in biomedical expertise (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

The sick role has been extensively applied to analyze doctor–patient interactions, particularly in clinical settings. Parsons' model portrays the physician as an active agent who applies scientific knowledge to diagnose and treat illness, while the patient occupies a relatively passive role characterized by trust and compliance. This asymmetrical relationship reflects broader power dynamics within healthcare systems. Early empirical studies used the sick role to explain patterns of patient behavior, including adherence to treatment, acceptance of diagnosis, and willingness to follow medical advice. The expectation that patients should cooperate with medical authority reinforced normative assumptions about obedience and rationality in healthcare encounters. However, this framework has also drawn attention to tensions within clinical relationships. Patients who challenge diagnoses, seek alternative treatments, or resist medical advice disrupt the expected enactment of the sick role, prompting questions about autonomy, resistance, and negotiated authority in healthcare. Parsons characterization of illness as a form of sanctioned deviance has been particularly influential in sociological analyses of social control. Unlike criminal deviance, sickness is tolerated and supported as long as individuals adhere to prescribed norms. This framing highlights how societies distinguish between acceptable and unacceptable forms of nonconformity (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

Through this lens, health institutions function as mechanisms for monitoring, managing, and correcting deviance. Hospitals, clinics, and public health systems not only treat disease but also enforce behavioral standards related to hygiene, lifestyle, and compliance. The sick role thus becomes a tool for understanding how power operates subtly through care and concern rather than coercion (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

One of the most persistent criticisms of Parsons model is its limited applicability to chronic illness. Chronic conditions often lack clear endpoints and may require lifelong management rather than full recovery. In such cases, the expectation that individuals should get well becomes unrealistic and potentially stigmatizing. Scholars such as Michael Bury have argued that chronic illness represents a biographical disruption that permanently alters an individual's identity, social roles, and life trajectory. Unlike acute illness, chronic conditions force

individuals to renegotiate their social roles rather than temporarily withdraw from them. This reality challenges the sick role's assumption of temporary deviance and restoration of normality. Furthermore, individuals with chronic illness may face skepticism if they continue to claim exemptions from responsibilities over long periods. This situation exposes the moral limits of the sick role and reveals how legitimacy is continually negotiated rather than automatically granted ((Maigemu & Amzat 2018; Ibeto & Maigemu 2017; Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

The application of the sick role to mental health has generated considerable debate. Mental illnesses often lack visible symptoms and are subject to cultural stigma, making social recognition more complex. Unlike physical illness, mental disorders may be interpreted as personal weakness, moral failure, or lack of self control. Parsons emphasis on medical authority is particularly contested in mental health contexts, where diagnostic boundaries are often ambiguous and contested. Patients may resist psychiatric labels due to fear of stigma or loss of autonomy, complicating their ability to occupy the sick role fully. These challenges highlight the need for more flexible and context sensitive models of illness that account for subjectivity, stigma, and power relations beyond the functionalist framework (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

Cultural critics further argue that the sick role reflects Western values of individualism, productivity, and biomedical authority. In many non-Western societies, illness may be understood through spiritual, communal, or moral frameworks that do not align with Parsons' assumptions. This cultural variability limits the universal applicability of the sick role. Additionally, socioeconomic inequality significantly shapes illness experiences. Access to healthcare, ability to comply with treatment, and capacity to withdraw from work during illness are all structured by class and resources. Parsons' model tends to overlook these structural constraints, assuming equal access to medical care and social support (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009).

Despite its limitations, the sick role remains relevant in contemporary health research. Modern scholars often use it as a starting point for analyzing how illness roles are negotiated rather than fixed. In chronic disease management, for example, patients increasingly take active roles in monitoring and managing their conditions, challenging traditional expectations of passivity. The rise of patient centered care and shared decision making reflects a shift away from strict compliance toward collaboration. However, the underlying idea that illness involves social expectations and role adjustments continues to shape healthcare practice and policy. Talcott Parsons concept of the sick role has had a profound and lasting impact on the sociological understanding of disease and illness. By framing illness as a socially regulated role, Parsons illuminated the normative structures that govern health behavior, medical authority, and social order. While the model has been widely criticized for its limitations regarding chronic illness, mental health, cultural diversity, and inequality, its core insights remain influential. Rather than viewing the sick role as a rigid or outdated framework, contemporary scholars increasingly treat it as a foundational concept that must be adapted to changing social realities (Ibeto, & Maigemu 2017; Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009). In doing so, Parsons' work continues

to inform critical debates about the social meaning of illness, the organization of healthcare, and lived experiences of those who are sick. In conclusion and with related literature reviewed this research is grounded in Parsons structural functionalism, conceptualizing illness as a social phenomenon with functional implications for social order. The sick roles core elements exemption from normal duties, not held responsible, obligation to get well, and seek help provide analytical categories for interpreting participant narratives (Amzat, 2011; Amzat, 2009)

**Methodology**

Research Design: A qualitative exploratory design was selected to capture nuanced perceptions and lived experiences. Qualitative methods are particularly suited to studies of social meaning and culturally grounded health interpretations.

Study Setting: Gusau Metropolis, the capital of Zamfara State in northwestern Nigeria, features a mix of urban populations, traditional values, and evolving health systems. Access to formal healthcare services varies by socioeconomic status and gender.

Sampling and Participants: Purposive sampling identified 10 participants (5 males, 5 females; aged 18–65) including patients, caregivers, traditional healers, and healthcare workers. Selection criteria included residency in Gusau and fluency in English or Hausa.

Data Collection: Semi-structured interviews explored: Personal understandings of sickness and disease; Expectations of people deemed sick in the community; Experiences with formal and informal healthcare; Perceptions of social obligations during illness. Interviews were conducted in English or Hausa, recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim.

Data Analysis: Using thematic content analysis, transcripts were coded into categories aligned with Parsonian constructs and emergent themes. Coding was iterative, with constant comparison to ensure reliability.

**Results/Findings**

On the legitimating of illness and exemption from Duties most participants described legitimate sickness as a condition warranting reprieve from work and household responsibilities. An interview with female respondent informed that:

> When someone is truly unwell, the family expects them to rest, not to go to the farm or market. It is normal that sickness is basic requirement for any sick person to be exempted of any kind of family work no matter how demanding this kind of work is. So, the responsibility may be unattended to will be transferred to next available person to carry it up or otherwise.

However, economic necessity often limited actual exemption, especially for breadwinners as narrated by a male respondent:

> The issue is that even if you are sick your responsibility as breadwinner in the family or community is awaiting you and when you get well you must clear all the backlog and outstanding issue left unattended when you are sick. You know this happen because the economic necessity is not something to be play with. So, there is for sure

limited exemption on normal duties and responsibilities when it matters on wage earner in the family or community.

Unlike Parsons assumption that the sick is not responsible, several participants attributed illness to personal or moral actions. An interview conducted with male respondent in the study area reveals that:

> Some illnesses are because of wrongdoings or curses, so the person may be seen as partly responsible. There are some illnesses here that we attached to the bad behavior and attitude of some people. Many of the sicknesses are defined and understand to be as a moral decay of some people and as a result of it than is getting sick. So, it is true unlike the said assumption some sickness is just a personal and bad behavior of some actors

This correlated with reliance on spiritual healers as affirmed by respondent of this study:

> That is why you see many of those kinds of illnesses are referred to spiritual healing system for treatment and any other further action defend on the nature and the level or seriousness of the sickness. So, I can tell you if sickness if understand and defined to be as a result of moral misconduct, it is attended by spiritual healers or popularly known as Malams and other kind of names given to them defend on the type of people involve in the seeking behavior.

On seeking competent help, a male respondent discloses that:

> While formal healthcare was praised, barriers such as cost, distance, and mistrust affected help seeking patterns. Traditional remedies were considered equally competent by many. Sometimes what determine the healthcare choice is not really how capable the personnel are in handling and managing the illness but some time the cost that is to say whether you can afford the care or not, the issue of availability of the healthcare personnel and institution that is the distance and many other factors are responsible on the decision to be taken. That why because of the frequent trial of traditional remedies it was found to be the easiest and most accessible healthcare at all times especially in the time of emergencies and to some extent it found to be also capable in providing remedy to various illnesses.

During the course of this study a strong theme emerged around social pressure to recover and take charge for family welfare. An elderly respondent stated that:

> Even if the hospital says you must rest, you go back to work because your family needs food. There is no rest of mind if there is no food in the house. That is why in many cases you are advice to stay long in the treatment and get well better but you yourself you prefer to discharge and run back to get food to the house. Some time also it is pressure from the home and other social demanding. Therefore, no matter the advice from the expert to take much needed rest hardly to comply with it, and this is mostly of the pressure from the need to get well and take charge of your routine responsibilities.

## Discussion

The findings suggest partial alignment with Parsons' sick role but with significant contextual modifications. Social legitimacy of illness aligns with Parsons's exemption, yet economic and gendered obligations constrain full compliance. Attributions of causality reflect cultural beliefs that complicate the assumption of non responsibility. Help seeking behavior is pluralistic, involving both biomedical and traditional systems, challenging the sick role's health system assumptions. Recovery expectations often prioritize social survival over medical advice, revealing networked obligations beyond individual compliance. These results indicate that while Parsons's framework captures important elements of societal expectations, it requires revision to account for economic imperatives and cultural explanatory models in non western contexts.

## Conclusion

Parsons sick role concept provides a useful starting point for understanding societal interpretations of illness in Gusau. However, its applicability is limited by socio economic realities and cultural beliefs that shape how sickness is experienced and managed. The study underscores the need for culturally informed health theories and policies that recognize local realities in Nigeria and similar contexts.

## Recommendation and Future Research

The study therefore, recommends integrating socio cultural insights into public health programming and supporting community dialogues bridging biomedical and traditional illness interpretations. It is also suggest promoting flexible health policies that address economic constraints affecting illness behavior.

## References


Amzat, J. (2011). Assessing the Progress of Malaria Control in Nigeria. *World Health and Population,12* (3).

Amzat, J. (2009). Home Management of Childhood Malaria and Treatment Failure Among Mothers of Under-Five in Offa, Nigeria (Doctoral thesis, Department of Sociology, University of Ibadan).

Amzat, J., and Omolola, F. O. (2009). Towards a Community Model for Malaria Control in Sub-Sahara Africa. *Africana Bulletin, 57*, 166-183.

Bird, C. E., Conrad, P., Fremont, A. M., & Timmermans, S. (2010). Handbook of medical sociology (6th ed.). Vanderbilt University Press.

Burnham, J. C. (2014). Why sociologists abandoned the sick role concept. History of the Human Sciences, 27(1), 70–87.

Crossley, M. L. (1998). Sick role revisited: Chronic illness and social identity. Sociology of Health & Illness.

Freidson, E. (1961). Patients view of medical practice. Journal of Health and Social Behavior.

Giddens, A. (1991). Modernity and self-identity: Self and society in the late modern age. Stanford University Press.

Howard, L. M., & Ceci, C. (2013). Problematizing health coaching for chronic illness self-management. Nursing Inquiry.

Ibeto, A. I., & Maigemu A. Y. (2017). An Inquiry into the attitudes of People towards immunization Services in Magama Local Government Area Niger State Nigeria. *African Journal of Management, Social Sciences and Humanities,* vol. 6: 2, 215-235 ISSN 2350-2142.

Lupton, D. (1997). Consumerism, reflexivity and the medical encounter. Social Science & Medicine.

Segall, A. (1976). The sick role concept: Understanding illness behavior. Journal of Health and Social Behavior.

Williams, S. J. (2005). Parsons revisited: From the sick role to modern health sociology. Sociology of Health & Illness.