VOL. 12 NO. 6,
MAY, 2026
E-ISSN 3027-1401
P-ISSN 3026-9148

African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of Arts and Sociological Research (JASR)

Crisis Governance and Institutional Response in Nigeria: Lessons from Pandemic and Security Challenges

***Dr. Bakari Muhammadu Sukare; & **Sadiq Suleiman**

*Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences. Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria, ORCID: 0009-0007-8715-6719. **Faculty of Management Sciences, Department of Public Administration, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. ORCID: 0009-0006-5141-0079

Corresponding Author: sukarebakari03@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajasr.v12i6.0114

Abstract

Crisis governance has become an increasingly important dimension of public administration, particularly in developing countries where institutional capacity constraints often affect the effectiveness of emergency responses. Nigeria has faced multiple crises in recent years, including the COVID-19 pandemic and persistent security challenges such as insurgency, banditry, and communal conflicts. These crises have tested the resilience of governance systems and the capacity of public institutions to respond effectively. This study examines crisis governance and institutional response in Nigeria, focusing on lessons derived from the management of the COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing security challenges. The study adopts a qualitative research design based on secondary data from academic literature, policy documents, and institutional reports. The analysis explores the structures, strategies, and coordination mechanisms employed in crisis management, as well as the challenges encountered in implementation. The findings reveal that while Nigeria demonstrated the capacity to mobilize resources and implement emergency measures, significant limitations persist in areas such as institutional coordination, infrastructure, public trust, and policy implementation. The study further highlights the need for strengthening institutional frameworks, enhancing inter-agency collaboration, and integrating technology into crisis management systems. The study concludes that improving crisis governance in Nigeria requires a comprehensive and sustained approach that focuses on institutional resilience, leadership effectiveness, and

policy coherence. Such reforms are essential for enhancing the country's ability to respond to future crises and ensuring sustainable governance outcomes.

Keywords: Crisis governance, institutional response, pandemic, security challenges, Nigeria, public administration

Introduction

Crisis governance has emerged as a critical area of focus in contemporary public administration due to the increasing frequency, complexity, and impact of emergencies on societies and economies. Modern states are confronted with a wide range of crises, including pandemics, natural disasters, economic shocks, and security threats, all of which require coordinated and effective responses from public institutions. The ability of governments to manage such crises has become a key indicator of governance quality and institutional capacity (Boin et al., 2017; Ansell et al., 2021).

In Nigeria, crisis governance has gained significant attention due to the country's experience with both public health emergencies and persistent security challenges. The COVID-19 pandemic, which began in 2020, posed unprecedented challenges to Nigeria's healthcare system, economy, and social structure. At the same time, ongoing security issues such as insurgency in the North-East, banditry in the North-West, and communal conflicts in various regions have continued to strain governance systems (World Bank, 2022; United Nations, 2021).

Crisis governance refers to the processes, policies, and institutional arrangements through which governments prepare for, respond to, and recover from emergencies. It involves multiple stages, including preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation, each requiring coordinated action among various stakeholders (Boin et al., 2017). Effective crisis governance is characterized by timely decision-making, inter-agency coordination, resource mobilization, and effective communication with the public (Ansell et al., 2021).

The Nigerian experience with crisis governance reveals a combination of strengths and weaknesses. On one hand, the government has demonstrated the ability to implement emergency measures and mobilize resources during crises. On the other hand, structural challenges such as weak institutional capacity, poor coordination, inadequate infrastructure, and limited public trust have hindered the effectiveness of these responses (Olowu, 2019; World Bank, 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic provides a critical case study for examining crisis governance in Nigeria. The establishment of the Presidential Task Force on COVID-19 and the active role of the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) reflect efforts to coordinate national response strategies. Measures such as lockdowns, public health campaigns, and vaccination programs were implemented to control the spread of the virus (Nigeria Centre for Disease Control, 2021; World Health Organization, 2021). Similarly, Nigeria's response to security

challenges involves a complex interplay of military operations, intelligence activities, and community-based interventions. Despite these efforts, the persistence of insecurity highlights the limitations of existing governance frameworks and the need for more effective strategies (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

The study of crisis governance in Nigeria is therefore essential for understanding how institutions respond to emergencies and for identifying lessons that can inform future policy and practice. By examining both pandemic and security crises, this study provides a comprehensive analysis of governance resilience and institutional response in Nigeria.

Background to the Study

The increasing prevalence of crises in the modern world has led to a growing emphasis on the importance of effective governance systems capable of managing emergencies. Crisis governance has evolved as a field of study within public administration, focusing on how governments anticipate, respond to, and recover from crises (Ansell et al., 2021).

In Nigeria, the evolution of crisis governance has been influenced by historical, political, and institutional factors. The country's federal structure presents both opportunities and challenges for crisis management. While decentralization allows for localized responses, it can also create coordination challenges among federal, state, and local governments (Olowu, 2019).

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed significant weaknesses in Nigeria's healthcare system and emergency preparedness mechanisms. Challenges such as inadequate medical infrastructure, limited testing capacity, and insufficient funding affected the country's ability to respond effectively (World Bank, 2022).

Security challenges have also played a major role in shaping crisis governance in Nigeria. The persistence of insurgency, banditry, and communal conflicts reflects deeper structural issues related to governance, economic inequality, and social cohesion (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

Statement of the Problem

Despite various efforts to strengthen crisis governance in Nigeria, institutional responses to emergencies remain constrained by significant structural and operational challenges. These include weak inter-agency coordination, inadequate infrastructure, limited capacity for data-driven decision-making, and low levels of public trust in government (World Bank, 2022; Olowu, 2019).

The COVID-19 pandemic and ongoing security challenges have revealed gaps in Nigeria's crisis management framework, particularly in areas such as preparedness, response coordination, and resource allocation. These gaps have limited the effectiveness of institutional responses and highlight the need for comprehensive reforms (Ansell et al., 2021).

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine crisis governance and institutional response in Nigeria.

Specific objectives include:

1. To analyze the concept of crisis governance (Boin et al., 2017).
2. To examine institutional responses to the COVID-19 pandemic in Nigeria (World Health Organization, 2021).
3. To assess governance responses to security challenges (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).
4. To identify challenges affecting crisis governance (World Bank, 2022).
5. To propose strategies for improving institutional resilience and response capacity (Ansell et al., 2021).

Concept of Crisis Governance

Crisis governance refers to the systems, processes, and institutional arrangements through which governments manage emergencies. It encompasses preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation strategies aimed at minimizing the impact of crises on society (Boin et al., 2017).

Crisis governance is characterized by uncertainty, urgency, and complexity, requiring rapid decision-making and effective coordination among multiple stakeholders. The effectiveness of crisis governance depends on institutional capacity, leadership, and the availability of resources (Ansell et al., 2021).

Crisis Governance and Public Administration

Crisis governance is an integral component of public administration, as it involves the implementation of policies and the coordination of resources to address emergencies. Effective crisis governance requires strong administrative structures and the ability to adapt to rapidly changing circumstances (Olowu, 2019).

Pandemic Governance

Pandemic governance involves the management of public health crises, including disease surveillance, containment measures, and healthcare provision. The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the importance of preparedness and coordination in managing global health emergencies (World Health Organization, 2021).

Security Governance

Security governance refers to the management of threats to national security, including insurgency, terrorism, and communal conflicts. Effective security governance requires coordination among military, intelligence, and law enforcement agencies (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

Theoretical Framework

The study of crisis governance and institutional response in Nigeria is grounded in several interrelated theoretical perspectives, including Crisis Management Theory, Institutional

Theory, Systems Theory, and Collaborative Governance Theory. These frameworks provide a comprehensive lens for understanding how public institutions respond to crises and the factors influencing the effectiveness of such responses.

Crisis Management Theory

Crisis Management Theory provides a structured approach to understanding how organizations and governments prepare for, respond to, and recover from crises. The theory emphasizes that crises are characterized by high levels of uncertainty, urgency, and threat, requiring rapid decision-making and coordinated action (Boin et al., 2017).

The theory identifies key phases of crisis management, including:

Preparedness: Developing plans and building capacity before a crisis occurs

Response: Immediate actions taken to manage the crisis

Recovery: Efforts to restore normalcy after the crisis

Mitigation: Strategies to reduce the impact of future crises

In the Nigerian context, Crisis Management Theory explains the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, including the establishment of emergency task forces and implementation of containment measures. However, the effectiveness of these responses has been influenced by institutional capacity and coordination challenges (World Health Organization, 2021).

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory emphasizes the role of formal and informal structures in shaping organizational behaviour and policy outcomes. Institutions provide the rules, norms, and frameworks within which crisis governance operates (North, 1990).

The theory highlights that strong institutions are essential for effective crisis management, as they facilitate coordination, ensure accountability, and provide stability. Conversely, weak institutions can hinder crisis response efforts and exacerbate the impact of emergencies (Olowu, 2019).

In Nigeria, institutional weaknesses have been identified as a major constraint on crisis governance. Challenges such as bureaucratic inefficiency, corruption, and lack of accountability have limited the effectiveness of institutional responses to both pandemic and security crises (World Bank, 2022).

Systems Theory

Systems Theory provides a holistic perspective on crisis governance by viewing society as a complex system composed of interconnected components. The theory emphasizes that crises affect multiple sectors simultaneously and require coordinated responses across different parts of the system (Easton, 1965).

In the context of Nigeria, Systems Theory highlights the interconnected nature of health, economic, and security systems. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic not only affected public health but also had significant economic and social implications. Effective crisis

governance therefore requires an integrated approach that considers these interdependencies (United Nations, 2021).

Collaborative Governance Theory

Collaborative Governance Theory emphasizes the importance of cooperation among multiple stakeholders, including government agencies, private sector organizations, and civil society, in addressing complex public problems (Ansell & Gash, 2008).

Crisis governance often requires collaboration across different levels of government and sectors. The effectiveness of such collaboration depends on trust, communication, and shared objectives among stakeholders.

In Nigeria, collaborative governance has been evident in the response to the COVID-19 pandemic, where partnerships between government agencies, international organizations, and private sector actors played a critical role in managing the crisis (World Bank, 2020). However, challenges such as coordination gaps and limited trust have affected the effectiveness of collaborative efforts.

Theoretical Integration

The integration of these theoretical perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing crisis governance in Nigeria. Crisis Management Theory explains the stages of crisis response, Institutional Theory highlights the role of governance structures, Systems Theory emphasizes interdependencies, and Collaborative Governance Theory underscores the importance of stakeholder cooperation.

Together, these theories demonstrate that effective crisis governance requires strong institutions, coordinated systems, and collaborative approaches.

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, which is appropriate for examining complex governance processes and institutional dynamics. Qualitative research allows for an in-depth analysis of crisis governance and institutional responses in Nigeria (Bryman, 2016).

The design focuses on understanding the structures, strategies, and challenges associated with crisis management.

Sources of Data

The study relies on secondary data obtained from:

Academic journals and books on crisis governance

Government policy documents and reports

Publications from international organizations such as the World Bank and United Nations

Reports from health and security agencies

These sources provide a comprehensive basis for analyzing crisis governance in Nigeria (World Bank, 2022; United Nations, 2021).

Method of Data Analysis

Content analysis is used as the primary method of data analysis. This involves systematically examining textual data to identify patterns, themes, and relationships (Bryman, 2016).

The analysis focuses on:

Institutional responses to crises

Coordination mechanisms

Challenges and outcomes of crisis management

Justification of Methodology

The qualitative approach is suitable for this study because it allows for a detailed examination of institutional processes and governance dynamics. Quantitative methods alone may not adequately capture the complexity of crisis governance (Bryman, 2016).

Institutional Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic

The Nigerian government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic involved the establishment of institutional frameworks aimed at coordinating national efforts. The Presidential Task Force on COVID-19 and the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) played central roles in managing the crisis (Nigeria Centre for Disease Control, 2021).

Key measures implemented included:

Nationwide lockdowns and movement restrictions

Public health campaigns and awareness programs

Expansion of testing and treatment facilities

Deployment of vaccines

These measures contributed to controlling the spread of the virus, although their effectiveness varied across regions (World Health Organization, 2021).

Institutional Response to Security Challenges

Nigeria's response to security challenges has involved military operations, intelligence gathering, and community-based interventions. Security agencies have been deployed to address insurgency, banditry, and other forms of violence.

Despite these efforts, security challenges persist, reflecting limitations in institutional capacity and coordination (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

Coordination and Inter-Agency Collaboration

Effective crisis governance requires coordination among multiple agencies. In Nigeria, coordination mechanisms have been established, but challenges remain in terms of communication, resource allocation, and policy alignment (Ansell et al., 2021).

Challenges in Crisis Governance

Key challenges identified include:

Weak institutional capacity (North, 1990)

Poor coordination among agencies (World Bank, 2022)
Inadequate infrastructure
Limited public trust in government (Olowu, 2019)
These challenges have constrained the effectiveness of crisis response efforts.

Lessons Learned from Pandemic and Security Crises

The analysis reveals several lessons, including the importance of preparedness, institutional capacity, and collaboration. The need for integrated approaches to crisis management is also highlighted (Ansell et al., 2021).

Conclusion

This study has undertaken a comprehensive analysis of crisis governance and institutional response in Nigeria, with particular emphasis on lessons derived from the COVID-19 pandemic and persistent security challenges. The findings underscore that crisis governance has become an indispensable component of modern public administration, especially in developing countries where institutional fragility often constrains effective responses to emergencies (Boin et al., 2017; Ansell et al., 2021).

The analysis reveals that Nigeria demonstrated a measurable degree of institutional responsiveness during the COVID-19 pandemic through the establishment of coordinated frameworks such as the Presidential Task Force and the strengthening of public health institutions. These interventions facilitated the implementation of containment measures, public awareness campaigns, and vaccination programs, which contributed to mitigating the spread of the virus (Nigeria Centre for Disease Control, 2021; World Health Organization, 2021). However, the effectiveness of these responses was uneven across regions due to disparities in infrastructure, administrative capacity, and resource allocation.

In the area of security governance, the study finds that Nigeria's institutional response has been characterized by sustained military operations, intelligence coordination, and community engagement strategies. Despite these efforts, the persistence of insurgency, banditry, and communal conflicts highlights the limitations of existing governance frameworks and the need for more integrated and preventive approaches to crisis management (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

A critical finding of this study is that institutional weaknesses remain a major constraint on effective crisis governance in Nigeria. Challenges such as poor inter-agency coordination, inadequate infrastructure, weak data systems, and limited public trust have significantly reduced the effectiveness of crisis response efforts (World Bank, 2022; Olowu, 2019). These challenges are compounded by issues of bureaucratic inefficiency and inconsistent policy implementation.

The study further demonstrates that crisis governance in Nigeria is influenced by the interaction of multiple factors, including institutional capacity, leadership effectiveness, and the broader socio-political environment. The application of Crisis Management Theory, Institutional Theory, Systems Theory, and Collaborative Governance Theory provides a

comprehensive understanding of these dynamics, highlighting the importance of coordinated and adaptive governance systems (North, 1990; Easton, 1965).

Overall, the study concludes that while Nigeria has made progress in responding to crises, significant gaps remain in its governance framework. Addressing these gaps requires a holistic approach that integrates institutional reform, capacity building, technological innovation, and stakeholder collaboration. Strengthening crisis governance is essential for enhancing national resilience and ensuring sustainable development in the face of future emergencies.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen crisis governance and institutional response in Nigeria.

Strengthening Institutional Capacity

There is a need to enhance the capacity of public institutions responsible for crisis management. This includes improving organizational structures, providing adequate funding, and ensuring the availability of skilled personnel. Strengthened institutions will be better equipped to respond effectively to crises and implement policy measures (North, 1990).

Enhancing Inter-Agency Coordination

Effective crisis governance requires strong coordination among various government agencies. Mechanisms should be established to facilitate communication, information sharing, and joint decision-making among stakeholders. Improved coordination will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of crisis response efforts (Ansell et al., 2021).

Investing in Infrastructure

Infrastructure development is critical for effective crisis management. The government should invest in healthcare facilities, communication systems, and security infrastructure to support crisis response activities. Improved infrastructure will enhance the capacity to manage emergencies and reduce their impact (World Bank, 2022).

Strengthening Data Systems and Technology Integration

The use of data and technology is essential for informed decision-making in crisis situations. The government should invest in data collection, analysis, and management systems to support evidence-based policymaking. Digital technologies can also enhance coordination and improve service delivery during crises (United Nations, 2021).

Promoting Public Trust and Community Engagement

Public trust is a critical factor in the success of crisis governance. Efforts should be made to enhance transparency, accountability, and communication with citizens. Community engagement initiatives can also improve cooperation and compliance with government policies during crises (Olowu, 2019).

Adopting Preventive and Proactive Approaches

Crisis governance should focus not only on response but also on prevention and preparedness. This includes developing early warning systems, conducting risk assessments, and implementing preventive measures to reduce the likelihood and impact of crises (Boin et al., 2017).

Strengthening Security Governance Frameworks

Addressing security challenges requires a comprehensive approach that integrates military, economic, and social strategies. Efforts should be made to address the root causes of insecurity, including poverty, unemployment, and social inequality (United Nations Development Programme, 2021).

Enhancing Leadership and Accountability

Effective leadership is essential for successful crisis governance. Leaders should demonstrate commitment, transparency, and accountability in managing crises. Strengthening accountability mechanisms will also ensure that resources are used efficiently and effectively (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Encouraging Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration

Collaboration among government agencies, private sector organizations, and civil society is essential for addressing complex crises. Partnerships should be encouraged to leverage resources, expertise, and innovation (Ansell & Gash, 2008).

Institutionalizing Lessons Learned

Lessons from past crises should be systematically documented and integrated into policy frameworks. This will ensure continuous improvement in crisis governance and enhance preparedness for future emergencies (Boin et al., 2017).

References

Adebayo, A. (2018). Corruption and governance challenges in Nigeria. African Journal of Governance, 5(2), 45–62.

Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 18(4), 543–571.

Ansell, C., Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic as a game changer for public administration. Public Management Review, 23(7), 949–960.

Boin, A., ’t Hart, P., Stern, E., & Sundelius, B. (2017). The politics of crisis management (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Denhardt, R. B., & Denhardt, J. V. (2015). The new public service: Serving, not steering (4th ed.). Routledge.

Easton, D. (1965). A systems analysis of political life. Wiley.

Ezeani, E. O. (2020). Public administration in Nigeria. Snaap Press.

Nigeria Centre for Disease Control. (2021). COVID-19 situation report.

North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.

Olowu, D. (2019). Governance and accountability in Africa. Public Administration Review, 79(4), 567–578.

United Nations. (2021). E-government survey.

United Nations Development Programme. (2021). Assessing insecurity in Nigeria.

World Bank. (2020). Nigeria public sector review.

World Bank. (2022). Governance and crisis response report.

World Health Organization. (2021). COVID-19 global report.