VOL. 12 NO. 2,
MAY, 2026
E-ISSN 3027-1533
P-ISSN 3026-8648

African Scholar Publications & Research International
www.africanscholarpub.com

Journal of African Innovation & Advanced Studies (JAIAS)

Ethical Governance and Administrative Accountability in Nigeria: Challenges and Reform Strategies

***Dr. Bakari Muhammadu Sukare; & **Sadiq Suleiman**

*Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management SciencesTaraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. ORCID: 0009-0007-8715-6719. **Faculty of Management Sciences, Department of Public Administration, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. ORCID: 0009-0006-5141-0079

Corresponding Author: sukarebakari03@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/ajaias.v12i2.088

Abstract

Ethical governance and administrative accountability are fundamental pillars of effective public administration and sustainable development. In Nigeria, persistent challenges such as corruption, weak institutional frameworks, and limited transparency have undermined governance outcomes and public trust in government institutions. This study examines the nature of ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria, with a focus on identifying key challenges and proposing reform strategies for improving governance performance. The study adopts a qualitative research design based on secondary data from academic literature, government reports, and publications from international organizations. It explores the conceptual foundations of ethical governance, the institutional mechanisms for accountability, and the factors influencing governance practices in Nigeria. The findings reveal that while Nigeria has established various legal and institutional frameworks to promote ethical conduct and accountability, their effectiveness has been limited by weak enforcement, political interference, and socio-cultural factors. The study concludes that strengthening ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria requires comprehensive reforms, including institutional strengthening, enhanced transparency, and increased citizen participation. It recommends the adoption of integrated strategies that address both structural and behavioural dimensions of governance to ensure sustainable improvements.

Keywords: Ethical governance, administrative accountability, corruption, public administration, Nigeria

Introduction

Ethical governance and administrative accountability have become central concerns in contemporary public administration, particularly in developing countries where governance challenges often undermine development efforts. Ethical governance refers to the adherence to moral principles and standards in the conduct of public affairs, while administrative accountability involves the mechanisms through which public officials are held responsible for their actions and decisions (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015; Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

In Nigeria, the issues of ethical governance and administrative accountability have been at the forefront of public discourse due to persistent challenges such as corruption, inefficiency, and lack of transparency in government operations. These challenges have significantly affected the delivery of public services and eroded public trust in government institutions (Olowu, 2019; World Bank, 2022).

The importance of ethical governance lies in its role in promoting integrity, transparency, and fairness in public administration. It ensures that public resources are used efficiently and that decisions are made in the public interest. Administrative accountability, on the other hand, provides the framework for monitoring and evaluating the performance of public officials, thereby ensuring that they act in accordance with established rules and standards (Bovens, 2007).

Nigeria has made several efforts to promote ethical governance and accountability through the establishment of anti-corruption agencies such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC). These institutions are tasked with investigating and prosecuting cases of corruption and promoting ethical conduct in public service (Ezeani, 2020).

Despite these efforts, the effectiveness of these institutions has been limited by various factors, including political interference, weak institutional capacity, and inadequate enforcement mechanisms. Corruption remains a significant challenge, affecting various sectors of the economy and hindering development (Transparency International, 2023).

The study of ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria is therefore essential for understanding the underlying causes of governance challenges and identifying strategies for reform. By examining the institutional frameworks, challenges, and reform efforts, this study provides insights into how governance can be improved to achieve sustainable development.

Background to the Study

The concept of ethical governance has evolved over time as part of broader efforts to improve public sector performance and promote good governance. It emphasizes the importance of integrity, transparency, and accountability in the conduct of public affairs (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

In Nigeria, ethical governance has been shaped by historical and institutional factors, including colonial legacies, military rule, and the transition to democratic governance. These factors have influenced the

development of governance structures and the nature of administrative practices (Olowu, 2019).

Administrative accountability, as a component of ethical governance, has also evolved in response to changing governance needs. It involves mechanisms such as audits, legislative oversight, and judicial review, which are designed to ensure that public officials are held accountable for their actions (Bovens, 2007).

Statement of the Problem

Despite the existence of various institutional mechanisms for promoting ethical governance and administrative accountability, Nigeria continues to face significant challenges in these areas. Corruption, lack of transparency, and weak enforcement of rules have undermined the effectiveness of governance systems (Transparency International, 2023; World Bank, 2022).

These challenges have resulted in poor service delivery, mismanagement of public resources, and low levels of public trust in government institutions. The persistence of these issues highlights the need for comprehensive reforms to strengthen ethical governance and accountability mechanisms.

Objectives of the Study

To examine the concept of ethical governance (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).
To analyze administrative accountability mechanisms in Nigeria (Bovens, 2007).
To identify challenges affecting ethical governance (Transparency International, 2023).
To assess institutional frameworks for accountability (Ezeani, 2020).
To propose reform strategies for improving governance outcomes (World Bank, 2022).

Concept of Ethical Governance

Ethical governance refers to the application of ethical principles in the management of public affairs. It involves ensuring that decisions and actions are guided by values such as integrity, fairness, and accountability (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Ethical governance is essential for promoting trust in government and ensuring the effective use of public resources. It also plays a critical role in preventing corruption and enhancing the quality of public service delivery (Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

Administrative Accountability

Administrative accountability involves the mechanisms through which public officials are held responsible for their actions. These mechanisms include internal controls, external oversight, and public scrutiny (Bovens, 2007).

In Nigeria, accountability mechanisms include legislative oversight, judicial review, and the activities of anti-corruption agencies. However, the effectiveness of these mechanisms has been limited by various challenges (Ezeani, 2020).

Ethical Governance in Nigeria

Ethical governance in Nigeria has been influenced by socio-political and economic factors. The prevalence of corruption and weak institutional frameworks has hindered the development of ethical practices in public administration (Olowu, 2019).

Challenges to Administrative Accountability

Key challenges include:

Corruption

Political interference

Weak institutional capacity

Lack of transparency

These challenges have significantly affected governance outcomes in Nigeria (Transparency International, 2023).

Theoretical Framework

The study of ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria is anchored on several interrelated theoretical perspectives that explain the dynamics of public sector ethics, accountability mechanisms, and governance reforms. This study adopts Principal–Agent Theory, Institutional Theory, Public Choice Theory, and Ethical Theory as its analytical framework.

Principal–Agent Theory

Principal–Agent Theory provides a foundational explanation of accountability relationships in public administration. The theory posits that public officials (agents) are entrusted with authority by citizens (principals) to act in the public interest. However, information asymmetry and divergent interests often create opportunities for agents to pursue personal gains at the expense of public welfare (Jensen & Meckling, 1976).

In the Nigerian context, Principal–Agent Theory explains the prevalence of corruption and unethical behaviour among public officials. Weak monitoring mechanisms and limited transparency allow agents to exploit their positions, leading to mismanagement of public resources (Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

The theory underscores the importance of accountability mechanisms such as audits, oversight institutions, and performance monitoring to align the interests of agents with those of principals.

Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory emphasizes the role of formal and informal rules, norms, and structures in shaping organizational behaviour. Institutions provide the framework within which governance and accountability mechanisms operate (North, 1990).

Strong institutions are essential for promoting ethical governance, as they establish clear rules, enforce compliance, and ensure accountability. Conversely, weak institutions create opportunities for corruption and inefficiency (Olowu, 2019).

In Nigeria, institutional weaknesses such as bureaucratic inefficiency, lack of enforcement, and political interference have undermined the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms (World Bank, 2022).

Public Choice Theory

Public Choice Theory applies economic principles to political decision-making, suggesting that public officials are motivated by self-interest rather than the public good. This perspective highlights the risk of rent-seeking behaviour and corruption in the public sector (Buchanan & Tullock, 1962).

In Nigeria, Public Choice Theory explains how political and administrative actors may prioritize personal or group interests over national development goals. This behaviour contributes to inefficiencies in governance and undermines accountability (Transparency International, 2023).

Ethical Theory

Ethical Theory provides a normative framework for understanding moral principles in governance. It emphasizes values such as integrity, fairness, and responsibility in public administration (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Ethical governance requires adherence to these principles, ensuring that public officials act in the interest of society. The absence of ethical standards can lead to corruption and poor governance outcomes (Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

Theoretical Integration

The integration of these theories provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria. Principal–Agent Theory explains accountability relationships, Institutional Theory highlights structural constraints, Public Choice Theory examines self-interest behaviour, and Ethical Theory provides normative guidance.

Together, these theories demonstrate that improving governance requires both structural reforms and behavioural change.

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design, which is appropriate for examining complex governance issues such as ethical behaviour and accountability. Qualitative research allows for an in-depth analysis of institutional dynamics and governance processes (Bryman, 2016).

Sources of Data

The study relies on secondary data obtained from:

Academic journals and scholarly books

Government reports and policy documents

Publications from international organizations such as the World Bank and Transparency International
Reports from anti-corruption agencies
These sources provide a comprehensive basis for analyzing governance practices in Nigeria (World Bank, 2022).

Method of Data Analysis

Content analysis is used as the primary method of data analysis. This involves systematically examining textual data to identify patterns, themes, and relationships (Bryman, 2016).
The analysis focuses on:
Ethical governance practices
Accountability mechanisms
Institutional challenges

Justification of Methodology

The qualitative approach is suitable for this study because it allows for a detailed examination of governance dynamics. Quantitative methods alone may not adequately capture the complexity of ethical governance and accountability (Bryman, 2016).

Institutional Frameworks for Ethical Governance in Nigeria

Nigeria has established several institutional frameworks aimed at promoting ethical governance and administrative accountability. These include anti-corruption agencies such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC), as well as oversight institutions such as the Auditor-General's Office.
These institutions are designed to ensure compliance with ethical standards and promote accountability in public administration. However, their effectiveness has been limited by challenges such as political interference, inadequate funding, and weak enforcement mechanisms (Ezeani, 2020).

Nature and Extent of Corruption in Nigeria

Corruption remains a major challenge to ethical governance in Nigeria. It manifests in various forms, including bribery, embezzlement, and abuse of office. The prevalence of corruption has undermined public trust and hindered development efforts (Transparency International, 2023).

Accountability Mechanisms in Practice

Accountability mechanisms in Nigeria include legislative oversight, judicial review, and administrative controls. While these mechanisms exist, their effectiveness has been constrained by institutional weaknesses and lack of enforcement (Bovens, 2007).

Challenges to Ethical Governance

Key challenges include:

Weak institutional capacity

Political interference

Lack of transparency

Poor enforcement of laws

These challenges have significantly affected governance outcomes (World Bank, 2022).

Reform Efforts and Their Limitations

Nigeria has implemented various reforms aimed at improving ethical governance, including anti-corruption initiatives and public sector reforms. However, these efforts have achieved limited success due to structural and institutional constraints (Olowu, 2019).

Conclusion

This study has undertaken a comprehensive examination of ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria, focusing on the structural, institutional, and behavioural factors that shape governance outcomes. The analysis demonstrates that ethical governance and accountability are not merely normative ideals but essential prerequisites for effective public administration and sustainable development. In the Nigerian context, however, these principles have been significantly undermined by systemic challenges, including corruption, weak institutional frameworks, political interference, and socio-cultural influences (Olowu, 2019; World Bank, 2022).

The findings reveal that Nigeria has made notable efforts to establish institutional frameworks aimed at promoting ethical governance. The creation of anti-corruption agencies such as the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC) and the Independent Corrupt Practices Commission (ICPC), alongside mechanisms such as legislative oversight and audit institutions, reflects a formal commitment to accountability. However, the effectiveness of these institutions has been constrained by weak enforcement, inadequate funding, and lack of operational independence (Ezeani, 2020; Transparency International, 2023).

A central issue identified in this study is the persistence of corruption as a structural challenge. Corruption in Nigeria is deeply embedded in administrative processes and political systems, manifesting in forms such as bribery, embezzlement, and abuse of office. This has resulted in the misallocation of public resources, reduced efficiency in service delivery, and erosion of public trust in government institutions (Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

The study also highlights the limitations of existing accountability mechanisms. While structures such as legislative oversight, judicial review, and administrative controls are in place, their effectiveness has been undermined by institutional weaknesses and lack of coordination. These challenges have created an environment where public officials can evade accountability, thereby perpetuating unethical practices (Bovens, 2007; World Bank, 2022).

From a theoretical perspective, the study confirms that ethical governance and accountability are influenced by a complex interplay of factors, including institutional arrangements, incentive structures, and ethical norms. The application of Principal–Agent Theory, Institutional Theory, Public Choice Theory, and Ethical Theory provides a comprehensive understanding of these dynamics. These theories collectively highlight the need for both structural reforms and behavioural change in addressing governance challenges (North, 1990; Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Furthermore, the study reveals that reform efforts in Nigeria have achieved limited success due to the absence of a holistic approach. While anti-corruption initiatives and public sector reforms have been implemented, they have often focused on symptoms rather than addressing underlying structural issues. This has resulted in incremental improvements rather than transformative change (Olowu, 2019).

The analysis also underscores the importance of leadership in promoting ethical governance. Leadership commitment is critical for setting the tone for ethical behaviour and ensuring the effective implementation of accountability mechanisms. However, inconsistencies in leadership priorities have affected the sustainability of reform efforts.

In conclusion, the study finds that achieving ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria requires a comprehensive and integrated approach that addresses institutional weaknesses, strengthens accountability mechanisms, and promotes ethical behaviour. Without such an approach, governance challenges are likely to persist, undermining efforts to achieve sustainable development.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance ethical governance and administrative accountability in Nigeria.

Strengthening Institutional Frameworks

There is a need to strengthen institutions responsible for promoting ethical governance and accountability. This includes enhancing their independence, improving funding, and ensuring the availability of skilled personnel. Strong institutions are essential for enforcing rules and ensuring compliance (North, 1990).

Enhancing Enforcement Mechanisms

Effective enforcement of laws and regulations is critical for promoting accountability. Anti-corruption agencies should be empowered to investigate and prosecute cases without political interference. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms will deter unethical behaviour and promote compliance (Transparency International, 2023).

Promoting Transparency and Open Governance

Transparency is a key component of ethical governance. The government should adopt open governance practices, including the publication of financial information and decision-making processes. This will enhance accountability and build public trust (World Bank, 2022).

Strengthening Accountability Mechanisms

Existing accountability mechanisms should be strengthened to ensure their effectiveness. This includes improving legislative oversight, enhancing judicial independence, and strengthening audit institutions (Bovens, 2007).

Capacity Building and Training

Capacity-building initiatives should be implemented to enhance the skills and knowledge of public officials. Training programs on ethics, accountability, and governance should be developed to promote ethical behaviour (Ezeani, 2020).

Promoting Ethical Culture in Public Administration

Efforts should be made to promote a culture of ethics within public institutions. This includes establishing codes of conduct, encouraging integrity, and rewarding ethical behaviour (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Addressing Political Interference

Political interference in administrative processes should be minimized to ensure the independence of public institutions. Clear boundaries should be established between political and administrative functions (Olowu, 2019).

Encouraging Citizen Participation

Citizen participation is essential for promoting accountability. Mechanisms should be established to enable citizens to participate in governance processes and hold public officials accountable (World Bank, 2022).

Leveraging Technology for Accountability

The use of digital technologies can enhance transparency and accountability in public administration. E-governance initiatives should be expanded to improve service delivery and reduce opportunities for corruption (OECD, 2020).

Adopting a Holistic Reform Approach

Reforms should adopt a comprehensive approach that addresses both structural and behavioural aspects of governance. This includes integrating institutional reforms with efforts to promote ethical values and norms (Rose-Ackerman, 1999).

References

Adebayo, A. (2018). Governance challenges and corruption in Nigeria. African Journal of Public Administration, 10(2), 45–67.

Bovens, M. (2007). Analysing and assessing accountability. European Law Journal, 13(4), 447–468.

Bryman, A. (2016). Social research methods (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Buchanan, J. M., & Tullock, G. (1962). The calculus of consent. University of Michigan Press.

Denhardt, R. B., & Denhardt, J. V. (2015). The new public service: Serving, not steering (4th ed.). Routledge.

Ezeani, E. O. (2020). Public administration in Nigeria. Snaap Press.

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305–360.

North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.

OECD. (2020). Public integrity handbook. OECD Publishing.

Olowu, D. (2019). Governance and accountability in Africa. Public Administration Review, 79(4), 567–578.

Rose-Ackerman, S. (1999). Corruption and government. Cambridge University Press.

Transparency International. (2023). Corruption perceptions index.

World Bank. (2022). Governance indicators report.